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Abstract

Lusi is a sediment-hosted hydrothermal system featuring clastic-dominated geyser-like eruption behavior in East Java, Indonesia. We use 10 months of ambient seismic noise cross correlations from 30 temporary seismic stations to obtain a 3-D model of shear wave velocity anomalies beneath Lusi, the neighboring Arjuno-Welirang volcanic complex, and the Watukosek fault system connecting the two. Our work reveals a hydrothermal plume, rooted at a minimum 6 km depth that reaches the surface at the Lusi site. Furthermore, the inversion shows that this vertical anomaly is connected to the adjacent volcanic complex through a narrow (~3 km wide) low velocity corridor slicing the survey area at a depth of ~4–6 km. The NE-SW direction of this elongated zone matches the strike of the Watukosek fault system. Distinct magmatic chambers are also inferred below the active volcanoes. The large-scale tomography features an exceptional example of a subsurface connection between a volcanic complex and a solitary erupting hydrothermal system hosted in a hydrocarbon-rich back-arc sedimentary basin. These results are [...]
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Abstract Lusi is a sediment-hosted hydrothermal system featuring clastic-dominated geyser-like eruption behavior in East Java, Indonesia. We use 10 months of ambient seismic noise cross correlations from 30 temporary seismic stations to obtain a 3-D model of shear wave velocity anomalies beneath Lusi, the neighboring Arjuno-Welirang volcanic complex, and the Watukosek fault system connecting the two. Our work reveals a hydrothermal plume, rooted at a minimum 6 km depth that reaches the surface at the Lusi site. Furthermore, the inversion shows that this vertical anomaly is connected to the adjacent volcanic complex through a narrow (~3 km wide) low velocity corridor slicing the survey area at a depth of ~4–6 km. The NE-SW direction of this elongated zone matches the strike of the Watukosek fault system. Distinct magmatic chambers are also inferred below the active volcanoes. The large-scale tomography features an exceptional example of a subsurface connection between a volcanic complex and a solitary erupting hydrothermal system hosted in a hydrocarbon-rich back-arc sedimentary basin. These results are consistent with a scenario where deep-seated fluids (e.g., magmas and released hydrothermal fluids) flow along a region of enhanced transmissivity (i.e., the Watukosek fault system damage zone) from the volcanic arc toward the back arc basin where Lusi resides. The triggered metamorphic reactions occurring at depth in the organic-rich sediments generated significant overpressure and fluid upwelling that is today released at the spectacular Lusi eruption site.

Plain Language Summary The Lusi mud eruption started the 26 May 2006 in the northeast of Java, Indonesia. More than 11 years later Lusi is still active and continuously erupting boiling mud, rock fragments, gas, and water with stunning flow rates that reached up to 180.000 m³/d. Today Lusi occupies a 7 km² area framed by tall embankment walls that prevent a broader expansion of the mudflows. This spectacular eruption site has been investigated by numerous studies; however, images of the deep plumbing system are still missing. Here we present the result of a broad ambient noise tomography survey aiming to image the subsurface of the Lusi eruption site, the neighboring volcanic complex, and the faulted region that connects these two structures. Results show the presence of a >6 km deep hydrothermal plume below Lusi. The magma chamber imaged below the volcanic complex connects with the Lusi conduit through an elongated corridor that is oriented following the fault direction. Our results support a scenario where the Lusi eruption is fed at depth by the migration of fluids originating from the volcanic complex interacting with the organic-rich sediments present at 4.5 km depth.

