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Abstract

Rhodomyrtone (Rom) is an acylphloroglucinol antibiotic originally isolated from leaves of Rhodomyrtus tomentosa. Rom targets the bacterial membrane and is active against a wide range of Gram-positive bacteria but the exact mode of action remains obscure. Here we isolated and characterized a spontaneous Rom-resistant mutant from the model strain Staphylococcus aureus HG001 (RomR) to learn more about the resistance mechanism. We showed that Rom-resistance is based on a single point mutation in the coding region of farR [regulator of fatty acid (FA) resistance] that causes an amino acid change from Cys to Arg at position 116 in FarR, that affects FarR activity. Comparative transcriptome analysis revealed that mutated farR affects transcription of many genes in distinct pathways. FarR represses for example the expression of its own gene (farR), its flanking gene farE (effector of FA resistance), and other global regulators such as agr and sarA. All these genes were consequently upregulated in the RomR clone. Particularly the upregulation of agr and sarA leads to increased expression of virulence genes rendering the RomR clone [...]
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Rhodomyrtone (Rom) is an acylphloroglucinol antibiotic originally isolated from leaves of Rhodomyrtus tomentosa. Rom targets the bacterial membrane and is active against a wide range of Gram-positive bacteria but the exact mode of action remains obscure. Here we isolated and characterized a spontaneous Rom-resistant mutant from the model strain Staphylococcus aureus HG001 (RomR) to learn more about the resistance mechanism. We showed that Rom-resistance is based on a single point mutation in the coding region of farR [regulator of fatty acid (FA) resistance] that causes an amino acid change from Cys to Arg at position 116 in FarR, that affects FarR activity. Comparative transcriptome analysis revealed that mutated farR affects transcription of many genes in distinct pathways. FarR represses for example the expression of its own gene (farR), its flanking gene farE (effector of FA resistance), and other global regulators such as agr and sarA. All these genes were consequently upregulated in the RomR clone. Particularly the upregulation of agr and sarA leads to increased expression of virulence genes rendering the RomR clone more cytotoxic and more pathogenic in a mouse infection model. The Rom-resistance is largely due to the de-repression of farE. FarE is described as an efflux pump for linoleic and arachidonic acids. We observed an increased release of lipids in the RomR clone compared to its parental strain HG001. If farE is deleted in the RomR clone, or, if native farR is expressed in the RomR strain,
INTRODUCTION

Rhodomyrtone (Rom) is an antibiotic originally isolated from plant extracts of Myrtaceae species. The structural analysis showed that it belongs to the acylphloroglucinol class (Dachriyanus et al., 2002). Rom has antibiotic activity against a number of pathogenic Gram-positive bacterial species such as Bacillus cereus, Enterococcus faecalis, Propionibacterium acnes, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, or Streptococcus pyogenes (Saising et al., 2008, 2014; Voravuthikunchai et al., 2010; Limsuwan et al., 2011; Saising and Voravuthikunchai, 2012). The MIC values range from 0.1 to 2.0 µg/ml (Limsuwan et al., 2011).

Despite its antibacterial activity on a broad spectrum of bacteria, the exact MOA of Rom is still unknown. In a proteomic and a transcriptomic study in S. aureus, pleiotropic effects have been noted without clear correlation to a preferentially targeted cell structure or metabolic pathway (Sianglum et al., 2011, 2012). Rom does not address any of the classical antibiotic targets such as peptidoglycan biosynthesis, DNA-replication, translation, or transcription, but targets the cell membrane by causing a strong dissipation of the membrane potential and release of ATP and cytoplasmic proteins suggesting that Rom causes severe membrane disruption (Saising et al., 2018). Surprisingly, Rom does not significantly inhibit the oxygen consumption in S. aureus and does not form classical pores. However, being uncharged and devoid of a particular amphipathic structure, Rom does not seem to be a typical membrane-inserting molecule, but it causes formation of large membrane invaginations and it is transiently binding to phospholipid head groups (Saeloh et al., 2018).

Interestingly, the addition of certain FAs (pentadecylic acid, palmitic acid, and stearic acid) to the medium could counteract the antimicrobial activity (Saising et al., 2018). Although Rom does normally not inhibit Gram-negative bacteria, it has been shown that in the presence of PMBN (polymyxin B non-apeptide), MIC values for Rom in Escherichia coli were increased from 0.4 to approximately 1.0 µg/ml (Saising et al., 2018), indicating that Rom would be active against Gram-negative bacteria if Rom has a chance to penetrate the outer membrane.

At higher doses Rom is cytotoxic to different mammalian cells, and triggers in erythrocytes the translocation of phosphatidyl serine (PS) to the cell surface causing eryptosis, a suicidal erythrocyte death that is characterized by cell shrinkage and phospholipid scrambling in the cell membrane (Saising et al., 2018).

A number of semisynthetic Rom-derivatives have been synthetized, which showed less or similar activity as Rom (Leejae et al., 2012). It was also possible to completely synthesize Rom and its isomer rhodomyrtosone B, which showed a slightly higher activity than Rom (Morkunas et al., 2013). There were two alternative routes for Rom synthesis developed; one route is proposed as a possible pathway for Rom synthesis in plants (Morkunas and Maier, 2015). In a mouse skin infection model against MRSA it has been shown that rhodomyrtosone B prevents skin ulcer formation and shows a lower incidence of infection-induced morbidity; its activity was comparable to vancomycin (Zhao et al., 2018).

