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Rapid screening method to evaluate impact of a panel of nanoparticles on macrophages: 3 tests are performed in parallel.
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Nanotechnology is an emerging and highly promising field to develop new approaches for biomedical applications. There is however at present an unmet need for a rapid and universal method to screen nanoparticles (NP) for immunocompatibility at early stages of their development. Indeed, although many types of highly diverse NP are currently under investigation, their interaction with immune cells remains fairly unpredictable. Macrophages which are professional phagocytotic cells are believed to be among the first cell types that take up NP, mediating inflammation and thus immunological responses. The present work describes a highly reproducible screening method to study NP interaction with macrophages. Three essential questions are answered in parallel, in a single multiwell plate: Are the NP taken up by macrophages? Do the NP cause macrophage cell death? Do the NP induce inflammatory reactions? This assay is proposed as a standardized screening protocol to obtain a rapid overview of the impact of different types of NP on macrophages. Due to a high reproducibility, this method also allows quality control assessment for such aspects as immune-activating contaminants and batch-to-batch variability.
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Introduction

Nanomedicine represents a rapidly developing field which bears high promise for many clinical applications.\textsuperscript{1} Nanoparticles (NP) are defined as particulate objects from 1 to 100 nm in diameter with specific properties that cannot be found in bulk material.\textsuperscript{2} NP can be designed to possess unique optical properties allowing novel imaging and diagnostic applications,\textsuperscript{3} or to serve as highly modular drug delivery systems that target specific organs or cell populations within the body, thus improving drug efficacy and at the same time reducing unwanted side effects.\textsuperscript{4-7} It is even becoming possible to combine diagnostic and therapeutic characteristics in engineered NP to yield promising theranostic tools.\textsuperscript{8} Because NP themselves can have an impact on cell viability and function, it is critical to assess their biocompatibility early in the development process. Indeed, even if NP are individually well characterized with respect to many factors such as shape, size, zeta potential, and colloidal stability, the outcome of their interaction with cells (which also differ in type and function) is difficult to predict.\textsuperscript{9} To rapidly and efficiently select appropriate NP for clinical applications, it is essential to develop efficient screening methods to test their interaction with the cell types that they will encounter in the organism.

Macrophages can be found in all tissues of the body, and they are particularly abundant at sites with direct contact to the environment such as lung, skin, and gastrointestinal mucosa, or in organs with important blood supply such as spleen, kidney and liver.\textsuperscript{10} A prime ability of macrophages is the engulfment of microorganisms, damaged cells and other particulate material by phagocytosis.\textsuperscript{11,12} For these reasons, macrophages are a critical cell type with respect to biomedical NP applications, as they are considered to be one of the first cell populations that are encountered by NP entering the body.\textsuperscript{12} Through their phagocyte function, these cells mediate clearance of NP from the body and thus modulate NP bioavailability.\textsuperscript{13} In addition to their scavenger role, macrophages are among the first cells to initiate an inflammatory immune response. When they recognize specific microbial components as a danger signal, macrophages rapidly produce proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) in order to initiate a down-stream immune response.\textsuperscript{10} If NP themselves are recognized as a danger signal, or if traces of immune-activating substances are present in the NP preparation, macrophages may trigger an immune response against the material.\textsuperscript{14} In addition, some NP have been reported to affect viability of macrophages.\textsuperscript{15} This immunotoxicity may negatively affect the maintenance of immunity against pathogens.

It is thus essential to characterize the modes of interaction of macrophages with NP designed for biomedical purposes at the early stages of their development. Indeed, such assays should be
performed for every NP batch before further biological testing, as there can be considerable variation between individual NP preparations. Several studies have reported investigation of NP-macrophage interactions, but the experiments were generally performed in a serial manner, requiring a high concentration of particles to assess all essential parameters in order to test the NP. One report described a screening method to assess liposome interactions with macrophages using fluorescence microscopy to quantify uptake and cell viability. However microscopy represents a challenge to acquire and analyze all samples equally, and assessment of more than 3 parameters at the same time is unusual. Another group described how to screen NP cytotoxicity on fibroblasts and alveolar epithelial cells. This method could however not easily be applied to macrophages, as NP internalization and immune activation were not considered. Taken together, there is an unmet need for a standardized method to assess the essential parameters of NP-macrophage interactions rapidly and efficiently.

Here we present an automated screening assay by which NP cytotoxicity, cellular uptake, and immune activating effects on macrophages in vitro are characterized in a standardized manner. These three essential aspects of NP-macrophage interactions can be assessed in a single culture plate within a 24-h experiment. Since NP themselves may interfere with different readouts, we propose adequate controls in order to insure that NP interferences do not lead to an over- or underestimation of the NP effects. Cost effectivity and high reproducibility of the protocol make the screening method attractive for identifying a lead candidate for biomedical purposes, for quality control of each NP preparation and for detection of immune-activating contaminants.
Materials and methods

