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Abstract

Objectives: Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and osteoarthritis (OA) are common diseases that frequently co-exist, along with overweight/obesity. While the mechanical impact of excess body weight on joints may explain lower limb OA, we sought to explore whether T2DM is linked to OA outside of excess weight and whether T2DM may play a role in OA pathophysiology. The consequence of T2DM on OA outcomes is a question of research interest.

Methods: We conducted a critical review of the literature to explore the association between T2DM and OA, whether any association is site-specific for OA, and whether the presence of T2DM impacts on OA outcomes. We also reviewed the literature to assess the safety of anti-OA treatments in patients with T2DM.

Results: T2DM has a pathogenic effect on OA through 2 major pathways involving oxidative stress and low-grade chronic inflammation resulting from chronic hyperglycemia and insulin resistance. T2DM is a risk factor for OA progression and has a negative impact on arthroplasty outcomes. Evidence is mounting for safety concerns with some of the most frequently prescribed anti-OA medications, including paracetamol, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and corticosteroid injections, while other anti-OA medications may be safely prescribed in OA patients with T2DM, such as glucosamine and intra-articular hyaluronic acid.

Conclusions: Future research is needed to better understand whether diabetes control and prevention can modulate OA occurrence and progression. The selection of therapy to treat OA symptoms in patients with T2DM may require careful consideration of the evidence based to avoid untoward safety issues.

Abbreviations: MetS, metabolic syndrome; NAFLD, Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NSAID, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; OA, osteoarthritis.
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Introduction

Type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM) and osteoarthritis (OA) are common diseases that are predicted to increase in prevalence [1,2]. OA and T2DM frequently co-exist simply by chance due to their high prevalence and shared risk factors. For example, the association of OA with obesity is well-supported [3], and obesity occurs in the majority of people with T2DM [4,5]. Aging is a well-known risk factor for both T2DM and OA. The estimated prevalence in the US of T2DM is 4.6 million among individuals aged 18–44, and rises to 14.3 million people aged 45–64 and 12.0 million people aged ≥65 years [6]. Similarly, radiographically-defined knee OA increases dramatically with age, affecting 14% of adults aged over 25 years and 37% of those over the age of 60 years [7].

T2DM is a highly prevalent complex disease with a genetic background and the intervention of environmental risk factors, especially poor lifestyle habits that lead to overweight and obesity. The prevalence of the disease markedly increases with age, with >10% of the population aged ≥65 years having T2DM. The disease combines several defects, among which include a defect in insulin secretion by pancreatic beta-cells, and cellular insulin resistance mainly present in skeletal muscles and the liver but also in other tissues [8,9]. Prolonged hyperglycemia, both in fasting and postprandial states, leads to advanced glycated end products (AGEs), oxidative stress and low-grade inflammation, and results in damage to the vessels, mainly in the heart, kidneys, eyes, nerves, but also other tissues [10].

Nearly half (47.3%) of patients with T2DM have some form of arthritis [11]; OA is a heterogeneous disorder affecting joints of the hand, hip and knee. Beside the various localizations, different phenotypes of OA have been proposed that include age-related, metabolic syndrome (MetS)-related (closely linked to abdominal adiposity), genetic-related, and post-traumatic OA [12,13]. In MetS-associated OA, the mechanical impact of overweight/obesity on joints may easily explain lower limb OA [14]. Other components of MetS, including dysglycemia (that may be considered as equivalent to a prediabetic state), high blood pressure and atherogenic dyslipidemia may together or independently participate in OA pathophysiology [15–17]. Of note, more than three-quarters of patients with T2DM have MetS according to the unifying definition [18]. So far, the severity of symptomatic knee OA is found to be significantly associated with hypertension, dyslipidemia, and the number of MetS factors present; although no association between the severity of radiographic knee OA and MetS factors was found in the same study [19].

In this critical literature review, we seek to explore whether T2DM is linked to OA outside of weight overload and whether T2DM may play a role in OA pathophysiology. The consequence of T2DM on OA outcomes is also a question of research interest. There are multiple pharmacologic treatment options available which may provide adequate management of the symptoms of OA. However, evidence is mounting for safety concerns with some of the most frequently prescribed anti-OA medications, including paracetamol and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) [20–23]. In addition, we have reviewed the available evidence to explore whether the co-presence of T2DM poses any additional safety issues for the treatment of OA.

Methods

Articles included in this narrative review were identified through literature searches of PubMed using the following MeSH items or free words: “osteoarthritis”, “type 2 diabetes mellitus”, “incidence”, “progression”, “epidemiology”, “pathophysiology”, “antidiabetic agent”, “paracetamol”, “NSAIDs”, “SYSADOA”, “corticosteroid”, “hyaluronic acid”, “bariatric surgery”. The search strategy was limited to studies conducted in humans, publications in English language, and full-length articles published from inception until January 15, 2018. A similar search was made in Scopus, EMBASE and Google Scholar in order to find relevant studies in this field. Finally, the reference lists of relevant articles (particularly review articles) were scrutinized for potentially interesting articles.

