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In *Healthcare and Big Data: Digital Specters and Phantom objects*, Mary Ebeling addresses a hot topic: how healthcare data form a wide technical and economical network emerging from big data implementations. The author's interest in this question emerged after she was the target of maternity-related advertising assaults. Following several attempts to get pregnant (including medically-assisted fertilization) and two miscarriages, she ended up giving birth to a virtual being: her “marketing baby', born of big data in the distributed databases of marketers and data brokers” (p. 7).

Positioning herself as a detective seeking to unveil the “conspiracy” behind her “marketing baby”, Ebeling articulates an “auto-ethnographic noir” (p. 17) grounded in her own personal experiences with the socio-technical networks through which her private data flow. Ebeling’s uncommon writing style impressively connects the description of what she calls a “databased society” (p. 27) with the sensitiveness of individuals feeling contradictions between personal data disclosure within opaque networks and the ethics underlying medical practices.

**Ebeling’s inquiry into the “Databased society”**

Eight chapters compose the book. Each of them intertwines the search for Ebeling’s “marketing baby” and her empirical inquiry within networks commodifying patient data. We have chosen to summarize the book around three key arguments: 1) patient data are turned into assets in “a network of disclosure”; 2) health professionals and patients contribute to this network without genuine consent; 3) data are transformed into biocommodities within decentralized markets.

**Unveiling actors in the network of patients’ data disclosure**

As she tracks the companies that are tracking her personal data, Ebeling takes us from hospitals and medical centers, where data are recorded, to trade shows and conferences attended by data brokerage professionals. The reader gradually discovers a complex ecosystem of private businesses and public institutions (including the US government) all turned into data brokers. These heterogeneous actors more or less consciously collect, trade, analyze and re-package publicly available information, such as birth records, as well as non-public information, such as credit card records and health data. They then sell them to a wide variety of clients, from university institutes looking for data for research purposes, to maternity-focused magazines developing targeted advertising campaigns.
Ebeling examines the conditions that make it possible to transfer personal data ownership to the data brokers and describes the legal and administrative infrastructure in which “innovation equals ownership” (p. 44). According to the US law, ownership is granted to those that innovate on the data – that is, who modify the data. Patient data are first “de-identified” (p. 44), and therefore made “clean” (p. 15). Second, these datasets are innovated upon through analytical operations, for both research and marketing purposes. Thus, innovators gain ownership of the data on which they can build new revenue streams, even if their core business does not consist in data aggregation or sales. Through this process, personal data are transformed into immaterial commodities through various algorithmically based innovations across databases.

This infrastructure is built on specific legislation, such as the HIPAA – Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act – and subsequent laws, which regulate the definitions of terms like “privacy”, “consent”, “trust” and “security”. These regulations “create a regulatory information system that can securely disclose patients’ data for the necessary functioning of healthcare provision and medical capitalism” (p. 72). The federal government implemented a “nation-wide electronic health infrastructure to enable the unhindered flow of medical records” (p. 78). However, as medical records digitalized, companies sold web-based software to medical institutions, in exchange for the ownership and exploitation of the data.

Ebeling argues that these regulations secure data brokerage companies to such a point that some were able to refuse to cooperate when subpoenaed in 2012 by a US Senate Committee investigating the data broker industry. Three of these companies invoked the secret of trade to protect their algorithms. Indeed, data brokers maintain their power in the way they “build their data assets” and “claim ownership over our data” (p. 44). If these regulations apply to patients and to organizations directly involved in the production of medical personal data, they do not apply to third-party entities such as credit card companies. Consequently, data brokers can “easily receive, disclose, buy, and sell protected health data” (pp. 78-79) and by doing so become the owners of patients’ data.

Patients and health professionals unknowingly recruited as data producers

The actors of what Ebeling calls the “network of disclosure” (p. 82) recruit allies with no apparent connection with business activities involving personal data. Health professionals themselves actively take part in feeding this network. The author shows these practices are in contradiction with the ethics of their profession. For most of those interviewed by Ebeling, patients’ privacy means HIPAA. However, through the bureaucratic practices of the institutions for which they work (e.g. hospitals or clinics) or the scripts of the software programs they use, they unknowingly disseminate patients’ data: “[d]espite their lack of knowledge of their practices’ privacy policies, most [of them] expressed deep concern about protecting their patient’s privacy” (p. 82). However, once the data are online, privacy is no longer the priority. The legislation aims to facilitate the circulation of the data, not guarantee privacy. Therefore, while health professionals are required to maintain privacy – risking huge fines or even jail if they breach patients’ confidentially, data brokers benefit from a network fed by health
institutions administratively and financially incentivized to share personal healthcare data.

These tensions in healthcare practice echo patients’ paradoxical position, conscious of “being tracked, watched, recorded, and gathered, especially digitally [not only online, but offline as well]” (pp. 50-51) and desiring privacy. According to the author, private information collection may not be an issue as long as people have control over the disclosure context. Building on an extensive literature review, Ebeling shows that since 1973, privacy has been apprehended through two mechanisms: consent and anonymization. Both are severely criticized throughout the book. First, grounding her analysis on Nissenbaum (2011, pp. 35-36), the author argues the notion of consent is “deeply flawed and increasingly meaningless” as “most of our daily lives are enacted online” (p. 57). Second, the notion of anonymization appears as a de jure legal rule, but contrasts not only with the de facto hauntings she personally experiences, but also with the re-identification techniques developed by data brokers (e.g. triangulation).

