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What is the role of a single dose of oral corticosteroids for those with acute sore throat? Using the GRADE framework according to the BMJ Rapid Recommendation process, an expert panel make a weak recommendation in favour of corticosteroid use. The panel produced these recommendations based on a linked systematic review triggered by a large randomised trial published in April 2017. This trial reported that corticosteroids increased the proportion of patients with complete resolution of pain at 48 hours. Box 1 shows all of the articles and evidence linked in this Rapid Recommendation package. The infographic provides the recommendation together with an overview of the absolute benefits and harms of corticosteroids in the standard GRADE format. Table 2 below shows any evidence that has emerged since the publication of this article. Clinicians and their patients can find consultation decision aids to facilitate shared decision making in MAGICapp to link or extract its content. Those considering use of our recommendations to local adaptation and contextualisation with patients. We encourage clinicians and patients to find consultation decision aids to facilitate shared decision making in MAGICapp to link or extract its content. The BMJ for permission to reuse content in this article.

Acute sore throat is defined as pain in the throat for less than 14 days. Acute sore throat could be caused by pharyngitis, nasopharyngitis, tonsillitis, peritonsillar abscess, or retropharyngeal abscess. Some patients with sore throat also experience headache, fever, muscle stiffness, cough, and general malaise.

Acute sore throat is common, but only a minority of patients will visit their general practitioner.1 A survey reported that the main reasons are to establish the cause of the symptoms, obtain pain relief, and to gain information on the course of the disease.2 Data from Dutch and Flemish primary care databases show that, for every 1000 consecutive patients consulting a general practitioner, 50 present with an acute sore throat.3 4 In the US, more than 92 million visits by adults to primary care practices and emergency departments between 1997 and 2010 were recorded.5 Sore throat presenting as acute tonsillitis is one of the most common causes of primary care appointments, and international guidance varies about whether to use corticosteroids to treat it, but a trial published in April 2017 suggested that corticosteroids might be effective.

What YOU NEED TO KNOW:

- Sore throat is one of the most common reasons for primary care appointments, and international guidance varies about whether to use corticosteroids to treat it, but a trial published in April 2017 suggested that corticosteroids might be effective.
- We make a weak recommendation to use a single dose of oral corticosteroids, in those presenting with acute sore throat, after performing a systematic review of the new evidence in this rapid recommendation publication package.
- The recommendation is weak and shared decision making is needed because corticosteroids did not help all patients reported outcomes and patients’ preferences varied substantially.
- Steroids somewhat reduced the severity and duration of pain by one day, but time off school or work was unchanged. Harm seems unlikely with one steroid dose.
- The treatment is inexpensive and likely to be offered in the context of a consultation that would have taken place anyway.

β-haemolytic streptococcus, Haemophilus influenzae, and Moraxella catarrhals are the most common pathogens. Evidence suggests that the time to resolution is not associated with the type of pathogen.6 About 2% of patients initially presenting with sore throat will have a mononucleosis infection caused by an Epstein-Barr virus, which could prolong the duration of symptoms.7

Some patients experience unacceptable morbidity and inconvenience, and miss school or work due to recurrent sore throat.8 Pain is a common reason for work or school absence. Complications of sore throat are rare: about 0.2% of patients with tonsillitis will develop a peritonsillar abscess.9

The diagnosis of an acute sore throat is based on signs and symptoms. The Centor clinical prediction rules can be used to help predict whether the sore throat is caused by a bacterial pathogen, and thus guide the decision whether to prescribe an antibiotic.9 10

Table 2 below shows any evidence that has emerged since the publication of this article. Clinicians and their patients can find consultation decision aids to facilitate shared decision making in MAGICapp to link or extract its content.
**RAPID RECOMMENDATIONS**

### Population

This recommendation applies to almost all patients with sore throat:
- Children 5 years and older and all adults
- Severe and not severe sore throat
- Emergency and primary care settings
- Patients with a viral or bacterial sore throat
- Patients who receive immediate or deferred antibiotics

However the recommendation is **not** applicable to patients with:
- Infectious mononucleosis
- Immunocompromising conditions
- Sore throat following surgery or intubation
- Children under 5 years old

### Comparison

#### Short course of steroids
1–2 doses of oral Dexamethasone (or equivalent dose of alternative corticosteroid) + standard care

#### No steroids
Standard clinical care, which typically includes analgesics, and may include antibiotics

**Comparison of benefits and harms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits and harms</th>
<th>Favours steroids</th>
<th>No important difference</th>
<th>Favours no steroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complete pain resolution (24 hrs)</strong></td>
<td>224</td>
<td>124 more</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Complete pain resolution (48 hrs)</strong></td>
<td>608</td>
<td>183 more</td>
<td>425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean time to resolution (hours)</strong></td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>11.1 fewer</td>
<td>44.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Symptom recurrence or relapse</strong></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>No important difference</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antibiotics prescription</strong></td>
<td>468</td>
<td>96 fewer</td>
<td>564</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evidence quality**

- Moderate
- High
- Low

### Preferences and values

The panel believes that there is a great variability on how much reduction in pain severity or time to complete pain resolution each patient would consider important. Shared decision making may help establish what matters most to each patient.