Incidence and progression of OA in people with T2DM

An association between the occurrence of T2DM and OA has been demonstrated, although a causal link is not well established. In a meta-analysis of 49 studies involving more than 1 million participants (N = 1192,518), Louati et al. found that OA and T2DM were significantly associated [14]. The odds ratio (OR) of T2DM in the OA population vs. non-OA population was 1.41 (95% CI 1.21, 1.65), and the prevalence of T2DM among patients with OA was 14%. The overall presence of OA in the T2DM population vs. non-T2DM population (OR) was 1.46 (95% CI 1.08, 1.96). In this population (mean age 61 years), the prevalence of OA among patients with T2DM was 30% (38% hand OA, 12% hip OA, and 17% knee OA) [14]. In another meta-analysis including only studies controlling for weight or body mass index (BMI), the presence of OA in patients with T2DM was also high, with an OR of 1.25 vs. non-T2DM population (95% CI 1.05, 1.46) [24].

Data for an association between T2DM and site of OA gives variable outcomes; an association between T2DM and hand OA is found in some studies and a meta-analysis [14,3]. The association is robust, especially for younger patients: those aged 55–62 years with T2DM had a two-times higher rate of hand OA than non-diabetics, which was associated with pain in erosive hand OA [25,26]. However, a recent case-control study using a UK population-based database of people aged 30–90 years found no significant association between T2DM and hand OA, although the number of OA and/or diabetic patients may have been underestimated due to diagnostic uncertainties [27]. The association between diabetes and erosive hand OA warrants further investigation.

T2DM may be a risk factor for OA progression; T2DM is found to be a risk factor for disease progression in men with established knee OA based on annual assessment of joint space narrowing (JSN), while no relationships were found between MetS or other metabolic factors and radiographic progression [28]. T2DM is an independent risk predictor for arthroplasty. After controlling for age, BMI, and other potential confounders, the presence of T2DM doubles the risk of severe OA necessitating arthroplasty (HR = 2.1; 95% CI 1.13,3; p = 0.023). T2DM was associated with more severe clinical symptoms of OA and structural joint changes [29].

Conversely, in a population-based case-control study (N = 94,609), no association was found between DM (almost 20 times more T2DM than type 1 diabetes in this cohort) and total joint replacement (TJR) of either the hip or knee among OA patients with or without DM [24]. T2DM may have a negative impact on arthroplasty outcomes including an increased risk of post-surgical death, decreased functional outcomes for arthroplasty, increased rate of infection, and increased need for revision arthroplasty [10]. Some arthroplasty outcomes may pertain to metabolic effects on joint tissues such as defective bone healing, while others reflect general risks of T2DM associated with major surgical procedures, while all can add considerable cost to clinical care [10].

Could anti-diabetic medications be important?

Whether anti-diabetic medications impact on OA outcomes has been investigated in an analysis of longitudinal data from the Osteoarthritis Initiative study, finding that medication-treated diabetes has no effect on knee OA incidence (OR = 0.53; 95% CI 0.23, 1.5), but reduces knee OA progression, measured as JSN or knee replacement therapy (OR = 0.66; 95% CI 0.44–0.98) [30].

Metformin is the first recommended antidiabetic drug for the management of T2DM. In a UK cohort study set within the Consultations in Primary Care Archive, of 3217 patients with T2DM, there was...
no association between prescribed metformin treatment at baseline and OA outcome during follow up \( \text{adjusted HR} = 1.02; \) 95% CI: 0.91, 1.15 \{31\}. However, in a case-control study performed in Taiwan, patients who have OA and T2DM receiving combination NSAIDs and metformin therapy had lower joint replacement surgery rates than those without metformin \( \text{adjusted HR} = 0.742; \) 95% CI 0.601, 0.915; \( p = 0.005 \) \{32\}. It has been suggested that this effect may be attributable to a reduction in pro-inflammatory factors associated with combined therapy with metformin. Indeed, metformin and even more thiazolidinediones are anti-diabetic agents that have been shown to exert some anti-inflammatory activity \{33\}. However, a large population based case-control study was performed in UK using the Clinical Practice Research Datalink; it did not find any evidence for a disease modifying osteoarthritic effect of thiazolidinediones \{34\}, despite promising results from animal in vivo studies \{34\}. Finally, there are no clinical data available yet with new anti-diabetic agents such as incretin-based therapies - dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP-4) inhibitors and glucagon-like peptide-1 (GLP-1) receptor agonists or sodium-glucose cotransporter type 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors.