1. Introduction

Since 29 May 2006, the densely populated Sidoarjo district, located in the NE of the Java sedimentary basin, has witnessed the extensive eruption of boiling mud, clasts, and fluids (Miller & Mazzini, 2017; Van Noorden, 2006). The large scale of the mud eruption, nicknamed Lusi, significantly impacted this area with nearly 60,000 people forced to abandon their villages due to the hot erupting mud covering a region of ~7 km² (Richards, 2011). The eruption site reached flow rates of up to 180.000 m³/d (Mazzini et al., 2007) and currently (February 2017) in the order of 80.000 m³/d. Lusi is a unique system on Earth due to its longevity and has been studied extensively over the past decade with geological investigations (Davies et al., 2008; Istadi et al., 2009; Mazzini et al., 2007; Sawolo et al., 2009; Tanikawa et al., 2010; Tingay et al., 2008), geochemical and experimental approaches (Mazzini et al., 2017, 2012; Vanderkluysen et al., 2014),
geophysical methods (Karyono et al., 2017; Manga et al., 2009; Mauri, Husein, Mazzini, Irawan, et al., 2017; Mauri, Husein, Mazzini, Karyono, et al., 2017; Obermann et al., 2017; Shirzaei et al., 2015), and numerical studies (Collignon et al., 2017; Lüpi et al., 2013; Lüpi et al., 2014; Mazzini et al., 2009; Rudolph et al., 2011; Sohrabi et al., 2017; Svensen et al., 2017). However, despite the numerous studies, several questions remain unanswered. In particular, the geometry of the deep feeding system remains uncertain. Large-scale geophysical methods are a viable tool to investigate this aspect.

Seismic tomography is a powerful tool to explore the velocity structure of the upper lithosphere (Nolet, 1987; Tromp et al., 2005). Using this technique along with dense seismic networks, it is possible to identify high- and low-velocity zones associated with geological phenomena and structures such as hydrothermal systems (Obermann et al., 2016), magmatic bodies (Koulakov et al., 2009; Koulakov & Shapiro, 2015), mud volcanoes (Koulakov et al., 2017), and ore deposits (Olivier et al., 2015). Piercement structures (such as Lusi) are geological phenomena driven also by the development of elevated pore pressure and fluid migration at depth. Fluid accumulations and migrations in the upper crust may result in the development of shear wave anomalies. Mapping such anomalies assists with identifying the occurrence (and eventually the broad geometry) of such reservoirs (De Matteis et al., 2010; Jaxybulatov et al., 2014; Vanorio et al., 2005).

Due to the lack of significant local seismicity in the region (Obermann et al., 2017), local earthquake tomography methods (Kissling, 1988; Koulakov & Shapiro, 2015; Thurber, 1983) could not be applied to investigate the subsurface velocity variations in the area below Lusi and the neighboring volcanic complex. A viable tool that has provided excellent results, also in volcanic environments, is ambient noise tomography (ANT) (Brenguier et al., 2007; Jaxybulatov et al., 2014; Luzón et al., 2011; Masterlark et al., 2010; Mordret et al., 2014; Obermann et al., 2016; Stankiewicz et al., 2010; Villagómez et al., 2011). The ANT method inverts dispersive surface waves across station pairs using long-lasting records of seismic noise (Campillo & Paul, 2003; Claerbout, 1968; Lobkis & Weaver, 2001; Shapiro & Campillo, 2004). The method assumes that the cross-correlation function (CC) represents an approximation of the Green’s function between receiver-pairs. From there, group (or phase) velocity maps can be constructed (Ritzwoller et al., 2011; Shapiro et al., 2005). Brenguier et al. (2007), Stankiewicz et al. (2010), and Obermann et al. (2016) have shown that shear wave velocity anomalies inverted from group velocity maps are capable of pointing out the occurrence of fluid-rich geological compartments in the upper crust.

Tomographic images of upper crust beneath central and east Java were previously constructed by ANT on a larger regional scale (Martha et al., 2017; Zulfakriza et al., 2014). We completed an ANT study using the data collected by a dense network of 30 seismometers distributed over an area of more than 1,000 km² (Figure 1). This is the first study that provides a local high resolution tomographic model of the area. The goal of this study was to address the following questions: how deep is the source of fluids feeding the Lusi eruption site? How are Lusi and the feeding volcanic complex interconnected at depth?

2. Geological Setting and Lusi Plumbing System

The NE Java basin is a back-arc hydrocarbon province that hosts a large variety of buried and exposed piercement structures as well as deep-reaching strike-slip fault systems (Situmorang et al., 1976; Satyana & Asnidar, 2008; Istadi et al., 2009; Mazzini et al., 2009). Piercements are also present in the southern part of the basin, in the proximity of the volcanic arc (Istadi et al., 2012). Lusi is the most spectacular ongoing clastic eruption on Earth located just a few kilometers from the Arjuno Welirang volcanic complex.