### Serious adverse events

One-dose administration of steroids is not likely to cause serious adverse events. Very low quality evidence exists for extremely rare but serious adverse effects following higher doses or longer courses of steroids (up to 30 days).

### Multiple doses

Risks may outweigh benefits when cumulative doses of steroids are given for multiple episodes of sore throat. To mitigate this issue, clinicians could administer the medication in office if possible, or prescribe only one dose per visit.
Box 1 | Linked articles in this BMJ Rapid Recommendations cluster

- Summary of the results from the Rapid Recommendation process
- Review of all available randomised trials that assessed corticosteroids as adjunct treatment versus standard care for sore throat.
- MAGICapp (www.magicapp.org/goto/guideline/1jXYAL/section/jj6qmv)
- Expanded version of the results with multilayered recommendations, evidence summaries, and decision aids for use on all devices

Most guidelines recommend paracetamol or ibuprofen as the first choice treatment. The use of corticosteroids is mentioned in few, and is generally discouraged (table 1). Antibiotics are probably not helpful for pain relief in an episode of acute sore throat caused by viruses, but may help those with a bacterial infection. Recommended management of sore throat varies widely, and table 1 summarises current guidelines.

The evidence

The linked systematic review reports the effects of corticosteroids when added to standard care in patients with acute sore throat. The evidence was applicable to them as well. Most of the studies focused in adults only (60%). The studies that focused on children (three studies, 2% of all the patients enrolled in the studies) did not include children younger than 5 years old, and thus the recommendation does not apply to younger ages.

Since the randomised controlled trials focused on patients who did not have recurrent episodes of sore throat, the panel was less confident of the applicability of the evidence to such patients, and the recommendation therefore does not apply to them. Similarly, the panel did not consider patients with sore throat after surgery or intubation, nor immunocompromised patients.

Table 1 | Current guidance for treatment of patients with sore throat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ibuprofen</th>
<th>Paracetamol</th>
<th>Antibiotics</th>
<th>Corticosteroids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EBM guidelines</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>Conditionally</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIGN</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>Conditionally</td>
<td>Not supportive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHG</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
<td>Conditionally</td>
<td>Not recommended</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC guidelines</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>Against</td>
<td>No comment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UpToDate</td>
<td>Against</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>No comment</td>
<td>Supportive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HOW THE RECOMMENDATION WAS CREATED

A large randomised controlled trial published in April 2017 found that corticosteroids increased the proportion of patients with complete resolution of symptoms at 48 hours. However, corticosteroids did not seem to decrease the duration of moderately bad symptoms, pain severity, healthcare attendance, days missed from school or work, or the consumption of delayed antibiotics. This study adds to the body of evidence that suggests that, although corticosteroids probably have benefits in patients with sore throat, these benefits may be modest. The Rapid Recommendations team felt that the study, when considered in context of the full body of evidence, might change practice.

Our international panel—including general practitioners, general internists, paediatricians, an otorhinolaryngologist, epidemiologists, methodologists, statisticians, and people with lived experience of sore throat—decided what was the scope of the recommendation and the outcomes that are most important to patients. After a parallel team conducted a systematic review on the benefits and harms of corticosteroids, and a systematic search for evidence about patients’ values and preferences (appendix 1 on bmj.com), the panel met to discuss the evidence and formulate a recommendation. No person had financial conflicts of interest; intellectual and professional conflicts were minimised and managed (appendix 2 on bmj.com).