**Pathophysiology of OA and association with diabetes**

OA is a complex disease affecting all joint tissues of articular cartilage, subchondral bone, and synovium. OA is associated with a local and systemic low-grade inflammation state \{35\}. Articular cartilage comprises an extracellular matrix containing chondrocytes, responsible for synthesis of the extracellular matrix. One role of cartilage is to absorb the mechanical stresses between two mobile bone surfaces; in OA the stress is followed by an increase of pro-inflammatory mediator production by chondrocytes, including cytokines (interleukin-1β [IL-1β]); tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), radical oxygen species, AGEs, and prostaglandins. This local inflammation induces an increase in production of proteolytic enzymes (matrix metalloproteinas [MMPs] and aggrecanases) that will digest the cartilage matrix. T2DM has a pathogenic effect on OA through 2 major pathways: (1) Chronic hyperglycemia, which induces oxidative stress, overproduction of pro-inflammatory cytokines and AGEs in joint tissues; and (2) Insulin resistance, which could play a role locally but also through the systemic low-grade inflammatory state \{35\}. Leptin, a major adipokine secreted mostly by adipose tissue, is able to promote chondrocyte apoptosis and also increase cytokine and MMP production by chondrocytes \{36\}. An insulin-resistant state and obesity are also associated with elevated free fatty acids (FFAs), which may modulate OA progression \{37\}.

**Role of insulin/insulin resistance**

The role of insulin on OA remains controversial, especially because high insulin levels are associated with insulin resistance in T2DM, so that it is not easy to distinguish between the effects of insulin per se and the effects related to insulin resistance \{38,39\}. Human chondrocytes express functional insulin receptors that respond to physiologic insulin concentrations. The insulin receptors seem to be more abundant in normal than in OA chondrocytes, and some responses are impaired while others appear fully activated \{40\}. It has been suggested that excess insulin as seen in T2DM patients may damage cartilage.

In immortalized human chondrocytes and cultures of primary human chondrocytes, it is found that insulin downregulates autophagy by reducing LC3 II expression and increasing Akt and rpS6 phosphorylation. Autophagy is an essential homeostasis mechanism in articular cartilage, that is defective in T2DM and OA. Loss of proteoglycans and increased MMP-13 and IL-1β expression was observed after insulin treatment. Furthermore, chondrocytes from diabetic patients with OA showed decreased LC3 and increased p-rpS6 expression compared to healthy subjects and non-diabetic OA patients \{41\}.

Insulin resistance and T2DM are often a consequence of visceral obesity, which is an important source of pro-inflammatory cytokines, causing low-grade chronic metabolic inflammation that can lead to structural damage in the joints \{42\}. Insulin resistance might impair joint tissue because of a local insulin resistance of diabetic synovial membrane \{43\}, but also by the systemic low-grade inflammation state \{35\}. The synovium is shown to develop insulin resistance in obese OA patients with T2DM \{44\}. Insulin is a critical negative regulator of synovial inflammation and catabolism, and the development of insulin resistance in obese individuals would diminish the ability of insulin to suppress the production of inflammatory and catabolic mediators that promote OA \{43\}.

The systemic role of MetS associated with insulin resistance in OA pathophysiology is now better understood, but new avenues of research are being pursued to better decipher the insulin resistance/MetS-associated OA phenotype \{45\}.

**Diabetes and cartilage/chondrocytes**

Cartilage is a non-vascularized and non-innervated tissue and receives nutrients from its connection with subchondral bone and synovial fluid through joint cavity. Chondrocytes are glycolytic cells that express glucose transporters (GLUT) (especially GLUT-1, GLUT-3 and GLUT-9) and are able to sense glucose concentration in the media and adapt GLUT expression under normal conditions \{46\}. The capacity of chondrocytes to adapt themselves to local glucose level is lost during OA, which is responsible for high glucose uptake and potential glucose toxicity \{47\}. Local high glucose concentration leads to a reduction in chondrogenic differentiation of mesenchymal, muscle, and adipose-derived stem cells, which may further decrease the potential regeneration of cartilage that is already decreased in OA \{35\}.

Chronic high glucose environment also has a noxious effect on chondrocyte metabolism. High glucose concentration has a pro-inflammatory and pro-degradative effect on human OA chondrocytes. AGEs are known to accumulate in cells and tissues under high glucose concentration and accumulate with age in OA cartilage modifying its mechanical properties, including stiffness and resistance. An association between the levels of AGEs and degradation of cartilage has been observed \{48\}, although this finding is not universal \{49\}. AGEs can also induce a pro-inflammatory and pro-catabolic phenotype of chondrocytes via RAGE (receptor of AGE) and toll-like receptors (Fig. 1). Activation of these receptors decreases peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma (PPAR-γ), which induces oxidative stress (mitochondrial reactive oxygen species and nitric oxide) and cytokine release by chondrocytes \{50\}. High glucose rate increases MMP expression and oxidative stress in chondrocytes and also elevates the effect of IL-1β on cytokine release.

**Diabetes and synovium**

The effect of hyperglycemia on the synovium is less well understood. High glucose concentration increases pro-angiogenic factor expression in synovial fibroblasts via oxidative stress \{51\}. Synovial angiogenesis is known to induce pro-inflammatory cells locally. Diabetes induces more synovial inflammation in vivo models \{52\}, which is in line with clinical observations of more synovitis in knee OA in diabetic than in non-diabetic patients \{29\}. The synovium from OA patients with T2DM contained markedly more macrophages and showed elevated TNFα levels as compared to the synovium from OA patients without diabetes. Moreover, insulin-dependent phosphorylation of insulin receptors and serine/threonine kinase Akt (a key player in the intracellular cascade of insulin action) was blunted in cultures of OA fibroblast-like synoviocytes from patients with T2DM,
T2DM and subchondral bone

Diabetes is known to decrease bone remodeling; high fasting glucose concentration is associated with bone marrow lesions in the knee joint, which are known to predict OA structural damage [53]. The loss of subchondral bone in diabetic advanced knee OA is identified by a lower bone mineral density and a higher porosity, independent of weight [54]. AGE accumulate in subchondral bone of diabetic patients more than in non-diabetic patients, which may impact the mechanical resistance of subchondral bone and display pro-inflammatory effects [55].