The region where Lusi resides is connected to the Arjuno Welirang complex by the Watukosek fault system (WFS) (Figure 1). This left-lateral strike-slip fracture zone originates from the youngest cone of the complex (i.e., Penanggungan) and extends toward the NE of Java, where a ~160 m high escarpment crops out (Watukosek escarpment). The fault system continues toward the NE, intersecting Lusi and several other mud volcanoes.

Mazzini et al. (2009, 2012) suggested that the WFS is key to understand fluid flow dynamics of the East Java basin as it may focus the migration of magmas and deep fluids from the active volcanic arc toward the backarc basin where Lusi resides. This results in the elevated geothermal gradient of the southern part of the basin where kerogens and/or already existing hydrocarbon maturation are enhanced (Mazzini et al., 2007). These conditions commonly lead to the development of piercement structures rooted at overpressured and organic-rich sedimentary units (Mazzini & Etiope, 2017).
Surface modeling deformation recorded by InSAR (Shirzaei et al., 2015) recently confirmed that Lusi is fed by two distinct regions located at approximately 1.5 km and >4 km depth. These regions correspond to two fluid sources that were distinguished by geochemical analysis (Mazzini et al., 2007, 2012, 2017). Furthermore, a magmatic source feeding the Lusi system with intense crustal fluid flow, upwelling from depth, has been put forward based on observations of the eruptive activity coupled with seismic data (Karyono et al., 2017; Vanderkluysen et al., 2014). The hot (~100°C) erupted fluids have a geochemical composition that clearly shows a component of mantellic origin mixed with fluids originating from metamorphic reactions at temperatures that are higher than the local gradient (Mazzini et al., 2012, 2017). For these reasons, the authors pointed out that Lusi is a sediment-hosted hydrothermal system fed by a vigorous hydrothermal circulation, most likely related to the neighboring volcanic complex. Besides the obvious geochemical evidence, a clear subsurface image of the link between the deep-seated reservoirs fuelling Lusi and the neighboring volcanic arc remains missing. For these reasons, we specifically designed a large-scale seismic experiment aimed at revealing the subsurface fluid distribution upon which Lusi develops.

3. Ambient Noise Tomography

3.1. Seismic Data and Computation of Cross Correlations

From January 2015 to November 2016, a network including 10 broadband (Guralp CMG3T sensors in combination with EarthData Loggers) and 20 short-period seismic sensors (15 1 s LE-3Dlite Lennartz with Nanometrics digitizer and 5 Mark L-4-3D sensors with EDL) was deployed around the Arjuno Welirang volcanic complex, the WFS, and the Lusi eruption site (Figure 1). The data set used in this study was collected within the framework of the ERC LusiLab project and considered the vertical component of the first 10 months of continuously recorded seismic data.

Figure 1. Topographic map showing the network distribution in the East Java Basin and pointing out the main geological features of the study area. Seismic station locations are marked by yellow triangles (short period) and blue diamonds (broadband). The red circle indicates Lusi. Summit of Penanggungan volcano is marked by a black star symbol and summit of Welirang volcano by a black square. The black dashed lines denote the location of the vertical slices through the 3-D shear wave velocity model that are shown in Figure 9.
To build up a database of noise cross-correlation functions (CCs) we first slice daily records into 2 h length segments and then apply the following processing steps: correction of the instrumental response, resampling the data to 10 Hz, band pass-filling between 0.05 and 4 Hz, elimination of 2 h length segments with standard deviations more than 3 times the standard deviation of a daily record, spectral whitening from 0.05 to 4 Hz, and one-bit amplitude normalization. We then calculate the CCs between all station pairs for the remaining 2 h segments and stack them over the 10 months. Noise sources are not homogeneously distributed and originate predominantly from coastlines; therefore, CCs between a couple of station pairs are asymmetric. We average the waveforms at positive and negative lag times to enhance the part of the signal that is symmetric and increase the signal-to-noise ratio (e.g., Mordret et al., 2015). Figures 2a and 2b provide a comparison of raypaths crossing the eruption site and the volcanic complex. Lower velocities and stronger dispersion can be observed on paths crossing the Lusi eruption site.