NP Synthesis

Citrate-stabilized gold NP were synthesized as previously reported.\textsuperscript{24} To enhance NP colloidal stability,\textsuperscript{25} the gold core was coated with a polymer mixture comprised of polyvinyl alcohol (PVA; Mowiol 3-85, Mw = 15000 Da) (Calbiochem, EMD bioscience, Inc. La Jolla, USA) and vinylalcohol-vinylamine copolymer (NH\textsubscript{2}PVA; M12, Mw = 240000 Da) (Erkol S.A., Tarragona, Spain) as previously described for hetero-functionalized AuNP.\textsuperscript{24} For this study, polymers were fluorescently labeled with Cyanine5 NHS dye (Cy5; Lumioprobe, Hannover, Germany) via ester bond formation for the polyvinyl alcohol polymer and amide bond for the vinylalcohol-vinylamine copolymer. For fluorescently-labeled AuNP the polymer mixture was composed of 0.5 mg/ml of NH\textsubscript{2}PVA, 0.375 mg/ml of NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-noCy5, 1.612 mg/ml PVA and 4.7 mg/ml PVA-noCy5. For unlabeled AuNP the polymer mixture was composed of 0.875 mg/ml NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-noCy5 and 6.3 mg/ml PVA-noCy5. The following NP were analyzed: citrate-stabilized gold NP (citrate AuNP) and polymer-coated NP with Cy5 labeling (NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP). Polymer-coated NP without Cy5 labeling (NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP-noCy5) were used only as control in the uptake experiment (Fig. 2). Additional AuNP formulations were used in order to validate the method (see electronic supplementary information).

NP characterization and stability

All NP were characterized in terms of their optical properties, size and zeta potential. Optical properties were characterized using a UV–Vis spectrometer (Jasco Europe S.R.L., Milano, Italy), where spectra of NP were measured in water for citrate AuNP and PBS for NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP and NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP-noCy5. The size distribution of the Au core was obtained by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) on a FEI Technai Spirit (FTI) transmission electron microscope. The hydrodynamic radius was determined by dynamic light scattering (DLS) (LS Instruments A.G., Fribourg, Switzerland) in depolarized DLS (DDLS) mode. DDLS measurements were performed at an angle of 90° in water for citrate AuNP and in PBS for NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP and NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP-noCy5. The zeta potential was measured using a ZetaSizer (Brookhaven Instruments Corporation, Holtsville, USA) in water for AuNP and PBS for NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP and NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP-noCy5

NP colloidal stability was assessed using UV-Vis and DDLS for time resolved measurements over 24 h at 37 °C. Stability of all three NP was tested in PBS and complete cell culture medium (cDMEM)
consisting of high glucose (4.5 g/l) Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) (Biowest, Nuallé, France) supplemented with 1 % glutamine (PAA laboratories, Pashing, Austria), 1nM sodium pyruvate, 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Biological Industries, Beit Ha’Emeq, Israel) and 0.5 % ciproxine (Bayer, Zürich, Switzerland).

**Macrophage cell line and NP exposure**

J774.1 macrophages (ATCC, Manassas, USA) were cultivated in cDMEM at 37 °C and 5 % CO₂. Before each experiment, cells were concentrated to 5x10⁵ cells/mL before adding 100 μl per well (5x10⁴ cells/well) in flat-bottom 96-well plates (Corning, New York, USA). After overnight incubation, medium was replaced by 50 μl fresh cDMEM. NP, polymers and other test substances were added as indicated at 2x concentration in 50 μl cDMEM. For all NP, the final working concentration was 10 μg/ml gold (determined by UV-Vis at 400 nm), corresponding to approximately 1.8x10¹⁰ 17-nm gold particles per mL. For polymer controls (NH₂PVA and NH₂PVA-noCy5), the working concentration corresponds to the concentration of polymer on the NP. As positive control for immune activation, J774.1 macrophages were cultured with 100 ng/ml lipopolysaccharide (LPS; Invivogen, Toulouse, France). Staurosporine was used to induce apoptotic cell death (1 nM; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Louis, USA). To control for NP interferences, the positive controls were tested in combination with NP added after 23 h. After a 24-h incubation, supernatants were stored at -20 °C for cytokine quantification and 100 μl/well PBS were added to the cells for light microscopy and flow cytometry analysis. Please refer to electronic supplementary information for a list of required materials and instruments and to supplementary figure 1 (Fig. S1†) for further details on the 96-well plate organization.

**Flow cytometry**

For flow cytometry, J774.1 cells in PBS were gently detached by pipetting and transferred to U-bottom 96-well plates for the stainings described below. All parameters were assessed in one 96-well plate in a single measurement (Fig. S1†). Analysis was performed with a MACSquant analyzer 10 using the chill 96-rack (both from Miltenyi Biotec, Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Every well was gently mixed automatically before acquisition of 50 μl. Data in FCS 3.1 format were analyzed using FlowJo software version 10.0.8r1 (FlowJo Analysis software, Ashland, USA).

To measure viability, cells were incubated with Pacific Blue-labeled annexin V diluted 1:100 in annexin V binding buffer (both from Biolegend, San Diego, USA). After 30 min incubation at room temperature protected from light, one volume of annexin V binding buffer was added. Propidium
iodide (PI; Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Louis, USA) diluted 1:200 in PBS was automatically added by the MACSquant analyzer 10 just before mixing and acquisition. For quantification of NP uptake, the proportion of Cy5-positive cells within live cells (annexin V/PI double-negative population) was determined.