Diabetes and microvascular changes

It is widely known that diabetes can lead to microvascular changes that increase the risk of some osteoarticular conditions, such as inflammatory peri-arthritis of the shoulder [56], Dupuytren’s contracture [57], shoulder hand syndrome of upper extremities and Charcot joints [58], often involving the lower extremities (e.g. ankle, disappearing bones of the foot) [58].

The same mechanism (i.e. the presence of microvascular changes) seems to contribute to the association between diabetes and OA. Subchondral bone has multiple arterial inlets and venous outlets. In the case of long bones (such as hip), four arterial inputs, the nutrient artery, peristeal arteries, metaphyseal arteries and epiphyseal arteries are present [59]. In particular, subchondral areas of long bones are highly vascularized, suggesting high nutrient requirements [60]. Since diabetes is able to lead to relevant microvascular changes it is likely that diabetes can increase the risk of OA also through this pathway.

Role of physical exercise in the prevention and management of T2DM

Lifestyle measures, such as physical activity and body weight control, are increasingly recognized as important approaches for the prevention and treatment of non-communicable diseases [61]. However, while physical exercise is recognized as an important component of the management of OA [62], the presence of lower limb OA (hip or knee) can restrict the possibility to perform physical exercise. Both optimal nutrition and physical activity can form part of a healthy lifestyle for people with T2DM and may play a role in the prevention of T2DM. The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recognizes lifestyle management as a fundamental aspect of diabetes care, which includes diabetes self-management, education and support, medical nutrition, and physical activity [63]. The ADA recommends that most adults with T2DM should engage in 150 min or more of moderate-to-vigorous intensity aerobic activity per week, along with resistance exercise of 2–3 sessions/week, and flexibility training and balance training 2–3 times/week for older adults with T2DM.

However, concerns over a lack of physical activity in the general population has led to a call to action for making physical activity assessment and prescription a medical standard of care in daily practice in the US [64]. While there is no strong evidence that diet alone or physical activity alone can influence the risk of T2DM, the combination of diet plus physical activity reduces or delays the incidence of T2DM in people with impaired glucose tolerance (‘pre-diabetic’ people) [65,66].

Intervention programs that include physical activity may halve the risk of developing T2DM among people at high-risk [67–69], and the reduction in incidence can persist for 10 years following the initial intervention [70]. There are several biologically-plausible mechanisms by which physical activity may be linked to a lower risk of T2DM, including weight loss, improved insulin sensitivity, improved endothelial function, and improved autonomic nervous system function [71]. Exercise has a positive effect on systemic glucose homeostasis and, in T2DM, exercise does not decrease insulin secretion [72].

Physical activity and exercise are known to have a beneficial effect on a variety of factors relevant to diabetes and cardiovascular disease, including blood pressure, lipid profiles, and body composition [73]. A significant reduction in both cardiovascular and all-cause mortality has been shown in long-term follow-up of a lifestyle intervention among people with impaired glucose intolerance [74].

Safety of anti-osteoarthritis medicines in diabetic patients

Paracetamol

Paracetamol is widely used as first-line rescue analgesia in OA, even though the benefit of paracetamol on pain, physical function and stiffness is minimal [62,75,76]. Moreover, increasing evidence of gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, hepatic and renal adverse events with paracetamol raise questions over its routine, chronic use, especially at the upper end of standard analgesic doses [20].

Reports of non-overdose paracetamol-associated acute liver failure leading to transplantation, and staggered paracetamol overdoses leading to paracetamol-induced hepatotoxicity are a cause of concern with widespread, unrestricted paracetamol use [77,78]. People taking paracetamol are nearly 4-times more likely to have abnormal liver function tests [79]. Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (NAFLD) and the more severe form steatohepatitis (NASH) occur frequently in around 50–70% of patients with T2DM [80,81]. They affect both those with elevated aminotransferases and those with normal levels [82]. Obesity and T2DM are also often associated with NAFLD and both obesity and NAFLD are able to increase the risk and severity of hepatotoxicity of different drugs, including paracetamol [83,84]. Although there is limited evidence as yet [85], because paracetamol hepatotoxicity and liver alterations in NAFLD/NASH-associated liver alterations share some common mechanisms, potentiation of harm cannot be excluded [86]. Furthermore, data suggest that paracetamol toxicity is increased in diabetic animal models [87]; conversely, metformin may provide some protection against paracetamol hepatotoxicity [88,89]. Nevertheless, in the absence of further clinical data, caution should be...
recommended when considering the use of high-dose paracetamol for the management of OA-related pain in patients with T2DM and advanced NAFLD/NASH.