Here, we isolated a highly Rom-resistant mutant (RomR) in S. aureus, which was due to a single point mutation in the farR gene. FarR was described as the transcriptional regulator of farE encoding an efflux pump for linoleic and arachidonic acids (Alnaseri et al., 2015). Here, we show that FarR is an important regulator that not only represses the expression of farE but also of various toxin genes; consequently, by inactivating farR these genes are de-repressed, which explains the increased pathogenicity of the RomR clone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Bacterial Strains and Culture Conditions

Bacterial strains and plasmids are listed in Table 1. Unless stated otherwise, bacteria were grown aerobically in tryptic soy broth (TSB, Fluka) at 37°C under continuous shaking, or in basic medium [BM, 1% (w/v) casein peptone, 0.5% (w/v) yeast extract, 0.5% (w/v) NaCl, 0.1% (w/v) K2HPO4, 0.1% (w/v) glucose, pH 7.2]. Antibiotics were added when appropriate in the following concentrations: 100 µg ml−1 ampicillin in the case of E. coli, and 10 µg ml−1 chloramphenicol in case of S. aureus carrying pCX plasmid.

Isolation of a RomR Mutant in S. aureus HG001 and Sequencing Its Genome

For the isolation of a spontaneous Rom-resistant mutant we cultivated S. aureus HG001 aerobically in TSB medium for 4 h to activate the strain. This culture was used to inoculate (10%) fresh TSB supplemented with 2 µg/ml Rom (4 MIC) and continued the cultivation for 72 h. Then, the culture were subsequently cultured with 10% inoculation into fresh TSB supplemented with...
2 µg/ml Rom (4 MIC) and continued the cultivation for 24 h. When plated on TS-agar containing increasing concentrations of Rom, colonies were obtained that were resistant to >128 µg/ml Rom. The resistant clones (RomR) were stable, and retained their resistance even after up to 10 passages in TSB without Rom.

**Comparative Genome Sequencing**

Isolation of the chromosomal DNA from the parent strain HG001 and its RomR mutant and sequencing of both genomes was performed by the DSMZ, Braunschweig (Germany). The long-read sequencing technique PacBio RS II with an average read length of 10 kb was used (Rhoads and Au, 2015). For error correction Illumina sequencing was performed. Aligning both genomes in MAUVE showed one single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) in CDS called SAUOHSC_02867.

**RNA Isolation for RNA-Seq**

*Staphylococcus aureus* HG001 wild type and the RomR clones were cultured in basic medium for 4 and 8 h. The bacterial cell cultures were harvested by centrifugation at 4,500 × g and 4°C for 10 min. The cell pellet was resuspended in 1 ml of acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenol-chloroform solution (Trizol) and transferred to 2 ml screw cap containing 0.5 ml of 1 mm silica beads. The cells were lysed 3 times in fastprep at 6.5 m/s for 30 s. The samples were cooled on ice for 5 min after each run. The lysate was incubated for 5 min at RT and then 200 µl of chloroform was added. The sample was vigorously shaken for 30 s to extract RNA, incubated at RT for 3 min then centrifuged at 15,000 × g and 4°C for 10 min. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was air-dried. The mixture was centrifuged at 15,000 × g, 4°C for 5 min. The supernatant was removed and the pellet was air-dried. The pellet was dissolved in 30 µl DEPC treated H2O by vortexing and RNA concentration was measured by nanodrop.

For transcriptomic analysis, batches of 1 µg of total RNA was ribo-depleted with the bacterial Ribo-Zero kit from Illumina. The trueq total RNA stranded kit from Illumina was used for the library preparation. Library quantity was measured by the Qubit and quality was assessed on a Tapestation on a DNA High sensitivity chip (Agilent Technologies). The libraries were pooled at equimolarity and loaded at 2 nM for clustering. Oriented 50 bases single-read sequencing was performed on the Illumina HiSeq 4000 sequencer yielding a minimum of 8 million mapped reads per sample. Final RNA-seq analysis and data analysis were carried out using previous described procedures (Cherkou et al., 2017). The raw sequence data were filtered by removing reads containing adapter, reads containing poly-N, and low-quality reads. The filtered reads were aligned against the genome of NCTC8325 (CP000253). The reads mapping was normalized as previously described and expressed as RPKM values (Mortazavi et al., 2008).

**Real-Time qPCR Analysis**

Total RNA from HG001, RomR and RomR ΔfarE strains was extracted from 8 h cultures in TSB medium using the RNAeasy Mini Extraction Kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions followed by DNaseI treatment overnight (Promega). The RNA was quantified using NanoDrop 2000 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and used for qPCR experiments. Expression was detected using the Power Sybr RNA to Ct 1 step kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) following manufacturer’s instructions with the following oligonucleotides: psma1 5’TATCGCTGCGCTTATTAC’3 and 5’CATCGTTTGTCTTCTTG’3. The gyrB gene was used as reference. The cycling conditions were as follows: cDNA production 48°C during 30 min, for qPCR denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles at 95°C for 25 s, 60°C for 1 min. Relative changes in the expression of individual genes was obtained using ΔΔCt method. At least three independent cultures were analyzed for each conditions. RT qPCR was performed using AriaMx3005 (Agilent).
RNA-Seq Data Accession Number
RNA-seq data have been submitted to ArrayExpress with the accession number: PRJEB30619.