To assess macrophage activation, J774.1 cells were stained with Zombie Green fixable viability dye (Biolegend, San Diego, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. After washing with flow cytometry buffer consisting of PBS supplemented with 2 mM EDTA (Calbiochem, Darmstadt, Germany) and 0.5 % BSA (PAA laboratories, Pashing, Austria), cells were incubated with unlabeled anti-CD16/32 to block Fc receptors (Biolegend, San Diego, USA). After 10 min at 4 °C, specific antibodies for surface activation markers or their respective isotype controls in flow cytometry buffer were added: Pacific Blue-CD80, PE-CD86, PE/Cy7-CD11b and APC/Cy7-MHCII (all from Biolegend, San Diego, USA). After further 30 min at 4 °C, the cells were washed once with flow cytometry buffer before acquisition.

**Bright field imaging**

Live cell morphology and density were pictured by bright field microscopy (CKX53, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) at 10x magnification.

**ELISA**

To quantify cytokine secretion, ELISA Max Deluxe sets for mouse interleukin 6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α) (both from Biolegend, San Diego, USA) were used according to the manufacturer’s protocol in half-well plates. Absorbance was measured at 450 nm with an Infinite 200 PRO plate-reader (TECAN, Männedorf, Switzerland) where the reference absorbance at 570 nm was subtracted. Cytokine concentrations were calculated according to the standard curve performed in duplicate.

**Statistical analysis**

Graphs were plotted with GraphPad Prism software version 6.0e (GraphPad Software, San Diego, USA). Statistical significance of multiple groups in comparison to control group (untreated sample) was assessed using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. Unpaired t-test was used in figure 4 to compare two groups. For all experiments with J774.1 cells, graphs show the mean and error bars indicate standard error of the mean (SEM) of four or five independent experiments performed on different days.
Results

This rapid method presented here to test NP-macrophage interactions and cellular responses is based on a multi-parameter analysis using a macrophage cell line. After exposure of macrophages to NP in a 96-well cell culture plate, the cells were analyzed by flow cytometry and bright field microscopy, whereas supernatants were collected for ELISA quantification of cytokines. This strategy allows to determine several crucial aspects of the interactions between NP and macrophages in parallel from a single culture plate (Scheme 1): NP-related cytotoxicity (1), cellular uptake of NP (2), and proinflammatory activation (3).

NP characterization and stability

To illustrate the multi-parameter screening method for NP-macrophage interactions, we chose to use polymer-coated gold particles (AuNP) as model NP. AuNP possess several advantages which make them promising candidates for biomedical applications, including tunable sizes, the possibility of surface functionalization, optical properties that enable their detection, and low toxicity.\(^\text{26}\) We selected hetero-functionalized NH\(_2\)PVA-AuNP as model for the assay, as we have previously shown that these NP are best taken up among the formulations tested.\(^\text{24}\) Citrate-stabilized AuNP were coated with a vinylalcohol-vinylamine copolymer/polyvinyl alcohol polymer mixture through ligand exchange as described.\(^\text{24}\) In order to assess NP uptake by macrophages, the first polymer layer was labeled with Cy5 fluorescent dye (NH\(_2\)PVA-AuNP). NP with unlabeled polymers were used as a control (NH\(_2\)PVA-AuNP-noCy5). Cy5 (650/670), a far red dye, was selected to limit potential fluorescence interferences with the gold core (Fig. 1B). All AuNP were thoroughly characterized by conventional methods: TEM (gold core size distribution), UV-Vis (particle size and concentration), DDLS (hydrodynamic size), and zeta potential (Fig. 1). DDLS was preferred to characterize the particle size (hydrodynamic radius), as it was previously shown to have an advantage over conventional dynamic light scattering (DLS) for measurements in a complex fluid such as cell culture medium.\(^\text{27}\) NP stability in complete macrophage culture medium (cDMEM) was determined after 24-h incubation at 37 °C by start/end point UV-Vis measurements and time resolved DDLS (Fig. 2). Although citrate AuNP do not preserve colloidal stability in PBS (data not shown),\(^\text{27}\) these particles were stable in cDMEM, probably due to protein corona formation.\(^\text{28,29}\) Both NH\(_2\)PVA-AuNP-noCy5 and NH\(_2\)PVA-AuNP were stable in cDMEM over 24 h.
Impact of NP on macrophage viability

As cellular model, we used the well-described J774.1 murine macrophage cell line, which possesses many of the defining characteristics of macrophages. To examine macrophage viability, morphology and confluency, J774.1 cells were visually assessed by bright field microscopy after a 24-h culture (Fig. 3A). Staurosporine, which induces apoptotic cell death, strongly reduced the proportion of round live cells. Lipopolysaccharide (LPS), which is a bacterially derived endotoxin used as positive proinflammatory control, promoted adhesion and spreading of cells, inducing the typical morphology of immune-activated macrophages (arrows). In contrast, exposure to citrate AuNP, NH₂PVA polymer or NH₂PVA-AuNP did not induce any change in morphology, with cells remaining small and round. Some material aggregates (arrows) were seen with NH₂PVA polymer exposure.