While it is currently viewed that paracetamol can be administered to patients with liver impairment, as the prevalence of lifestyle-related liver diseases such as NAFLD is likely to increase, studies to further the understanding of changes to paracetamol metabolism, efficacy and toxicity will be invaluable [90]. A small study reported that people with T2DM eliminate paracetamol with more difficulty than healthy controls [91]. Indeed, further studies are warranted to answer these research questions: Is the risk of liver toxicity with paracetamol increased in the diabetic population? And, can paracetamol be prescribed in patients with T2DM and NAFLD/NASH?

Non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs)

NSAIDs and renal risk

NSAIDs are widely used and have been linked to acute kidney injury (AKI), chronic kidney disease, and cardiovascular disease [21]. T2DM causes renal failure, and T2DM and hypertension have become the most common causes of end-stage renal disease responsible for more than 50% of cases [92]. NSAID use is associated with an increased risk of hospitalization in high-risk populations; for example, for every 10,000 people treated for 30 days with NSAIDs, there were 20 extra hospitalizations in the diabetes population (incidence rate ratio [IRR] 1.31; 95% CI 1.08, 1.60), compared with those not treated with NSAIDs [93,94].

NSAID users have a 3-fold greater risk for developing a first-ever diagnosis of AKI compared with non-NSAID users in the general population [95]. Hypertension (83%), arthritis (71%), heart failure (44%), CKD (36%) and diabetes (35%) are prevalent among NSAID users [21]. Although antihypertensive drugs have cardiovascular benefits, vigilance may be warranted when they are used concurrently with NSAIDs. Moreover, AKI caused by drug-drug interactions between NSAIDs and angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors (ACEIs), angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs), or diuretics is a frequently reported adverse drug reaction, of which around one-quarter of cases is serious AKI [96]. The use of selected cardiovascular drugs is associated with a 5-fold increase in risk for AKI. Diuretics present the greatest risk, and the risk increases with concomitant use of NSAIDs and diuretics (relative risk [RR] = 11.6; 95% CI 4.2, 32.2) and NSAIDs and calcium channel blockers (RR = 7.8; 95% CI 3.0, 20.5) [95]. AKI risk is highest among users of loop diuretic/aldosterone antagonist combinations, in those over 75 years of age, and in those with renal impairment [97,98].

NSAID use among survivors of an AKI event is common, with around 68% of survivors found taking NSAIDs both before and after the AKI event. A history of arthritis (OR: 3.00; 95% CI: 1.92, 4.68) and paracetamol use (OR: 2.43; 95% CI: 1.50, 3.93) is significantly associated with NSAID use, while prevalent CKD (OR: 0.63; 95% CI: 0.41, 0.98) and diabetes (OR: 0.44; 95% CI: 0.29, 0.69) are not [21].

Antidiabetic agents are frequently associated with diuretics (~25–35%) and blockers of the renin-angiotensin system (ACEI/ARB) (~50–80%) [99]. SGLT2 inhibitors (dapagliflozin, canagliflozin, empagliflozin and ipragliflozin) represent a novel approach for the management of T2DM promoting glucosuria, osmotic diuresis and natriuresis [100]. SGLT2 inhibitors are generally well-tolerated, even if some severe adverse events have also been reported [101]. Caution is particularly recommended in the elderly population, even more treated with (loop-)diuretics, because of a higher risk of orthostatic hypotension and dehydration, which may lead to renal impairment. Indeed, despite encouraging renal safety outcomes reported in clinical cardiovascular outcome trials, scattered reports suggested that there might be a risk for AKI [102]. As recently discussed, a major decline in kidney function occasionally occurs, often associated with an acute illness or with specific co-administered medications, including NSAIDs [102]. Thus, the safety of SGLT2 inhibitors in combination with NSAIDs certainly deserves further specific investigation. So far, the avoidance of NSAIDs in patients on SGLT2 inhibitors is recommended [22].

NSAIDs and cardiovascular risk

The burden of cardiovascular disease among patients with T2DM is substantial; around one third to a half of all adults with T2DM has coronary heart disease, which is the leading cause of early death in patients with T2DM. T2DM is an independent risk factor for all manifestations of coronary heart disease, particularly heart failure, angina pectoris, re-infarction disability and sudden cardiac death for which rates among patients with T2DM are at least twice that observed in non-diabetic patients [103].

All non-selective NSAIDs and COX-2 inhibitors alike have the potential for gastrointestinal and cardiovascular (CV) toxicity [23,104,105]. Drug choice within the available NSAIDs class has therefore been dictated by safety profile, according to different risk factors and patient’s concomitant diseases and medical conditions [62]. While it was previously thought that selectivity of the NSAID for the COX-2 enzyme governed the CV toxicity profile, recent results suggest that CV risk may be drug specific; rofecoxib is the only NSAID associated with an increased risk of CV events compared to all other NSAIDs (OR = 1.61; 95% CI 1.31, 1.98) [106], although etoricoxib may have a greater risk than celecoxib, while celecoxib poses a similar risk to naproxen and a reduced risk of major CV event compared with ibuprofen in OA patients (HR = 0.84; 95% CI 0.72, 0.99) [107,108]. In patients with T2DM, hypertension, and OA, at equally effective doses for OA management, treatment with rofecoxib but not celecoxib or naproxen induced a significant increase in 24-h systolic blood pressure [109].