### 3.2. Group Velocity Dispersion Curves

From the CCs between each station pair, we obtain the group velocity dispersion curves using a narrow band-pass multiple-filtering analysis following the method of Levshin et al. (1989). We use a graphical user interface that involves analyst validation of the dispersion curves and the possibility to manually pick them (Mordret et al., 2014). To identify and reject unreliable group velocity measurements, we use only the CCs that have a signal-to-noise ratio equal to or larger than 10 and an interstation distance of more than 1.5 wavelengths. All measured dispersion curves are plotted in Figure 2c. We observe a cluster of low-velocity dispersion curves (0.3–0.6 km/s) and a broader range of relatively flat dispersion curves with velocities from 0.8 to 1.7 km/s. Figure 2d shows the number of measurements per period. We limit our analysis to periods with at least 50 measurements, which restricts our study to the period range comprised between 1 s and 7 s.

### 3.3. 2-D Group Velocity Tomography

An iterative nonlinear tomographic inversion procedure (fast marching surface wave tomography) developed by Rawlinson and Sambridge (2005) is used to obtain tomographic images of lateral group-velocity variations. The code uses a grid-based eikonal solver (Rawlinson & Sambridge, 2005; Sethian, 1996; Sethian &
Popovici, 1999) for the forward prediction of surface wave travel times and solves the inverse problem using a subspace inversion scheme (Kennett et al., 1988).

The study area (Figure 1) is discretized into 24 × 24 squared grid cells of 0.02° (~2.3 km) length each. We compute 2-D group velocity maps for a discrete set of periods from 1 s to 7 s with steps of 0.1 s. The overall mean surface wave velocity at each period is used as the constant starting velocity model for the tomographic inversion. The standard deviation computed from the total number of travel times at each period is considered as an uncertainty measurement associated to each interstation travel time. The topography is not taken into account during the inversion procedure, which can induce errors of less than 5% in areas with a high topographic gradient (Brenguier et al., 2007; Mordret et al., 2015), that is, below the volcanic arc.

Figures 3a–3c show examples of 2-D group velocity maps at 1.5 s, 3.5 s, and 6 s periods, where surface waves are sensitive to structures shallower than approximately 6 km in depth (Figure 3d). Only areas with a ray coverage above 4 per cell are displayed (Figure 4). Extremely low velocities (e.g., 200 m/s at period of 1.5 s) are observed at the Lusi location for every period. At greater depths (i.e., 6 s, Figure 3c) the low velocity area extends toward the volcanic complex. Close to the surface (Figure 3a), we observe a high velocity anomaly below the volcanic complex that is elongated in NE-SW direction.

To determine the robustness of the 2-D tomographic results we investigate the raypath density (Figure 4) and the spatial resolution capacities of the inversion (Figures 5 and 6). Figures 4a–4c show the dispersion measurements at periods of 1.5 s, 3.5 s, and 6 s plotted in map view and color-coded based on the measured group velocities for each station pair. The black contour outlines the regions with more than four rays per cell used in the inversion. Corresponding ray densities are shown in Figures 4d–4f. As expected from the network geometry, we have dense ray coverage around Lusi with good azimuthal distribution. Toward the volcanic chain the coverage is less dense and most raypaths have a NE-SW orientation. This introduces a smearing effect in this direction, and additionally, the path density decreases with increasing period. To quantify the lateral smearing, we performed a spike test (Mordret et al., 2015) at different frequencies (Figure 5). In Figure 5a we see three input spikes at different locations (A: Lusi, B: the Penanggungan volcano, C: the Southern extent of the Arjuno Welirang volcanic complex). In Figures 5b–5d we see the reconstructed
spike anomalies at different frequencies. While the anomalies below Lusi and Penanggungan volcano (A and B) can be seen in good resolution, we observe a significant smearing of the anomalies across the SW part of the complex. Figures 6a–6c show the displacement per cell at the centers of the input spikes after inversion, the so-called resolution shift. An anomaly is well located if the resolution shift is about half the cell size, which corresponds here to 1.2 km. We observe again that the area around Lusi until the Penanggungan volcano is seen in good resolution, whereas significant shifts of up to 12 km occur toward the SW in the area around Welirang volcano cone. Figures 6d–6f show the resolution for the entire area using spike type anomalies (Barmin et al., 2001; Mordret et al., 2013; Obermann et al., 2016).