Two major mechanisms of cell death can be distinguished, apoptosis and necrosis. Whereas apoptotic cell death does not generally promote inflammation, necrotic cell death leads to the disruption of the cell membrane and release of proinflammatory substances. To investigate whether AuNP induced cell death by one of these mechanisms, macrophages were assessed by flow cytometry after staining with annexin V and propidium iodide (PI). Annexin V detects the presence of phosphatidylserine on the outer layer of the membrane bilayer, an early sign of apoptosis, whereas PI intercalates into DNA when the cell membrane is disrupted. This staining allows easy discrimination between live, apoptotic and dead cells (Fig. 3B, top left). Untreated J774.1 cells show approximately 60% live cells in these conditions, as this is a cell line with a high proliferation rate and turnover. Our results showed that citrate AuNP, NH₂PVA polymer and NH₂PVA-AuNP did not decrease the proportion of live cells after a 24-h exposure, in contrast to staurosporine (Fig. 3B, C). NH₂PVA polymer and NH₂PVA-AuNP did increase the proportion of PI+/Annexin V- dead cells, although not significantly, suggesting that the polymer may promote necrotic cell death at higher concentrations (Fig. S2†). Since the NP themselves could in principle interfere with the measurements (the gold core could for instance affect the PI fluorescence signal with a maximal emission at 538 nm), it was necessary to verify that the materials did not affect the fluorescence signals of annexin V or PI. To verify this point, macrophages were incubated for 24 h with staurosporine. For the last hour before assessment by flow cytometry, AuNP, NH₂PVA polymer or NH₂PVA-AuNP were added to the staurosporine-treated cells. Our results showed that none of these conditions interfere with the Annexin/PI staining, as there was no difference in macrophage viability compared to cells incubated only with staurosporine (Fig. 3C, right panel). We chose to use flow cytometry rather than a metabolic assay such as the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium (MTT) test to assess cell viability, because this technique allows a multi-
parametric readout. In addition, some NP have been described to absorb the MTT reagent or to interfere directly with colorimetric reading, and the MTT assay does not directly measure cell counts but rather depends on mitochondrial enzymatic activity. In a case where NP could affect mitochondrial activity, the MTT reading would also be affected. Thus, we confirmed by two independent methods that NH₂PVA-AuNP do not affect macrophage viability.

Uptake of fluorescently labeled NP by macrophages

Macrophages are specialized in the uptake of particulate material such as tissue debris and certain pathogens. Since uptake by macrophages critically modifies the pharmacokinetics and biodistribution of the NP in the body, it is essential to assess NP uptake by macrophages early in the development process of any particles designed for biomedical applications.

Flow cytometry analysis detects morphological changes proportionally to the size and granularity of the cell by forward scatter (FSC) and side scatter (SSC), respectively. In consequence, if NP are taken up, the SSC intensity may increase. However our data show no significant SSC shift when comparing NP-treated cells with untreated cells (Fig. 4A). As cell size and granularity change when cells are dying, this technique requires the exclusion of dying cells before the uptake analysis. Therefore, we first gated on live (annexin V/PI double-negative) cells.

A more sensitive strategy to determine whether NH₃PVA-AuNP are taken up by macrophages is to use fluorescently labeled NP. J774.1 cells were exposed for 24 h at 37 °C to NH₃PVA-AuNP labeled with Cy5 and fluorescence intensity was then quantified by flow cytometry. Macrophages incubated with Cy5-labeled NH₃PVA-AuNP or with the Cy5-labeled NH₃PVA polymer alone showed higher fluorescence than non-exposed macrophages (Fig. 4B, left panel). Indeed, at least 80% of the macrophages exposed to NH₃PVA-AuNP were Cy5-positive (Fig. 4C, left panel). To determine whether the NP were actively taken up by the cells, incubation was also performed at 4 °C, a temperature at which many active processes are inhibited. At 4 °C, the percentage of Cy5-positive cells dropped significantly to approximately 40% for cells exposed to NH₃PVA-AuNP (Fig. 4C), suggesting that the NP are taken up at least in part by an active process. The Cy5-positive macrophages exposed to NP at 4 °C may be decorated with NP on their surface, as this would not require an active uptake.

To verify that the NH₃PVA polymer and the NP themselves do not affect the detection of the Cy5 fluorescent signal, we incubated macrophages with NH₃PVA polymer or NH₃PVA-AuNP without Cy5 at 37 °C and 4 °C (Fig. 4A and B, right panels). No increase in fluorescence was seen, indicating that NH₃PVA polymer and NH₃PVA-AuNP do not affect Cy5 detection by flow cytometry.
Production of proinflammatory cytokines by macrophages after NP exposure

In addition to cytotoxicity and cellular uptake, it is essential to assess NP-induced immune activation on macrophages. Indeed, compounds used during NP synthesis often contain trace contaminations of immune-activating substances that can lead to important inflammatory reactions. LPS, an endotoxin present on Gram-negative bacteria, is a frequent contaminant that can induce strong proinflammatory responses even at picogram concentrations in humans.\textsuperscript{40}

As J774.1 macrophages show high sensitivity to LPS and other pathogen contaminants,\textsuperscript{41} we propose to use these cells as a highly reactive and cost-limiting strategy to detect immune-activating contaminations. The activation of macrophages leads to the secretion of several proinflammatory cytokines such as interleukin 6 (IL-6) and tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), which represent the first step towards a generalized inflammatory response. We quantified cytokine concentration by ELISA in the culture supernatant from the same wells of NP-exposed macrophages used for flow cytometry. After 24 h of exposure to citrate AuNP, NH\textsubscript{2}PVA polymer or NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP, none of these conditions induced either IL-6 or TNF-α (Fig. 5, left panels). LPS was used as positive control and for all cytokines a significant increase compared to the untreated control was observed.