In a recent study using Danish population-based health registries, the cardiovascular risk of diclofenac initiation was compared with initiation of other traditional NSAIDs, paracetamol, and no initiation. The event rate of major adverse cardiovascular events within 30 days of initiation among diclofenac users increased by 50% compared with non-initiators (IRR = 1.5; 95% CI 1.4, 1.7), 20% compared with paracetamol or ibuprofen initiators (both IRR = 1.2; 95% CI 1.1, 1.3), and 30% compared with naproxen initiators (IRR 1.3; 95% CI 1.1, 1.5). Although the relative risk of major adverse cardiovascular events was highest in individuals with low or moderate baseline risk (i.e., diabetes mellitus), the absolute risk was highest in individuals with high baseline risk (i.e., previous myocardial infarction or heart failure) [110]. In a study of diabetes patients treated in primary care in Saudi Arabia (N = 443; March 2016), inappropriate prescribing of NSAIDs was found in 66% of patients at high cardiovascular risk, contravening current clinical guidelines and recommendations of regulatory agencies [111].

Topical NSAIDs

For OA of the hands and knees, topical NSAIDs provide efficacy, with far less systemic distribution than oral NSAIDs [112,113]. Observational studies and post-hoc analysis of pooled data from placebo-controlled trials suggested that long-term topical diclofenac sodium 1% treatment is safe in the subpopulation of patients with an elevated risk of NSAID-related adverse events, such as the elderly and those with the comorbidities of T2DM, hypertension, and cardiovascular disease [114,115].

SYSADOAs

Slow Acting Symptomatic Drugs in Osteoarthritis (SYSADOAs) include diverse medications possibly able to interfere with disease pathogenetic processes, inducing a moderate symptomatic effect with slow onset of action and, in some cases, a joint structure-modifying effect in long-term trials [116–121]. They include mainly
glucosamine (prescription crystalline glucosamine sulfate [pCGS] and over-the-counter glucosamine sulfate or hydrochloride), chondroitin sulfate, diacerein and avocado soybean unsaponifiables, with different levels of evidence for efficacy [122–125]. Clinical trials and systematic reviews outline a very good safety profile of the SYSADOAs glucosamine and chondroitin sulfate [126–129], and some adverse events with diacerein and avocado soybean unsaponifiables [130,131]. No concern with respect to T2DM or any interaction with glucose metabolism has been noted in clinical trials or meta-analyses for chondroitin sulfate, diacerein or avocado soybean unsaponifiables. However, questions have been raised over time on a possible interference of glucosamine with glucose metabolism, based on precise mechanistic hypotheses.

Insulin resistance, a major contributing factor to the pathogenesis of T2DM, is characterized by reduced rates of insulin-mediated glucose uptake e.g. into skeletal muscle or adipocytes. Hyperglycemia induces or worsens insulin resistance, and in vitro studies show that glucose-induced insulin resistance involves impaired recruitment of intracellular glucose transporters to the cell surface. Glucosamine is a product of glucose metabolism and, in vitro, was shown to substitute for glucose and be more potent in promoting glucose transport desensitization [132]. Actually, in vitro studies showed that high glucosamine concentrations may increase the activity of the hexosamine pathway, a metabolic process functioning as a nutrient sensor and modulating insulin sensitivity and glucose uptake [133]. Effects of glucosamine on insulin resistance via the hexosamine pathway can be seen in vitro (in rodents), mainly at dramatically high concentrations [134]. Excessive emphasis was given to this pathway for postulated glucosamine toxicity, since it was wrongly believed that this was also the mechanism of action for the therapeutic effect in OA (stimulation of proteoglycans synthesis).

However, parenteral administration of glucosamine to humans at similarly high concentrations in repeated studies failed to show similar results, indicating species differences in sensitivity, or possible mechanistic differences i.e. less operational relevance of the hexosamine pathway in the regulation of insulin sensitivity in humans [135,136]. Clinical trials at oral recommended doses for OA treatment showed no interference with glucose metabolism in normoglycemic subjects and in most subjects with hyperglycemia, insulin sensitivity impairment, pre-diabetes or diabetes [137,138]. Additional analyses of the GUIDE study found that pCGS (1500 mg/day) for 6 months does not modify plasma glucose levels in the overall population, or in hyperglycemic patients [139,140]. Systematic reviews on the effects of glucosamine on glucose metabolism in humans find that administration of glucosamine at usual oral doses in humans or OA patients in clinical trials is well-tolerated by normal, diabetic, or pre-diabetic patients [141,142]. However, a minority of clinical studies have indicated non-significant trends for interference in some of the latter subjects. A non-significant increase in glycated hemoglobin levels was found in overweight women who received pCGS treatment for 2.5 years in the PROOF trial, and with up to 6.5 years of follow-up [143,144]. Thus, in accordance with the summary of Product Characteristics for pCGS, it seems reasonable to advise caution at the start of treatment with glucosamine in diabetic patients, with no specific recommendations for other patients [145].