Ebeling argues that patients are “required to acknowledge that the practice’s privacy regulations were presented to them, but they are not consenting to anything that the practice might do with their private data” (p. 62). She illustrates this argument with one of her own experience as a patient facing Notice of Privacy Practices written in an “obfuscating language” (p. 69) that she was required to sign to benefit from healthcare services. In other words, Ebeling shows that “by agreeing to receive medical support through the clinic, [people are] also ceding control over any data that is produced out of that relationship to the clinic” (p. 70). Even if regulations and consent forms theoretically give patients some rights, Ebeling shows data collection cannot be avoided without giving up on healthcare.

A headless conspiracy lying in biocommodity activation

Ebeling concludes that her “marketing baby” is the result of the “activation” of biocommodities built upon her personal data. Drawing on Rajan (2006), Ebeling argues that biocommodities markets are based on a new form of commoditizing bodies: biovalue (p. 96). Such value is produced by the confluence of both biomedicine and capitalism. It results in a new industry of data extraction and production prospering of the free biolabor provided by unwitting patients. Once de-identified and analyzed, the patients’ data indeed become a “data commodity, a biocommodity, a data thing activated by lively capital” (p. 120). The author argues that “in the process of rematerialization, [that data commodities] can cause real, material harm” (p. 122). Through a process of “interpellation”, the “thing” hails the patient directly (p. 123). In other words, once “activated”, the data becomes a thing, in the case of Ebeling, her “marketing baby”.

The story may stop here: another example of capitalism’s progress into a new area of our lives, the creation of a new market and the exploration of the technical and legal infrastructure that enables it. However, as in all good romans noirs, things are less simple and Manichaean. Most of the “insiders” of this conspiracy (p. 145) met by Ebeling were shocked to learn that one could be haunted by data revenants as a kind of collateral damage of personal data collection. The violence wrought by “dumb” data was, according to them, “not supposed to happen” (p. 145).
In Ebeling’s own words: “The story of [her] marketing baby became a story about a machine-controlled network of data and disclosure where the human agents who are tasked with its stewardship don’t understand all of the ways that data is coming together and being used. They know how it is supposed to work, yet not how it really does work” (p. 145). This leads the author to conclude that “big data becomes a black box that ensnares all of us—database marketers and consumers alike—into a “whirring assemblage” of algorithms, data, and marketing. Big data is a black box for the insiders that construct the box as much as it is for the subjects of the black box.” (p. 146).

Algorithm subjectivities in the databased society

In her desperate search for her “marketing baby”, Ebeling questions the agency of data in our lives, our affects and our actions. As Ebeling finally acknowledges, “it turns out, as in most noirs, the mysterious person I’ve been searching for is both my baby, constructed by database marketing, and myself. I am the commodity as well.” (p. 128).

The author shows that data produced from our bodies have their own existence and give life to forms of objectivity that directly shape our lives. If the use of the term “conspiracy” might suggest some sort of Machiavellian plans, this would be mistaken: the conspiracy described by Ebeling is headless, but not without effects.

Ebeling’s narrative is not only deeply touching, it also emphasizes the situatedness of her own inquiry. By doing so, she addresses big data in a quite similar way as Susan Leigh Star (1990) did with standardization. Starting from her personal experience strongly enables Ebeling to highlight a less visible and less discussed aspect of data brokerage: how marketing practices engineer personal data impacts our lives, beliefs and hopes. In that way, Ebeling goes beyond her book’s title, Healthcare and Big Data. Here, the subtitle – Digital Specters and Phantom Objects – is more enlightening. The author offers a rich reflection on the existence of digital beings. Such beings can be understood as images of patients – or consumers – emerging from global data networks. The author characterizes her image built by digital infrastructures shaped in a large part by the marketing industry. This move emphasizes that this industry qualifies individuals not by who they are, but by what they do, like, or dream.

In conclusion, Ebeling’s contribution converges with studies that have characterized how marketing (Cochoy, 2010) and market devices (Muniesa, Millo, & Callon, 2007) produce a figure of the customer. These studies offer an interesting background to continue Ebeling’s analysis. Cluley and Brown (2015, p. 120) show, for instance, that Internet cookies, a technology very much used by marketers, perform ‘self-grounding’ subjectivity “that emerges from the entanglement of people, data and things” (p. 120). The marketing industry does not capture individuals by ‘masks’ that are fitted to groups of consumers; rather, it relies on new market devices fueled by big data to “allow consumers to render themselves as clusters of data trails or ‘dividuals’” (Cluley and Brown, p. 120). What kinds of consumers and citizens do algorithm-based marketing practices perform? What kinds of objectivities are produced by data? What are the agencies enacted by big data technology implementations? These are some of the questions raised by Ebeling’s book.
BIBLIOGRAPHY


AUTHORS

CLÉMENT GASULL
CSI, Mines ParisTech, UMR I3, PSL University

LOÏC Riom
CSI, Mines ParisTech, UMR I3, PSL University