### 3.4. Depth Inversion

From the group velocity maps we can construct dispersion curves for each grid point. We use a neighborhood algorithm (Sambridge, 1999) to invert the constructed local dispersion curves for the 1-D velocity structure at each grid point. 1-D Vs profiles are built as a power law with 15 homogeneous isotropic layers overlaid by Vs anomalies. Shear velocity at each layer is controlled by surface velocity in the range of 200–1,400 m/s and curvature of the profile in the range of 0.1–0.3 (see Figure 10 in Mordret et al., 2015 for details of these parameters). Velocity perturbations in the range of ±30% are added to each layer in respect to the previous layer. These perturbations allow the algorithm to generate Vs profiles with small velocity variations/slight decrease at depth and give a much higher level of fit to areas around the eruption site and volcanoes.

---

**Figure 4.** Ray path coverage. Color-coded raypaths showing group velocity measurements at (a) 1.5 s, (b) 3.5 s, and (c) 6 s. The black contours frame regions with more than four rays per cell. The black triangles denote the seismic station locations. Number of paths crossing each cell for (d) 1.5 s, (e) 3.5 s, and (f) 6 s.
Surface waves are more sensitive to Vs than Vp; therefore, only Vs is inverted and Vp is updated using the Poisson’s ratio. At the same time, density is calculated from the new Vp using $\rho = (Vp^2 + 2.37)/2.81$ (Gebrande, 1982). The algorithms are described in detail in Mordret et al. (2014). We sample a total of 11,000 Vs models per grid cell (Figure 7b). The average over the best 1,000 models is used to construct the 3-D velocity models. For each point of the grid, the misfit of the used 1-D model is < 0.3.

The results of the depth inversion are presented in the form of horizontal and vertical slices in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. The standard deviations of the best 1,000 models for each grid cell are used to construct the uncertainty 3-D model and are presented as equivalent of Figures 8 and 9 (Figures S1 and S2 in the supporting information). Vs uncertainties increase with depth and reach values larger than 0.15 km/s in some grid cells. However, uncertainties remain < 0.1 km/s, where we show the results on vertical slices; thus, this does not affect our geological interpretations. The exact position of the vertical slices is marked in Figure 1. We have a good resolution up to a depth of 6 km. Close to the surface (Figure 8a), we observe a significant low velocity anomaly of 20% below Lusi and the surrounding area (10 km x 15 km). The vertical cross section (Figures 9a and 9b) reveals that this anomaly persists to a depth of 1.5 km. It then narrows down to approximately 4 km in width and is still present at 6 km depth.

Below Penanggungan volcano, we see a low velocity zone that starts at about 3 km depth and reaches its maximum from 4 to 5 km depth (Figures 9a and 9d). We can further observe a corridor of the low velocity anomaly between the volcano and Lusi (NE-SW direction) starting at approximately 3.5 km depth, which is still visible at 6 km depth (Figures 8c, 9a, and 9d). A low velocity anomaly is also present at a depth of 3 km below the SW part of the Arjuno Welirang complex (Figures 8d–8f and 9e). Its shape is, however, less defined due to the network geometry and the resultant lack of resolution. The first kilometer below the volcanic complex is characterized by a high velocity anomaly (Figures 9a and 9d).