**IA corticosteroids**

Intra-articular (IA) corticosteroid injections may be used for the local symptomatic control of joint arthritis due to their anti-inflammatory properties. However, it has been shown that locally-injected corticosteroids are absorbed into the systemic circulation [146]. Parentally-administered steroids are known to affect glucose metabolism and can cause abnormal blood glucose levels in patients with diabetes, which may be a concern when administering IA corticosteroid injections.

Choudhry et al. recently performed a systematic review to identify studies that examined the effect of IA corticosteroid injections on blood glucose levels in patients with diabetes [147]. They identified 532 citations, however, after inclusion criteria were applied only 7 studies with a total of 72 patients were included in the meta-analysis. All studies showed a rise in blood glucose levels following IA corticosteroid injections, which was described as substantial in 4 studies, reaching a peak as high as 500 mg/dL. The timing of the peak glucose levels may vary from several hours post injection to 10–15 days. Consequently, patients with diabetes should monitor their blood glucose levels following IA corticosteroid injections for 24–48 h post-injection for a potential risk of hyperglycemia, and antihyperglycemic therapies should be adjusted accordingly. Insulin-treated patients with T2DM commonly require transient up-titration of insulin doses after IA corticosteroid injections. Patients with diabetes who are taking insulin should be encouraged to check their blood glucose levels at a minimum of twice daily after receiving an intra-articular corticosteroid injection in order to determine whether they need short-acting insulin.

**Hyaluronic acid**

Intra-articular hyaluronic acid (IAHA) is a local treatment modality targeted to avoid the systemic side effects usually observed after IA corticosteroid injection (of particular difficulty in patients with T2DM), or after oral administration of analgesics and NSAIDs [148]. It has been reported that the results obtained with IAHA are not significantly different from continuous oral NSAID treatment used in the short and medium term, i.e. providing moderate symptomatic relief of knee OA pain, function and stiffness [149]. IAHA was superior to IA corticosteroids from 8 to 26 weeks post-treatment regarding knee pain [150]. IAHA is a safe alternative to oral NSAIDs and opioids for OA; a systematic review and meta-analysis of the safety of 18 HA products involving over 13,000 patients found a very low incidence of adverse events, most commonly transient local reactions that subsided rapidly [151].

IAHA injections have demonstrated clinical benefit in knee OA and seem to offer a good benefit-risk balance among the pharmacologic options [152,153]. Based on the available evidence and guidelines, the European Society for Clinical and Economic Aspects of Osteoporosis, Osteoarthritis and Musculoskeletal Diseases (ESCEO) recommends using IAHA in patients with knee OA remaining symptomatic despite continuous or intermittent treatment with conventional pharmacologic treatment modalities, i.e., paracetamol, SYSADOAs and NSAIDs, as well as in patients with co-morbidities precluding the use of NSAIDs or IA corticosteroids, such as diabetic patients [148,154,155]. No interaction of IAHA use in OA with glucose metabolism or association with hyperglycemia has been reported in the current literature to date, even in patients who received repeated HA cycles over several years among whom diabetes was a common comorbidity (16% of patients) [156]. HA appears to be safe in diabetic patients and a meta-analysis reported that HA is beneficial in treating diabetic foot by increasing the rate of wound healing [157] suggesting a potential (albeit peculiar) role of HA in treating OA in diabetic people.

**Bariatric surgery**

Obesity is a well-known risk factor for both T2DM [5] and OA [158,159]. Obesity accelerates the development of OA of the knee and hip by exerting deleterious effects on joints through both biomechanical and also systemic inflammatory changes [159]. Bariatric/metabolic surgery has proven to be the most successful procedure to improve glucose control and sustained diabetes remission has been reported in many patients. The success is explained not only by the remarkable and sustained weight reduction, but also by several endocrine and metabolic improvements [160]. Besides the correction of
hyperglycemia, bariatric surgery also improves the different components of MetS, hypertension, atherogenic dyslipidemia and insulin resistance, leading to better CV outcomes [161]. In addition, several studies reported a reduction in low-grade inflammation and various inflammatory markers [162–164].

The inflammatory pathway reduction following weight loss obtained through bariatric surgery is connected both with the improvement of some rheumatic diseases and a reduction in medication use (steroids and NSAIDs) [165]. Bariatric surgery with subsequent marked weight loss is likely to improve knee pain, joint function and stiffness in (morbidly) obese and obese adult patients with T2DM. However, with the current available evidence, there is further need for high-quality studies [159,166]. Among a cohort of participants with severe obesity undergoing bariatric surgery, a large percentage experienced improvement, compared with baseline, in pain, physical function, and walk time over 3 years, but the percentage with improvement in pain and joint function decreased between year 1 and year 3 [167].