Deletion of farE Gene by Allelic Replacement in S. aureus HG001
The deletion of farE gene in S. aureus HG001 was generated by homologous recombination (Figure 1). The basis for the construction of the knock-out plasmid was temperature-sensitive vector pBASE6, in which two DNA fragments were cloned. Briefly, 1 kb upstream and 1 kb downstream region were amplified by PCR the genome of S. aureus HG001 using primer pairs F_farE and R_farE for the upstream region and F_farEdown and R_farEdown (Supplementary Table S2).

Construction of pCX-farR
The plasmid pCX30::farR (Figure 1D) contains the native farR gene (SAUOHSC_0286) under control of the xylose-inducible promoter (Wieland et al., 1995). To construct this plasmid, farR gene was amplified by PCR using forward primer and reversed primer F_farR(BamHI) and R_farR(XmaI) (Supplementary Table S2). In the forward primer, the ribosomal binding site was optimal with the sequence AGGAGGT. The amplified PCR fragment and pCX30 were cut by BamHI and XmaI and subsequently ligated. The ligation product was first transformed into S. aureus RN4220. The positive pCX-farR plasmid was subsequently transformed into the RomR clone for further analysis.

Determination of MIC
Minimal inhibitory concentration values of Rom were determined by a modified broth microdilution method in 96-well microtiter plates using Müller-Hinton broth in a final volume of 200 µl. The inoculum size, 10^5 cells ml^-1 were used as described by Saising et al. (2018). The concentration gradient of Rom ranged from 128 to 0.125 µg/ml. 100 µl of bacterial suspension was inoculated and incubated at 37°C for 16–18 h. The MIC was read at the lowest concentration that completely inhibited the bacterial growth. Xylose (0.5%) was added to the medium for S. aureus HG001(pCX-farR) and RomR(pCX-farR). Rom resistance also was determined by Kirby–Bauer antibiotic testing. 100 µl of 10^8 CFU/ml of bacteria were spread on the TSA plates. 100 µg of Rom was loaded on the paper filters. Pictures were taken after 18 h incubation at 37°C. Inhibition zones surrounding the filter disks indicate susceptibility to Rom (Figure 1A and Table 2).

Analysis of Release of Lipids
Release of lipids into the bacterial supernatant was carried out as described by Pader et al. (2016). Briefly, lipids were detected and quantified using FM5-95 (Thermo Fischer). The bacterial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strains</th>
<th>MIC (µg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HG001</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RomR</td>
<td>&gt;128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG001::farE</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RomR::ΔfarE</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HG001 (pCX-farR)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RomR (pCX-farR)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Both fragments were purified and ligated into pBASE6 using Gibson assembly (Gibson et al., 2009) according to manufacturer instructions. The ligation reaction was purified and transformed into competent cells of E. coli DC10B. The positive plasmids were selected by PCR and sequencing. The selected plasmids were sub-transformed into S. aureus HG001 and RomR. Further steps to obtain the marker-less mutant strains were performed as described by Bae and Schneewind (2006). Positive clones were selected and verified by PCR and sequencing.

---

1https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/
strains were cultivated in TSB medium for 8 or 16 h. The OD was determined and cultures were equally adjusted to the same OD. Then equal aliquots of cells were centrifuged and the supernatants filtered. 100 µl of each sample were mixed with FM5-95 to a final concentration of 5 µg/ml. Fluorescence was measured with a Tecan microplate reader using excitation at 565 nm and emission at 660 nm.

**Fatty Acid and Lipid Extraction and Analysis by GC-MS**

Bacterial strains were cultivated in BM medium for 16 h. The cultures were adjusted to the same OD, the cells were centrifuged and the supernatant was used for FA determination. The total lipids and free FAs were extracted from filtered supernatant by the Bligh and Dyer method (Bligh and Dyer, 1959). Samples were prepared for GC-MS analysis. In detail, dried supernatant extract was suspended in 1 ml reagent I (22.5 g NaOH + 75 ml MeOH + 75 ml water) and transferred to 10 ml glass jars with screw top lids with a Teflon seal for saponification. The suspension was well vortexed and incubated for 35 min at 100°C. After cooling, 2 ml of reagent II (162.5 ml 6 N HCl + 137.5 ml MeOH) was added for esterification. The suspension was incubated for 12 min at 80°C and cooled down again. The FA methyl esters were extracted by adding 1.25 ml of Hexan. The suspension was then shaken for 5 min, until phase separation occurred. After a short centrifugation step, the upper phase was transferred to a fresh glass vial and used for GC-MS. Before FA analysis, 0.2 mg/ml of CI2:0 in ethanol (99%) was used for GC as an internal standard [CI2 FAs were not found in S. aureus (Nguyen et al., 2015)]. GC analysis was carried out by Gas Chromatograph (GC) (Hewlett Packard HP 6890) with a DBWAX-30 W column [covalent bond phase, length: 30 m, i.d. 0.139 mm (Macherey-Nagel, Düren)]. Temperature program was 3 min isotherm 80°C, 80–250°C at 10°C min⁻¹ and 5 min at 250°C. GC parameters were: injector temperature, 250°C; detector temperature, 250°C; column pressure, 450 kPa, injector pressure, 100 kPa; flame ionization detector, 60 kPa; air, 30 kPa; slpit, 1:19.2.