To verify that the NP themselves did not interfere with the ELISA assay, J774.1 cells were exposed to LPS for 24 h to induce proinflammatory cytokines and citrate AuNP, NH\textsubscript{2}PVA polymer or NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP were added only for the last hour of incubation, a time frame which was sufficient for NP uptake (Fig. S3†). Although the cytokine concentration was expected to be the same in all conditions, a lower absorbance was measured by ELISA in the conditions with NP or polymer (Fig. 5, right panels), suggesting that both materials interfere with the assay at the concentrations used. The lower signal intensity could be due to cytokine adsorption to the material surface.

Immune activation phenotype of macrophages after NP exposure

To verify the NP-induced activation of macrophages by a second readout, we examined whether NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP caused a switch from a naïve to an activated phenotype in J774.1 cells. Upon immune activation, the cell surface markers of macrophages change to enhance their interactions with other immune cells. We therefore analyzed the up-regulation of key surface activation markers such as major histocompatibility complex class II (MHC II) molecules, that mediate antigen presentation to T cells; CD80 and CD86, two co-stimulatory molecules that support efficient T-cell activation; CD11b a leukocyte integrin associated with migration and adhesion that is essential in inflammation.\textsuperscript{42} Citrate AuNP, NH\textsubscript{2}PVA polymer and NH\textsubscript{2}PVA-AuNP did not induce up-regulation of any of the tested
activation markers on J774.1 cells, in contrast to the immune activator LPS (Fig. 6, left panels). To control for NP interference, we incubated the macrophages with LPS for 24 h to induce the up-regulation of these typical activation markers. Citrate AuNP, NH$_2$PVA polymer or NH$_2$PVA-AuNP were added for the last hour before assessment by flow cytometry, in order to determine if the materials reduce the fluorescence signal of the labeled antibodies. Our results showed that neither the NP nor the polymer significantly modified the fluorescence signal compared to LPS alone (Fig. 6, middle panels). Because immune activation may increase cell autofluorescence and unspecific antibody binding, antibody isotype controls are necessary to confirm the specificity of the signal increase in LPS-treated macrophages. The median fluorescent intensity (MFI) for isotype control staining was not increased in any of the culture conditions, demonstrating that the signal measured for activation markers is specific (Fig. 6, right panels).
Discussion

Interest in NP use for biomedical applications is growing and there is an urgent need for reliable, rapid and universal methods to characterize NP interactions with immune cells. Here we propose a rapid multi-parameter test to screen NP impact on macrophages within one experimental day. We suggest flow cytometry as standard method to investigate the proinflammatory potential of NP using a macrophage cell line, since it is an automated technique to quantify several parameters simultaneously, including cytotoxicity, NP uptake and proinflammatory-activating effects. The samples are measured on only one culture plate, thus allowing economical use of NP and reagents. We have shown exemplary results with polymer-coated heterofunctionalized AuNP as model, but this assay can be run with many different types of engineered nanomaterials, as shown in Fig. S4† with differently functionalized AuNP. In our laboratory we have also implemented this test for the screening of protein-based NP, poly-lactic-co-glycolic acid (PLGA) NP, silica NP and micelles in order to select leading candidates between different synthesis strategies.

As macrophage model, we used the widely investigated J774.1 murine cell line, which possesses characteristic functions of macrophages such as phagocytosis, antigen processing, cytokine production, and many of the surface markers. We found the data obtained with this cell line to be highly reproducible, allowing direct comparison of results even when tested on different days. MSC-2, a myeloid suppressor cell line, can also be used to run the screening assay (Fig. S4†), as can primary cells generated from animal or human samples. Indeed, the results obtained with this screening protocol for NH₂PVA-AuNP in J774.1 macrophages mirrors data obtained previously for these NP in primary human immune cells. In any case, a first validation of NP using a cell line limits the number of experimental animals needed for further characterization and avoids the loss of human samples.

For a step-by-step overview of the interpretation of the data from the screening assay, we propose a flow chart that summarizes the key points of this process (Fig. 7). Because NP-macrophage interactions are dependent on particle properties, the physico-chemical characterization of the panel of NP, including size, shape, charge, and colloidal stability also in the cell culture medium in which the cells are grown, is required before exposure. The working concentration for the screening protocol should be selected according to the planned biomedical application and based on the expected concentration in the organism. In addition, the working concentration should not lead to NP agglomeration. For NH₂PVA-AuNP, a potential application is the delivery of immunoactive drugs. We thus selected a working concentration (10 µg/ml of AuNP) that would be higher than the
concentration needed to deliver an effective dose of the drug. At this dose, the NP demonstrated colloidal stability in media.