According to a systematic review and meta-analysis, for most peri-operative outcomes, bariatric surgery prior to total hip or total knee arthroplasty does not significantly reduce the complication rates or improve the clinical outcome [168]. However, decreased operative time and length of hospital stay were observed among patients who underwent total hip or knee arthroplasty after versus before their bariatric surgery [169]. Optimal timing of orthopedic and bariatric surgery has yet to be established. There are also financial and ethical implications of use of bariatric surgery for risk reduction before total joint arthroplasty [170].

Fundamental clinical questions remain regarding the optimal management of obesity with T2DM and lower extremity OA, which should be the focus of future collaborations across disciplines providing care to patients with both conditions [159].

**Discussion**

While T2DM and OA are known to frequently coincide (along with obesity/overweight, and frequently in the context of MetS), whether any causal relationship between the two disorders exists is a question of research interest. Analysis of studies involving more than 1 million participants shows a clear association of T2DM and OA, and an elevated risk of OA is demonstrated in patients with T2DM even when controlled for body weight.

Studies finding an association between T2DM and hand OA raise the question of whether DM impacts on the pathophysiology of OA beyond that which may easily be explained, e.g. the mechanical impact of overweight/obesity (which often accompanies T2DM) on lower limb OA. An association between pain in erosive hand OA and diabetes (in type 2 but also in type 1, may be linked to low grade inflammation related to MetS) requires further investigation.

Evidence supports a role for T2DM on OA pathogenesis through two major pathways: oxidative stress resulting from chronic hyperglycemia and leading to overproduction of pro-inflammatory cytokes and AGEs in joint tissue; and insulin resistance which may impact both locally and through systemic low-grade chronic inflammation and various components of MetS including high blood pressure and atherogenic dyslipidemia. Dysglycemia, including T2DM, is only one factor of MetS, and whether other components of MetS including high blood pressure and atherogenic dyslipidemia together or independently impact on OA pathophysiology remains to be explored.

The impact of T2DM on OA outcomes is also of research interest. The presence of T2DM is associated with OA progression, severe clinical symptoms, and joint structural changes, while treatment of T2DM may reduce the progression of knee OA. T2DM is shown to have a negative impact on arthroplasty, including decreased functional outcomes and greater need for revision arthroplasty.

Future research is needed to understand if diabetes control and prevention can modulate OA occurrence and progression in humans. Interventions involving physical activity and body weight control are known to have positive outcomes in the prevention and treatment of T2DM. Concerns over a lack of physical activity in the US population have led to a call to action for making physical activity prescription a medical standard of care [64]. Recommendations for management of OA also include a core set of treatment modalities including weight management and exercise programs [62].

In this review, we have sought to explore whether the co-presence of T2DM poses any additional safety issues for the pharmacologic treatment of OA. Due to the fragility of patients with diabetes, the recommendation to prioritize drugs for which the benefits are not outweighed by harms is of critical importance. Evidence is mounting for safety concerns with some of the most frequently prescribed anti-OA medications, including paracetamol and NSAIDs. Paracetamol is associated with some degree of liver toxicity. Liver disease (NAFLD) occurs frequently in people with T2DM, and whether paracetamol can be prescribed safely in OA patients with co-morbid T2DM and NAFLD remains to be determined. NSAIDs are linked to renal impairment, and drug-drug interactions between NSAIDs and other drug classes, e.g. anti-hypertensive agents, resulting in renal injury have been reported. The antidiabetic agent class of SGLT2 inhibitors has potential to impact on renal function, especially when conditions leading to dehydration are present; thus, the co-administration of NSAIDs and SGLT2 inhibitors is not recommended.

NSAIDs increase the risk of cardiovascular disease in the general population, and although there is little direct evidence for elevated cardiovascular risk with NSAIDs in people with diabetes, as T2DM is a prominent risk factor for cardiovascular disease we may speculate on a higher risk in patients with T2DM. The same may be true for the renal risk of NSAIDs.

A concern raised over potential interference of the SYSADOA glucosamine on glucose metabolism is not borne out by the majority of the clinical evidence. There is limited evidence for a non-significant increase in glycated hemoglobin levels in overweight women prescribed glucosamine for OA prevention. While in systematic reviews, glucosamine administered to OA patients at usual oral doses is well-tolerated by both diabetic and pre-diabetic patients.

IA corticosteroid injections are associated with a rise in blood glucose levels and hence an elevated risk of hyperglycemia in OA patients with T2DM; thus, monitoring of blood glucose levels following IA corticosteroid injections is recommended for 24–48 h post-injection with corticosteroids and antihyperglycemic therapy may be transiently intensified if necessary. Alternatively, IAHA may be used in knee OA patients remaining symptomatic following treatment with paracetamol, SYSADOAs and NSAIDs, as well as in patients with co-morbidities precluding the use of NSAIDs or IA corticosteroids, such as diabetic patients. HA appears to be safe in diabetic patients and may have a potential role promoting wound healing in diabetic foot ulceration.

In conclusion, the selection of therapy to treat OA symptoms in patients with co-morbid T2DM may require careful consideration of the evidence base to avoid untoward safety issues. Further research is needed to better identify any safety concerns for treatment of OA patients with T2DM, and to optimize long-term patient management.
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