**Analysis of the Excreted Cytoplasmic Proteins**

FbaA, aldolase; GAPDH, Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase are typical cytoplasmic proteins, which can be excreted into the supernatant (Ebner et al., 2015). For detection of FbaA and GAPDH activity in the supernatant, 16 h grown cultures were diluted to the same OD (OD₅₇₈ = 10). Then, 2 ml was sterile filtered (0.45 µm pore size) and 50 µl were used for the assay. For the detection, Aldolase Activity Assay Kit (Colorimetric) and Glyceraldehyde-3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase Activity Assay Kit (Colorimetric) (both from Abcam) were used. Both assays were done following the manufacturer description.

**Impact of Bacterial Supernatant on Cytotoxicity**

The cytotoxic capacity of the supernatant was determined in three well characterized human cell lines: HaCaT, a spontaneously transformed aneuploid immortal human keratinocyte cell line, HEK 293, human embryonic kidney cells, and A549, human lung carcinoma cells. The three cell lines were cultured in DMEM-high glucose medium (Life Technology, Darmstadt) supplemented with 10% FBS (Biochrom, Berlin) and 1% Pen/Strep (10 mg/ml) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. Cytotoxicity was determined by using the LDH cytotoxicity assay kit (Thermo Scientific). All experiments were performed triplicate in a 96 well assay plate. Bacteria were grown for 16 h in TSB medium and were subsequently diluted to the same OD₅₇₈ 10. The cells were centrifuged and the supernatant was filtered (0.45 µm pore size). For LDH cytotoxicity assay, 10 µl of supernatant was added to the human cells and incubated for 3 h at 37°C with 5% CO₂. As negative control served wells containing only DMEM/F; and for positive controls 10 µl lysis buffer was added. After incubation the cell suspensions were centrifuged and the supernatant was transferred to a new 96-well flat bottom plate, subsequently mixed gently with reaction mixture and incubated at room temperature in the dark for 30 min. Finally, the stop solution was added and shortly centrifuged to break any bubbles. The samples were measured at a wavelength 490 and 680 nm by Tecan Infinite 200 (Tecan, Männedorf CH) plate reader.

**Mouse Infection Experiments**

Overnight cultures of HG001 and Romₘ in BHI medium were diluted to a final OD₆₀₀ of 0.05 in 50 mL fresh BHI medium and grown for 3.5 h at 37°C. After centrifugation, the cell pellet was resuspended in BHI with 20% glycerol, aliquoted and stored at −80°C. For the generation of in vivo infection, aliquots were thawed and washed twice with PBS. The infectious dose used for infection was very similar for both strains (4 × 10⁸ CFU/HG001 and 3.5 × 10⁷ CFU/Romₘ in 20 µl). A sample of the infection inoculum was plated on TSB agar plates in order to control the infection dose. For the intranasal S. aureus infection model (pneumonia model) we used female Balb/c mice (9 per group, 6 weeks, Janvier Labs, Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France). They were intranasally infected with 4 × 10⁸ CFU of HG001 and with 3.5 × 10⁷ CFU of Romₘ. During infection, mice were scored twice a day and the severity of infection was determined accordingly. After 48 h of infection, mice were sacrificed, the lungs recovered, homogenized and plated in serial dilutions on TSB agar plates in order to determine the bacterial burden. Significant difference in the CFU counts in the lungs between both groups was determined with Mann–Whitney-test (software: GraphPad Prism 5.0): two-tailed: 0.0625 = n.s.; one-tailed: 0.0313 = *.

**Ethics Statement**

All of the animal studies were approved by the local government of Franconia, Germany (approval number 55.2.2532-2-155) and performed in strict accordance with the guidelines for animal care and experimentation of German Animal Protection Law and the DIRECTIVE 2010/63/EU of the EU. The mice were housed in individually ventilated cages under normal diet in groups of four to five throughout the experiment with ad libitum access to food and water.
**Statistical Analysis**

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) test or Student’s *t*-test and Mann–Whitney test were employed when appropriate to compare the difference of means between the mutant clones with the wild-type HG001 when it appropriate. All the statistical analysis was performed by GraphPad Prism. The significance level was set as follows: a *P*-value of >0.05 was considered not significant (ns). In figures, significant differences are depicted as follows: *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001, ****P < 0.0001.

**RESULTS**

**Isolation of a Rom Resistant Mutant (Rom^R^) From S. aureus HG001**

To learn more about the mechanism of Rom’s interaction with *S. aureus* we tried to isolate a Rom^R^ mutant *S. aureus* HG001. For this we streaked $\approx 5 \times 10^9$ cells of an HG001 overnight culture on TS agar plates containing 5, 10, and 20 $\mu$g/ml Rom. However, no Rom^R^ colonies arose. Only after preceding several subcultivations of HG001 in TSB medium containing 2 $\mu$g/ml Rom (4xMIC) spontaneous Rom^R^ mutants could be reproducibly isolated. The Rom^R^ clones were completely devoid of an inhibition zone on the agar diffusion assay (Figure 1A). Indeed, the Rom^R^ clones were highly resistant to Rom, showing MIC values $> 128 \mu$g/ml (Table 1).