As a first step, the screening protocol rapidly assesses potential cytotoxicity. This step allows selection of the candidates inducing the least cell death within a panel of NP. It also allows rapid screening of NP during the development process and may alert to the necessity of design modifications if evident cytotoxicity is detected. As a second step, cellular uptake by macrophages is measured. In general, because macrophages lead to NP clearance, a low uptake is desirable and the NP candidates should be selected accordingly. Low capture by macrophages will result in low NP clearance, allowing them more time to reach their target cells in the body. One widely used coating for NP which considerably reduces their uptake by macrophages is polyethylene glycol (PEG). In the previously established AuNP library in our laboratory, the coating strategies strongly modify the uptake of 17-nm gold core NP by macrophages. For instance PEG-coated AuNP showed a lower uptake than PVA-coated NP, and carboxyl-functionalization resulted in a lower uptake than amino-functionalization. For specific applications with the aim to stimulate or to inhibit an immune response, macrophages may be themselves the target of the NP, in which case selection of NP with high cellular uptake may be appropriate.

As third step of the screening assay, the NP immune effects are determined by two parameters: the concentration of cytokines in the culture supernatant and the expression of typical markers on the surface of activated macrophages. Cytokines will diffuse rapidly in the body to initiate a generalized immune response, whereas surface markers are important for local cell-to-cell interactions. Except in specific applications where immune activation is desired, such as in vaccination strategies, the NP that do not induce immunostimulation should be selected in order to avoid unwanted proinflammatory effects in the organism. Any detected immunostimulating effect may be caused by the NP themselves, in which case the design of the particle may need to be adjusted. On the other hand, the observed immunoactivation may originate from immuno-contaminants introduced during synthesis. This may be verified using a further test, the limulus amebocyte lysate (LAL) assay, which is used as a gold standard to test for endotoxin contaminants. If contaminations are detected, the conventional depyrogenation processes are often not suitable for NP, so that the NP synthesis process may need to be revisited.

Finally, because NP are well known to interfere with different aspects of biological assays and because this may lead to incorrect interpretation of the NP impact on macrophages, the screening protocol includes essential interference controls at all steps. If interferences are detected during one of the steps of the test, a complementary assay relying on a different detection method should be
considered. In this report, we noted that both AuNP and polymer decrease the ELISA absorbance reading at 450 nm in the interference control for IL-6 and TNF-α (Fig. 5). **However, other types of NP will not necessarily cause these interferences.** We found it unlikely that the gold core directly interferes with the absorbance reading or the enzyme activity, because the polymer alone also reduced the signal. The lower signal intensity is thus probably due to cytokine adsorption to the material surface. To verify IL-6 and TNF-α production by alternative methods, intracellular cytokines could be assessed with flow cytometry, or cytokine mRNA levels could be measured by quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qPCR).

The one-plate screening assay presented here is designed to give a rapid overview of the different aspects of NP-macrophage interactions. For a more detailed investigation of specific findings, we present a list of possible assays (Table 1). For instance, several methods are available to further characterize the mechanisms of toxicity (reviewed in 15,47,22,55). For the assessment of NP uptake, the flow cytometry technique used in the screening protocol requires fluorescent labeling of the NP. In general, it cannot be excluded that the dye may affect NP uptake, or that the fluorophore could detach from the core, or that fluorescence may be quenched by the NP, as has been shown for AuNP. After the initial screening, we therefore suggest to confirm the uptake for selected NP candidates by using a second method adapted to the type of NP used (for instance, inductively coupled optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES) may be used to quantify gold uptake). To determine in which cell compartment the NP accumulate, a standard technique is to use confocal microscopy and cells labeled with dyes that allow visualization of organelles, such as the endosomes and lysosomes. To determine which is the main mechanism of uptake, pharmaceutical and genetic inhibitors of phagocytosis can be employed.

In conclusion, we present a one-plate automated screening protocol for the rapid selection of potential NP candidates for biomedical applications, based on their impact on J774.1 macrophages. The protocol presented here can furthermore be easily adapted to screen the impact of NP on other cells of interest such as endothelial cell lines, human cell lines or even primary cells, allowing for additional versatility.
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Figures

(A) TEM image of AuNP with corresponding diameter distribution histogram. (B) UV-Vis spectra of NP. Measurements were performed in the media used for synthesis: water (citrate AuNP) or PBS (NH$_2$PVA-AuNP-noCy5 and NH$_2$PVA-AuNP). Data is normalized according to absorbance at 400 nm. a.u.: area unit. (C) Table shows NP core diameter obtained via TEM, NP hydrodynamic diameter obtained via depolarized dynamic light scattering (DDLS) measurements and zeta potential measurements (a measurements performed in water; b measurements performed in PBS, both without incubation) at 40 µg AuNP/ml. Mean ± SD of 3-5 measurements for DDLS and 10 measurements for zeta-potential are shown.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NP type</th>
<th>Diameter (nm)</th>
<th>zeta-potential (mV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AuNP</td>
<td>16.5 ± 3.7</td>
<td>-44.25 ± 1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_2$PVA-AuNP-noCy5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>7.54 ± 5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH$_2$PVA-AuNP</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>6.06 ± 2.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Characterization of AuNP.
Fig. 2: NP stability in cell culture medium.