4. Discussion

The purpose of this study is to shed light on the subsurface structure of the region around the Arjuno Welirang complex, Lusi, and the WFS that intersects the two by mapping its shear wave velocity (Vs). Vs are (among other factors) sensitive to the presence of fluids. Hence, using surface waves to invert Vs maps may point out regions with enhanced fluid concentrations and migration.
Our results indicate a complex structure characterized by sharp contrasts of Vs and regions affected by a strong attenuation (Figures 8 and 9). Figure 8a indicates positive velocity anomalies from 10% to 20% in the upper units of the volcanic edifice. We suggest that this may correspond to the interlayering of compacted volcanic sediments and, mostly, lava flows. The high velocity anomaly is suddenly interrupted to the NE by a marked negative anomaly. Such a region is centered on Lusi, suggesting that the elevated presence of fluids may be responsible for the measured large attenuation. Note that the color bar is saturated, suggesting that even larger velocity anomalies are found beneath Lusi. At the surface, such a region is approximately 14 km wide (Figure 9b), and at greater depths, it narrows down to approximately 2 km in diameter (Figure 8c). The Vs anomaly is less pronounced at around 2.5 km depth where anomalies are reduced to about 10%. At around 3 km depth the velocity anomaly increases back up to 20%, until the lower limit of our investigated area. In the cross section (Figures 9a and 9b), the region beneath Lusi appears to have a mushroom shape for which various interpretations may be suggested. Lusi is fed by both organic- and magma-derived fluids erupting at more than 100°C at the surface. We speculate that such strong mush-shaped attenuation may be caused by a hydrothermal plume departing from depth and feeding Lusi. By means of basin-scale numerical modeling Lupi et al. (2013, 2014) show that different fluid flow regimes may be occurring in hydrothermal systems, with fast advection processes taking place in the upper part of sedimentary basins, while slower advection may be occurring at greater depths. The funnel shape of

Figure 6. Spatial resolution results. Displacement of the centers of the input spikes after inversion (resolution shift) corresponding to each cell at (a) 1.5 s, (b) 3.5 s, and (c) 6 s. (d–f) The effective diameter of the fitted ellipse that is considered as the maximum reliable anomaly size that the ray coverage is able to resolve. The white triangles denote the seismic station locations.
the upper part of the shear wave anomaly beneath Lusi may be related to the rapid advection promoted by the coupling of an elevated geothermal gradient and the higher permeability of the shallow sedimentary units. A second interpretation links the shallow and intense Vs anomaly to the accumulation of shallow hydrocarbon-derived fluids (i.e., oil and gas reservoirs) including the Wunut, Carat, and Tanggulangin gas fields (Istadi et al., 2009) with the slight shear wave variations occurring between ~2 km and 2.5 km depth possibly due to the a low porosity unit (i.e., volcanlastic deposits) that may retain less fluids (Lupi et al., 2014). Alternatively, the two shear wave anomalies from 0 to 2 km depth and from 2.5 km to 6 km depth may represent the shallow and the deep feeding source, respectively, proposed by Shirzaei et al. (2015) and Mazzini et al. (2017) and first discussed by Mazzini et al. (2012). Despite the possible interpretations associated with the origin of the fluids causing such a marked shear wave anomaly, to the best of our knowledge, Figure 9b is the first image of a crustal hydrothermal plume.

The ANT points toward the occurrence of a well-constrained magmatic reservoir beneath the Penanggungan volcano (Figures 9a and 9d) and a second less certain (due to smearing effects, see spike tests in Figure 5) magmatic reservoir beneath the southern part of the investigated Arjuno Welirang volcanic complex (Figure 9e). We notice a well-developed connection between the magmatic reservoir imaged beneath the Penanggungan volcano and Lusi (Figure 9a). Mazzini et al. (2012) already postulated the presence of an intrusive body feeding Lusi, and the strong NE-striking shear wave anomaly shown in Figures 8d–8f and 9a supports this hypothesis. The NW-SE cross sections (Figures 9d and 9e) show that the interpreted magmatic reservoirs seem to be approximately 6 km wide and subelliptical in shape with vertical elongation. The lateral extensions of such reservoirs seem to be tectonically controlled by the WFS.