**A Single Point Mutation in the farR Gene Caused Rom^R^**

By comparative whole genome sequencing of HG001 and its Rom^R^ it turned out that there was only one single point mutation in the genome of Rom^R^. In the ORF SAUOHSC_02867 the cysteine codon TGC116 of the HG001 was mutated to the arginine codon CGC in Rom^R^ (Figure 1B). Besides the Cys116/Arg mutant we obtained in two further independent screenings spontaneous Rom-resistant mutants with amino acid exchanges in Val115/Ile and Phe153/Leu, respectively. All the mutants were stable over more than 10 passages. The ORF SAUOHSC_02867 represents the farR gene, which encodes a regulator with an N-terminal TetR family DNA binding domain (Alnaseri et al., 2015). FarR controls the expression of the divergently transcribed farE (effector of fatty acid resistance). FarE, a membrane protein with 12 predicted transmembrane domains (Supplementary Figure S2), is described to represent a multidrug efflux pump (Alnaseri et al., 2015). Detailed information on the expression of the farR and farE genes was obtained in a study analyzing the transcriptome of *S. aureus* HG001 under more than 40 different experimental conditions, including different growth stages in complex and minimal media as well as infection-related conditions like growth in human serum, oxygen limitation or internalization by eukaryotic cells (Mäder et al., 2016). Inspection of farR and farE transcript levels under these conditions revealed that farR is significantly and evenly expressed under all conditions tested (Supplementary Figure S3). In contrast, expression of farE is only observed during exponential growth of *S. aureus* in various cultivation media (TSB, RPMI, and MEM), whereas during growth in chemically defined medium or human plasma, in stationary phase cells or after internalization into host cells expression levels are very low.

To confirm that the mutation in farR^∗^ is responsible for the Rom^R^ phenotype and to investigate the contribution of farE we constructed various deletion mutants and plasmids (Figure 1C). To see whether farR or the expression of farE by its proposed regulator FarR was responsible for Rom^R^, we deleted farE in both HG001 and Rom^R^ strains. If farE is deleted in Rom^R^ ΔfarE, the clone became as sensitive to Rom as the parent HG001 (Table 2), suggesting, that FarE is ultimately responsible for the Rom^R^ phenotype. Deletion of farE in the parent strain, HG001 ΔfarE, showed no effect. We also cloned the parent (none-mutated) farR in a xylose inducible plasmid, pCX-farR (Figure 1D), and transformed the plasmid into HG001(pCX-farR) and Rom^R^ (pCX-farR). As shown in Table 2 both clones became hypersensitive to Rom, suggesting that FarR is acting as a repressor of farE expression, and that the point mutation in farR^∗^ inactivates the repressor function.

**Comparative Transcriptome Analysis Disclosed Up- and Down-Regulated Genes in Rom^R^ Clone (farR Mutant)**

For the initial identification of potential cellular processes affected by gene expression alterations in the Rom^R^ clone, we compared the transcriptomes of HG001 and Rom^R^ clones after 4 h (early log phase) and 8 h (early stationary phase) of growth. The most pronounced differences were seen after 8 h. In total, approximately 1000 genes were ≥4-fold differentially expressed in the Rom^R^ clone with the point mutation in farR^∗^ compared to the parental strain. More than 100 genes were up- and 900 genes were down-regulated in Rom^R^ (Figure 2A). The total list of gene expression data is given in Supplementary Table S1. The genes that are differently expressed can be directly or indirectly (by other regulators) controlled by FarR. We categorized the genes according to their functional classification into 20 clusters of orthologs (COG) categories (Tatusov et al., 2003) (Figure 2B). The results indicate that FarR is an important regulator. Some of the most up- or down-regulated genes in the farR mutant (Rom^R^) are listed in Table 3. We indicated the ratio Rom^R^ versus WT. Genes that are higher expressed in the farR mutant (Rom^R^) (ratios > 1) are negatively controlled by FarR, while genes that are lower expressed are positively controlled by FarR.

Comparing the effect of FarR on other global regulators it turns out that it negatively controls its own expression (farR), the accessory gene regulator (agr) operon, and the accessory regulator A (sarA); while the glycopeptide resistance-associated two-component system (graSR), which was lower expressed in the farR mutant (Rom^R^), appears to be positively controlled by FarR (Table 3).

In the Rom^R^ clone genes were upregulated that encode toxins (hla and psmβ1), secreted enzymes (gehA and sspB), membrane bound transporters (farE and a cation transporter E1–E2) or capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis genes. That means that all these genes are negatively regulated by FarR. As the psm-α
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**FIGURE 2** Transcriptome analysis (RNA-seq) of genes differently expressed in RomR clone compared to HG001 wild type strain. (A) The Venn diagrams show numbers of genes that are at least fourfold up- or downregulated after 4 and 8 h of growth in BM in the RomR clone. (B) Percentage of genes being at least fourfold up (red bars) or downregulated (blue bars) in each of cluster orthologous groups (COG) based on functional categories. Designations of functional categories: C, energy production and conversion; D, cell division and chromosome partitioning; E, amino acid metabolism and transport; F, nucleotide metabolism and transport; G, carbohydrate metabolism and transport; H, coenzyme metabolism; I, lipid metabolism; J, translation, ribosomal structure and biogenesis; K, transcription; L, DNA replication, recombination, and repair; M, cell wall structure and biogenesis, outer membrane; N, Cell motility and chemotaxis; O, post-translational modification, protein turnover, chaperone functions; P, Inorganic ion transport and metabolism; Q, secondary metabolites biosynthesis, transport and catabolism; R, general functional prediction; T, signal transduction mechanisms; U, secretion; V, defense mechanisms.