NP were incubated for 24 h in complete cell culture medium (cDMEM) at 37 °C. (A) UV-Vis assessment of NP stability. UV-Vis spectra were measured at the beginning of incubation (0 h) and after 24 h. (B) Timeline of DDLS measurements. During incubation DDLS measurements at 90° angle were performed in a time resolved fashion (every 300 seconds).
**Fig. 3: Impact of NP on macrophage viability.**
J774.1 macrophages were incubated for 24 h with NP, NH₂PVA polymer or staurosporine. (A) Representative bright field images at 10x magnification. Scale bar: 100 μm. (B and C) Flow cytometry analysis with annexin V and PI. (B) One representative dot plot per condition is shown. (C) Percentage of live (annexin V/PI double-negative) cells. Interference controls (right panel): macrophages were incubated with staurosporine for 24 h. After 23 h, NP or NH₂PVA polymer were added for the last hour before analysis. Each bar represents mean ± SEM of 5 independent experiments. Asterisks (***, P<0.001) indicate significant differences with untreated group using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test.
Fig. 4: Uptake of NP by macrophages.

J774.1 macrophages were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C (A-C) or 4 °C (C, as indicated) with NP or NH₂PVA polymer. Uptake was analyzed by flow cytometry after gating on live (annexin V/PI double-negative) cells. (A) Representative modal histograms of SSC signal. (B and C) Representative modal histograms of Cy5 (B) and percentage of Cy5⁺ cells (C) for macrophages incubated with Cy5-labeled NP and polymer (test samples, left panel) or with unlabeled NP and polymer (interference controls, right panel). Each bar represents mean ± SEM of 4 independent experiments (unlabeled NP and polymer: 2 independent experiments). Asterisks (*, P<0.05; **, P<0.01) without brackets indicate
comparison to untreated group using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test. Asterisk (*, \( P<0.05 \)) with brackets indicates comparison using unpaired t-test. ns: not significant.

**Fig. 5: Production of proinflammatory cytokines by macrophages after NP exposure.**

Test samples (left panels): J774.1 macrophages were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with NP, \( \text{NH}_2\text{PVA} \) polymer or LPS. Interference controls (right panels): macrophages were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with LPS (100 ng/ml). NP or \( \text{NH}_2\text{PVA} \) polymer were added for the last hour of incubation. IL-6 and TNF-\( \alpha \) were quantified in culture supernatants by ELISA. Each bar represents mean ± SEM of 5 independent experiments performed at least in duplicate. Asterisks (*, \( P<0.05 \); **, \( P<0.01 \); ***, \( P<0.001 \)) indicate significant differences with untreated group using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test.
Fig. 6: Changes in surface activation markers on macrophages after NP exposure.
Median fluorescence intensity (MFI; fold change compared to untreated sample) of activation markers on J774.1 macrophages after incubation is shown. Test samples, left panels: macrophages were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with NP, NH$_2$PVA polymer or LPS as in Fig. 5. Interference controls, middle panels: macrophages were incubated with LPS for 24 h as in Fig. 5. NP or NH$_2$PVA polymer were added for the last hour of incubation. Isotype controls, right panels: Median fluorescence intensity after staining of test samples and interference controls with unspecific isotype control antibodies is shown. Each bar represents mean ± SEM of at least 3 independent experiments. Asterisks (*, P<0.05; ***, P<0.001; ****, P<0.0001) indicate significant differences with untreated group using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test.
Fig. 7: Flow chart guide to data interpretation.

Flow chart describing the different steps that a panel of NP must pass through in order to be validated for deeper investigations.
### Table 1: Examples of further investigation procedures.

Table listing tests that may be considered in order to further investigate NP interactions with cells.

References refer to representative examples where the different assays have been used.
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Rapid screening method to evaluate impact of nanoparticles on macrophages

Scheme 1: Parameters measured by NP screening assay.

J774.1 macrophages are exposed to NP for 24 h. Three effects of NP-macrophage interactions are measured in parallel. (1) Cytotoxicity is assessed by bright field microscopy and measured by flow cytometry with annexin V and propidium iodide (PI) staining. (2) Uptake of fluorescently-labeled NP by macrophages is determined by flow cytometry. (3) The immune activation phenotype is determined by flow cytometry measurement of cell surface activation markers (MHC II, CD80, CD86, CD11b) and by quantification of proinflammatory cytokines in the supernatant (IL-6, TNF-α).
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Supplementary materials and methods

Supplementary NP Synthesis

Additional NP were synthesized for figure S4: Citrate-stabilized gold NP were coated with only one layer of Cy5-labeled NH₂-PVA polymer (homo-NH₂-PVA AuNP) instead of two layers (NH₂-PVA AuNP), or with unlabeled polyethylene glycol (PEG), PVA or a mixture of PEG and PVA (PEG/PVA). Carboxyl (COOH) functionalization and amine (NH₂) functionalization were used. Synthesis and characterization for all formulations has been described in detail previously (L. Rodriguez-Lorenzo et al., Small, 2014, 10, 1341–50; K. Fytianos et al., Nanomedicine, 2015, 11, 633–44.)