Another key feature for the interpretation of the geological setting of the East Java Basin, where Lusi resides, is the narrow low velocity corridor striking NE-SW (Figures 8d–8f) connecting the volcanic complex to the hydrothermal plume feeding Lusi. Such elongated shear wave anomaly corresponds to the suggested location of the WFS (Istadi et al., 2009; Mazzini et al., 2009, 2012). The WFS is a deformation zone characterized by a well-developed damage zone up to ~3 km wide. Fluids migrating at depth (and more specifically from the magmatic bodies that we mapped) may be focused by the high permeability of the damage zone, leading to the measured NE-SW striking low-velocity anomaly. The occurrence of the WFS may also help in interpreting

Figure 7. 1-D shear wave velocity profiles. Predicted dispersion curves (a), and randomly generated 1-D velocity profiles (b) for one point in the study area (point 8 in Figure 5). The black dots in Figure 7a indicate the measured dispersion curve, and the black curve indicates the average of best models. The black line in Figure 7b represents the average of best models. (c) All depth inversion curves (black) and the average for the Lusi area (red) and the average for the volcanic area (blue).
the strong contrast of the velocity anomaly shown in Figure 9c, where at 6 km depth anomalies pass from \(-20\%\) to \(20\%\) in less than 2 km. Our interpretation is also reinforced by geomorphological observations pointing out that in this very same region, the Porong river is abruptly diverted to follow the WFS (Istadi et al., 2009, 2012; Mazzini et al., 2009).

4.1. Implications for the Plumbing System

This study points out that the volcanic complex and Lusi are connected by a NE-striking lineament. This region corresponds to the location of the WFS and is highlighted by the shear wave velocity anomaly shown in Figures 8d–8f. The damaged zones of the WFS may act as a preferential high-permeability pathway, funneling fluid flow from the volcanic complex to the back-arc sedimentary basin. With the obtained tomography we cannot define the composition nor the type of fluids pervading the WFS. However, considering the geological setting (i.e., active volcanic arc) we could speculate that such high-enthalpy fluids have

Figure 8. 3-D shear wave velocity model. Horizontal depth slices at (a) 1 km, (b) 2 km, (c) 3 km, (d) 4 km, (e) 5 km, and (f) 6 km. The black symbols are the location of Lusi (circle), summit of Penanggungan volcano (star), and summit of Welirang volcano (square) (shown in Figure 1).
been released by the magmatic bodies, as pointed out by our ANT study. The hot fluids (either melt or hydrothermal fluids) moving along these fractured zones could have had (and still have) a massive impact in the organic-rich sediments of the sedimentary basin. Below 4 km, where the most obvious velocity anomaly is observed (Figures 9a and 9b), the regional source rock formation called Ngimbang generated

Figure 9. Vertical depth slices (vertically exaggerated ×2) through the 3-D shear wave velocity model. The locations of the slices are indicated in Figure 1. The black dashed lines in AA' denote the vertical slices BB', CC', DD', and EE'.

have released by the magmatic bodies, as pointed out by our ANT study. The hot fluids (either melt or hydrothermal fluids) moving along these fractured zones could have had (and still have) a massive impact in the organic-rich sediments of the sedimentary basin. Below 4 km, where the most obvious velocity anomaly is observed (Figures 9a and 9b), the regional source rock formation called Ngimbang generated
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5. Conclusions
A dense network of 30 seismic stations has been deployed for 10 months over an area of ~1,000 km² covering the region around Lusi, the Arjuno-Welirang volcanic complex, and the Watukosek fault system. The ambient noise Rayleigh wave tomography provides images of the plumbing system feeding Lusi. The obtained shear wave velocity model indicates the presence of a less than 6 km deep hydrothermal plume that reaches the surface at Lusi. This plume is connected at depth to the Penanggungan volcano through a well-defined ~3 km wide low Vs anomaly corridor that extends throughout the investigated region below the depth of ~4 km. This low-velocity narrow zone follows the direction of the Watukosek fault system that strikes the NE of Java at a SW-NE direction. We interpret this corridor as a magmatic intrusion and hydrothermal fluid migration, originating from the volcanic complex and extending toward the NE of the sedimentary basin. This migration uses the weakened rocks along the Watukosek fault system as a preferential pathway. Tomography images combined with sampling and field observations support the scenario that once the hot volcanic fluids reached the source rock, overpressure was generated from the baking of the organic-rich sediments. This mechanism generated a system in critical conditions ready to manifest at surface.
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