genes are not annotated in the HG001 genome (NCTC 8325 background) we compared the mRNA expression of psm-α1 by qRT-PCR analysis and could demonstrate that the PSMα expression is about 2,000 times higher of the RomR than that of the parent strain HG001 (Figure 3A). In contrast to the mentioned secreted proteins several cell wall bound proteins such spa, sdrACD and a LysM domain-containing protein gene were lower expressed in the farR mutant (Table 3). The upregulation of secreted proteins and the down-regulation of cell wall anchored proteins in the RomR clone (farR mutant) suggest that FarR negatively regulates the global regulators agr and sarA (Wolz et al., 2000; Novick, 2003).

**In the RomR Clone (farR Mutant) Secretion of Virulence Factors and Release of Cytoplasmic Proteins Is Increased**

According to the transcriptome analysis, a number of virulence genes were upregulated in RomR clone (Table 3). Among them were lipase (geh), alpha-hemolysin (hla), and phenol soluble modulins (psm) genes. We can show that the increased transcription was also correlated with increased expression of the corresponding proteins. For example, the hemolysis halo on blood agar was larger in RomR compared to HG001 (Supplementary Figure S1A) and in the lipase zymogram the lipase activity bands were more pronounced in RomR compared to HG001 (Supplementary Figure S1B) or Protein A was expressed lower in ROMR than that in HG001 (Supplementary Figure S1C). Regarding the phenol soluble modulins (PSMs) particularly the α-types are highly cytotoxic (Peschel and Otto, 2013). Here we show that the amount of PSMα1 was about 2.5-fold higher in the RomR clone compared to the parent HG001 (Figure 3A).

As it is known that the expression of PSMα peptides significantly increased the excretion of cytoplasmic proteins (ECP) (Ebner et al., 2017), we investigated whether the prototype cytoplasmic proteins, Fba and GAPDH, were also more abundant in the supernatant of RomR. The amount of both enzymes was indirectly determined by assaying their activity. Indeed, the activity of both enzymes was much higher in RomR than in HG001 or RomR ΔfarE (Figure 3B). These results corroborate the correlation between PSMα production and ECP.

**Derepression of farE in the RomR Clone Increased Release of Lipids and FAs**

As FarE is described as an efflux pump for linoleic and arachidonic acids (Alnaseri et al., 2015), we determined the release of lipids into the supernatant in various clones by using...
the fluorescent dye FM5-95 that preferentially binds to bacterial lipids. Cells were cultivated in TSB and the supernatant was taken of released lipids in the supernatant (Figure 4A). At both time points the RomR clone produced more toxins we investigated (Figure 4B, inserted graph). The results indicate that released lipids are qualitatively and quantitatively altered in the RomR clone compared to the other clones.

The Supernatant of RomR Clone Was More Cytotoxic Than That of the Parent Strain HG001

Since the RomR clone produced more toxins we investigated the cytotoxic activity in the supernatants of HG001, RomR, and RomRΔfarE grown for 16 h in TSB. Cytotoxicity was determined in three human cell lines: HaCaT, HEK 293, and A549 by assaying the release of cytoplasmic lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from lysed cells. As shown in Figure 5A the supernatant of RomR showed in all cell lines a significant higher cytotoxicity than its parent HG001. In the RomRΔfarE clone the cytotoxicity was slightly decreased compared to RomR (Figure 5A).

Table 3: Selected annotated genes that are up- or down-regulated in RomR clone.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Ratio RomR/WT</th>
<th>4 h</th>
<th>8 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regulators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_02867</td>
<td>FarR-regulator protein (farR)</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>18.27</td>
<td>18.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_02261</td>
<td>Accessory gene regulator protein B (agrB)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_02260</td>
<td>Delta hemolysin (hld), RNAIII</td>
<td>17.66</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00620</td>
<td>Accessory regulator A (sarA)</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00666</td>
<td>Glycopeptide resistance-associated two-component system, GraS (graS) and</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00665</td>
<td>GraR (graR)</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secreted toxins, enzymes and transporters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_01121</td>
<td>Alpha-hemolysin (hla)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_01135</td>
<td>Phenol-soluble modulin beta1 (psmB1)</td>
<td>231.1</td>
<td>1113.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00306</td>
<td>Lipase (SAL1) (gelA)</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>127.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00987</td>
<td>Cysteine protease, staphopain B (sspB)</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>7.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_02866</td>
<td>FarE, fatty acid efflux pump (farE)</td>
<td>19.46</td>
<td>92.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_02874</td>
<td>Cation transporter E1-E2 family ATPase</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>5.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_01193</td>
<td>Fatty acid kinase (fakA)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00764</td>
<td>FA-binding protein, binds saturated FA (fakB1)</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_01433</td>
<td>FA-binding protein, prefers unsaturated FA (fakB2)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capsular proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00114</td>
<td>Capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis protein</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>32.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00115</td>
<td>Capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis Cap5B</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>11.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00116</td>
<td>Capsular polysaccharide biosynthesis Cap8C</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cell wall bound proteins</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00069</td>
<td>Protein A (spa)</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_01175</td>
<td>Fibrinogen-binding protein A-like protein (sdrA)</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00544</td>
<td>Fibrinogen-binding protein SdrC (sdrC)</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_00545</td>
<td>Fibrinogen-binding protein SdrD (sdrD)</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAOUHSC_02855</td>
<td>LysM domain-containing protein</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Transcriptome data analysis is based on the NCBI RefSeq annotation of Staphylococcus aureus NCTC 8325, the progenitor strain of HG001. 2 Total genes are listed in Supplementary Table S1. Gene names were obtained from AureoWiki (Fuchs et al., 2018). n.d, not determined.
In the Mouse Infection Model (Pneumonia) the RomR Clone Was More Pathogenic Than the Parent HG001