Myeloid suppressor cell (MSC) line

The myeloid-derived suppressor cell line MSC-2 (E. Apolloni et al, J. Immunol, 2000, 15, 6723-30) was cultivated in MSC-2 medium consisting of RPMI 1640 (Bio west, Nuaille, France) supplemented with 1 % glutamine (PAA laboratories, Pashing, Austria), 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1 % 2-mercaptoethanol (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, USA), 100 ng/ml IL-4 (Peprotech, Rocky Hill, USA), 10 % FBS and 100 IU/ml penicillin and 100 μg/ml streptomycin (100x preparation from Corning, New York, USA). Before each experiment, cells were concentrated to 10⁶ cells/mL before adding 100 μl per well (10⁵ cells/well) in flat-bottom 96-well plates (Corning, New York, USA). After overnight incubation, medium was replaced by 50 μl fresh MSC-2 medium. NP, polymers and other test substances were added as indicated at 2x concentration in 50 μl MSC-2 medium. For all NP, the final working concentration was 20 μg/ml gold (determined by UV-Vis at 400 nm). As positive control for immune activation, MSC-2 macrophages were cultured with 0.5 μg/ml resiquimod (R848; Enzo life Sciences, Farmingdale, USA).
List of materials required to run one 96-well plate (up to 11 NP)

Instruments:
- Incubator (37°C, 5% CO2)
- Inverted microscope
- (Automated) flow cytometer with at least 5 detectors
- Plate reader for ELISA (absorbance at 450 nm)

Plasticware:
- 2x 96-well flat bottom plates for macrophage exposure to NP
- 1x 96-well round bottom plate for flow cytometry analysis

Cell culture:
- J774.1 macrophage cell line
- T-75 Flask for J774.1 cell culture
- Lipopolysaccharide (positive control)
- Staurosporine (positive control)
- 12 mL of J774.1 Medium:
  - high glucose (4.5 g/l) DMEM
  - 1 % glutamine
  - 1nM sodium pyruvate
  - 10 % fetal bovine serum (FBS)
  - 0.5 % ciproxine

For flow cytometry staining:
- Labeled Annexin V (e.g. Pacific-Blue labeled Annexin V) and specific Annexin V buffer
- Propidium iodide or/and Zombie dye
- Labeled antibodies, one per marker (CD80, CD86, MHC-II, CD11b)
- Isotype controls for each marker
- unlabeled Fc-block (anti-CD16/32)
- 50 ml FACS buffer (PBS supplemented with 2 mM EDTA and 0.5 % BSA)

ELISA analysis:
- Kit for cytokine analysis (plate, buffer, antibodies...)
Supplementary figure S1: plate organization and master mixes to perform the rapid screening method

5x10⁴ macrophages per well in 100 µl in 96-well flat-bottom plate were seeded in all the wells. After overnight incubation, medium was replaced with 100 µl fresh medium (squares) or 50 µl fresh medium and 50 µl NP-containing medium (dots). To inhibit active uptake, plate 2 was incubated at 4 °C. After 23 h incubation, 50 µl
medium were exchanged for 50 μl NP-containing medium in interference control wells (dots). One hour later, all the supernatants were harvested and stored at -20 °C for cytokine quantification by ELISA, and the cells were analysed with 3 different flow cytometry stainings. First, annexin V/PI staining allowed determination of the NP cytotoxicity (white). The same wells were used to determine the uptake of fluorescently-labeled NP in the live population. Secondly, we designed an immune activation master mix (dark grey) to determine the up-regulation of key activation markers. Thirdly, as control, we used a master mix containing the different isotype controls of the immune activation master mix (light grey). The different tables are based on the optical configuration of the MACSquant analyzer 10 equipped with 3 lasers: violet (405 nm), blue (488 nm) and red (635 nm).
Supplementary figure S2: impact of NP on macrophage viability

As for figure 3, J774.1 macrophages were incubated for 24 h with NP, NH$_2$PVA polymer or staurosporine (stauro) before flow cytometry analysis with annexin V and PI. Each bar represents mean ± SEM of 5 independent experiments. Asterisks (*, P<0.05) indicate significant differences with untreated group using one-way ANOVA followed by Dunnett’s multiple comparison test.
Supplementary figure S3: Uptake of Cy5-labeled NP after 1 hour by untreated and staurosporine cells

J774.1 macrophages were incubated for 24 h without (white) or with (grey) staurosporine before adding NP or NH$_2$PVA polymer for the last hour of incubation. As positive control, macrophages were incubated for 24 h with NP or NH$_2$PVA polymer (black). Uptake was analysed by flow cytometry after gating on live (annexin V/PI double-negative) cells. Percentage of Cy5+ cells is depicted. Each bar represents mean ± SEM of at least 4 independent experiments.
Supplementary figure S4: Cytotoxicity, uptake and immune activation of different formulations of AuNP with different cell types.

J774.1 (A-C) macrophage or MSC-2 myeloid suppressor cell lines (D,E) were incubated for 24 h at 37 °C with different formulations of AuNP or polymers before flow cytometry analysis. (A,D) Percentage of live (annexin V/PI double-negative) cells. (B) percentage of Cy5+ cells and (C,E) MHC-II median fluorescence intensity (MFI; fold change compared to untreated sample). A-C: Each bar represents mean ± SEM of at least 3 independent experiments. D, E: Each bar represents mean of triplicates of one experiment.