Finally, we investigated whether the RomR clone is also more pathogenic in vivo. For the *S. aureus* infection model (pneumonia model) female Balb/c mice (9 per group, 6 weeks, Janvier Labs, Le Genest-Saint-Isle, France) were used. They were intranasally infected with $4 \times 10^8$ CFU of HG001 and with $3.5 \times 10^8$ CFU of the RomR clone. Indeed, the RomR clone showed a higher CFU load in the lungs after 48 h of infection (Figure 5B), and slightly stronger weight loss than the parent HG001 (Figure 5C). This result indicates that the RomR clone was more pathogenic than its parent strain HG001.

DISCUSSION

We isolated a Rom-resistant mutant in *S. aureus* cultures performed in the presence of sub-lethal concentration of the drug, which differed from its parent only from one point mutation in the farR gene causing an amino acid exchange (Cys116Arg) in FarR, farR (regulator of FA resistance) and the neighboring farE (effector of FA resistance) have been recently described by Alnaseri et al. (2015). FarE causes resistance to the
antimicrobial FAs arachidonic and linoleic acids by promoting their efflux. FarR acts as a repressor of farE and, consequently, when farR was mutated farE was up-regulated thus increasing the resistance to FAs. The FarR/FarE system is similar to the E. coli acrR/acrB counterpart involved in resistance to acriflavine and ciprofloxacin (Nakamura, 1968; Ma et al., 1995; Webber et al., 2005).

A similar mechanism is underlying the high resistance to Rom as the described resistance in the FAs in S. aureus. The point mutation in farR in S. aureus inactivates the repressor function of FarR with the effect that farE becomes de-repressed and more FarE can be produced. Our transcriptome analysis shows that FarR is a strong repressor of farE. We assume that the derepression of farE is causative for the high Rom resistance. This assumption is corroborated by two results: (a) deletion of farE in the RomR clone (RomR ΔfarE) makes the clone Rom-sensitive like the parent strain, and (b) cloning of native farR in the RomR clone (RomR (pCX-farR)) makes the clone hyper-sensitive to Rom.

All data speak in favor that overexpression of FarE is responsible for the high Rom resistance; however, the underlying mechanism is not fully clarified. Currently, we are considering two possibilities by which Rom resistance is mediated by FarE: (a) FarE excretes FA/lipids that antagonize or neutralize certain antibiotics like Rom, or (b) FarE is an efflux pump for certain antibiotics like Rom. In both cases antibiotic susceptibility would be altered.

In favor for assumption (a) speaks that it has been shown that the addition of saturated FAs (nC15:0, nC16:0, and nC18:0) can partially counteract Rom’s antimicrobial activity (Saising et al., 2018). Another evidence for the first hypothesis is that the RomR clone excretes significantly more lipids and total FAs into culture supernatant than the parent strain HG001 or the ΔfarE (RomR ΔfarE) and farR (RomR (pCX-farR)) clones. Although FarE appears to play a major role in Rom resistance there might be also other factors that play a role. For example in the RomR clone the excretion of PSMα1 and also of cytoplasmic proteins such as FbaA and GAPDH is increased suggesting that the integrity of the cytoplasmic membrane is disturbed. Particularly the cytotoxic PSMα peptides can mobilize lipoproteins, the TLR2 agonists, from the staphylococcal cytoplasmic membrane and boost
excretion of cytoplasmic proteins (Hanzelmann et al., 2016; Ebner et al., 2017). The enhanced expression of agr in the RomR clone indicates that FarR is a repressor of agr and also of sarA. Therefore, we assume that one of the most important functions of FarR is the balancing of the expression of global regulators such as agr and SarA; by this FarR becomes itself, indirectly, a global regulator. We don’t know yet whether lipids, FAs or other released compounds in RomR clone cause Rom resistance, although, certain lipids and FAs are hot candidates.

We assume that the increased excretion of lipids neutralize Rom’s activity which would be supported by the previous finding that Rom binds transiently to phospholipid head groups (Saeloh et al., 2018). We furthermore assume that the excreted lipids come from membrane turnover metabolism. In agreement with this assumption is that S. aureus releases phosphatidylglycerol (PG) during the stationary phase of growth (Short and White, 1971), and keeps an intracellular non-esterified FA pool that is elevated in strains lacking FA kinase activity (Ericson et al., 2017). FA kinase (Fak) is ubiquitous in Gram-positive bacteria consisting of an ATP-binding protein (FakA) that phosphorylates the FA bound to FakB (FakB1 or FakB2) (Parsons et al., 2014).
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**FIGURE 5** | Comparison of cytotoxicity and mouse pathogenicity. (A) Comparison of the cytotoxicity of HG001, RomR clone, and RomR ΔfarE mutant in various host cells A495, HEK, and HaCaT. Experiments were performed in triplicate. Error bars indicate standard error. Statistical significances between mutant clones RomR, ΔfarE (RomR ΔfarE) with the wild type HG001 were analyzed by 1-way ANOVA: not significant $P > 0.05$, $^* P < 0.05$, $^{**} P < 0.01$, $^{***} P < 0.001$, $^{****} P < 0.0001$. (B,C) Comparison of HG001 and RomR clone in 48 h intranasal mouse infection model (pneumonia model). The RomR clone shows higher CFU values in the lungs after 48 h of infection (B), and a slightly stronger weight loss than the wild type HG001 (C). Significant differences ($^* P = 0.0313$) in bacterial burden were noted between RomR and the wt HG001. Data were analyzed using Mann–Whitney one tailed test.
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**FIGURE 6** | Model for FarE mediated resistance to Rom in the RomR clone. In the RomR clone farR is inactivated by the point mutation that leads to an amino acid exchange (Cys116/Arg) in the FarR regulator protein. FarR acts not only as a repressor of its own farR gene but also represses farE. The mutation in farR indicated by (*) leads to inactivation of FarR and consequently to derepression of farE and many other genes (including farR, agr, sarA, hla, psm-a, b, hld, geh…). Derepression of farE causes over-expression of FarE. We propose that FarE acts as an exporter of lipids that interact with Rom thus causing neutralization of Rom and leading to high Rom resistance.
When comparing the expression profile of \textit{fakA} and \textit{fakB2} genes in the Rom$^R$ clone and the wild type, it turned out that in the Rom$^R$ clone both genes are repressed, suggesting that the intracellular non-esterified FA pool in the Rom$^R$ clone might be also increased. It is therefore well possible that part of the non-esterified FA is exported by FarE and thus contributing to neutralization of Rom.

The cytotoxicity of the Rom$^R$ clone was significantly higher than that of the parent strain HG001 or the $\Delta$farE mutant. In the Rom$^R$$\Delta$farE mutant the difference was less pronounced suggesting that it is essentially the point mutation in the \textit{farR} gene that makes the Rom$^R$ clone less cytotoxic. FarR belongs to the TetR family of transcriptional regulators and shows similarity to AcrR of \textit{E. coli} (Ramos et al., 2005; Alnaseri et al., 2015). The point mutation in \textit{farR} led to an exchange of cysteine 116 by arginine. As the regions around Cys$^{116}$ in FarR and Cys$^{148}$ in AcrR of \textit{E. coli} are highly conserved, we assume that the Cys$^{116}$ is important and that the amino acid exchange in FarR most likely causes its inactivation.

Our comparative transcriptome analysis (HG001 against its Rom$^R$ clone) reveals that FarR controls directly or indirectly roughly 1000 genes that were $\geq$4-fold differentially expressed. No question, that FarR is a regulator, which affects positively and negatively the expression of genes involved in various cellular processes.

However, the important point for the understanding of the increased cytotoxicity of the Rom$^R$ clone is the derepression of various other regulators that are repressed by an intact FarR. Interestingly, in the Rom$^R$ clone the $\Delta$farR gene is derepressed, indicating that FarR negatively regulates its own expression. Furthermore, important global regulators such as \textit{agr} and \textit{sarA} genes are highly expressed in the Rom$^R$ clone compared to the parental strain. Particularly, the derepression of these regulators is in agreement with the increased expression of toxins and proteases encoded by \textit{hla}, \textit{psmA1}, \textit{psmB1}, and \textit{sspB} and the decreased expression of surface proteins like Protein A, SdrA, SdrC, and SdrD. Especially the increased toxin expression is most likely responsible for the increased cytotoxicity (Smagur et al., 2009; Kanytka et al., 2011; Cheung et al., 2014; Sampedro et al., 2014). There are not many cases where loss of gene function is associated with increased virulence. One such example is the deletion of the \textit{rho} gene causing an upregulation of the SaeRS two-component system in \textit{S. aureus} HG001 (Nagel et al., 2018). SaeRS two-component system acts as a major regulator of virulence gene expression in staphylococci (Liu et al., 2016).

CONCLUSION

A model for the FarE mediated resistance to Rom in the Rom$^R$ clone is illustrated in Figure 6. We assume that the high Rom resistance is mediated by the overexpression of FarE leading to an increased release of lipids and FAs into the supernatant by which Rom's antimicrobial activity could be neutralized. The increased cytotoxicity of the Rom$^R$ clone is also reflected by its increased virulence in a mouse pneumonia model. In our case inactivation of $\Delta$farR gene causes upregulation of the \textit{agr} and \textit{sarA} regulator systems which most likely is responsible for the increased virulence.
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