The panel followed the BMJ Rapid Recommendations procedures for creating a trustworthy recommendation, including using the GRADE approach to critically appraise the evidence and create recommendations (appendix 3 on bmj.com). The panel considered the balance of benefits, harms, and burdens of the drug, the quality of the evidence for each outcome, typical and expected variations in patient values and preferences, and acceptability. Recommendations can be strong or weak, for or against a course of action.
RAPID RECOMMENDATIONS

• A single dose of corticosteroids is unlikely to cause serious adverse events
  – The randomised trials did not report any major event attributable to single dose corticosteroids (GRADE moderate quality evidence)
  – The panel also considered evidence from observational studies that used higher doses of steroids. A large retrospective US cohort study of private insurance claims assessed adverse events in 327,452 adults who received an outpatient prescription of corticosteroids.18 There was a small absolute increase in the rate of sepsis, venous thromboembolism, and fracture in the first 30 days (GRADE low quality evidence, due to suboptimal verification of diagnosis in large databases and confounding by indication19). The panel agreed that such events seemed unlikely with single dose steroids
  – Similarly, among paediatric populations, indirect evidence from a meta-analysis of 44 randomised trials did not report any major adverse events in patients with conditions requiring a short course of corticosteroids (such as asthma, bronchiolitis, croup, wheeze, and pharyngitis or tonsillitis)20

• There are no differences in the relative effects of corticosteroids (when compared with usual care) between primary care settings and emergency departments

• It is unlikely that new information will change interpretation for outcomes that are high to moderate quality of evidence.

No commercial reuse: See rights and reprints http://www.bmj.com/permissions

Fig 1 | Characteristics of patients and trials included in systematic review of effects of corticosteroids on acute sore throat

care in the emergency department as well as those who attend primary care. The systematic review contained adequate representation from such groups and settings, and results were consistent (that is, absence of credible subgroup effects), for example, between trials of children and adults, and those seen in emergency departments and in primary care offices.16

Absolute benefits and harms

Although the evidence indicates that the treatment works on average, it did not reduce the severity of pain dramatically and failed to improve several other patient-important outcomes.

The infographic explains the recommendation and provides an overview (GRADE summary of findings) of the absolute benefits and harms of corticosteroids. Estimates of baseline risk for effects come from the control arms of the trials.16 The infographic also leads to point-of-care formats in the MAGICapp, including consultation decision aids designed to support shared decision making with patients.17

Considering the evidence and its certainty, the panel was confident that:

- Corticosteroids increase the chance of complete resolution of pain at 24 and 48 hours, reduce the severity of pain, and shorten the time to onset of pain relief (GRADE high to moderate quality evidence)
- Corticosteroids are unlikely to reduce recurrence or relapse of symptoms or days missed from school or work (GRADE moderate quality evidence)
- A single dose of corticosteroids is unlikely to cause serious adverse events
  – The randomised trials did not report any major event attributable to single dose corticosteroids (GRADE moderate quality evidence)
  – The panel also considered evidence from observational studies that used higher doses of steroids. A large retrospective US cohort study of private insurance claims assessed adverse events in 327,452 adults who received an outpatient prescription of corticosteroids.18 There was a small absolute increase in the rate of sepsis, venous thromboembolism, and fracture in the first 30 days (GRADE low quality evidence, due to suboptimal verification of diagnosis in large databases and confounding by indication19). The panel agreed that such events seemed unlikely with single dose steroids
  – Similarly, among paediatric populations, indirect evidence from a meta-analysis of 44 randomised trials did not report any major adverse events in patients with conditions requiring a short course of corticosteroids (such as asthma, bronchiolitis, croup, wheeze, and pharyngitis or tonsillitis)20
- There are no differences in the relative effects of corticosteroids (when compared with usual care) between primary care settings and emergency departments
- It is unlikely that new information will change interpretation for outcomes that are high to moderate quality of evidence.
The panel was less confident about whether:

- Corticosteroids reduced antibiotic use, due to a lack of improvement or worsening of symptoms in patients not prescribed antibiotics immediately when consulting the physician (GRADE low quality evidence)
- Corticosteroids reduced the average time to complete resolution of pain (GRADE low quality evidence).

Values and preferences

The weak recommendation for corticosteroids reflects a high value on a modest reduction of symptom severity and the time that it takes to achieve such improvement, and a substantial and important increase in the chance of complete resolution of pain at 48 hours.

The panel, including the patient representatives, felt that the values and preferences are likely to vary greatly across patients, which justifies a weak recommendation. For example, achieving complete pain resolution 12 hours earlier may be of little importance for patients who feel less busy in their daily life, have higher tolerance to pain, or whose symptoms are not so severe; whereas it may be very important to patients whose ability to go to school or to perform at work are compromised, caregivers wishing to reduce their children’s pain, or patients experiencing their pain as severe.

The panel believes that there is great variability in how much reduction in pain severity or time to complete pain resolution each patient would consider important. However, the greater the reduction in hours to achieve complete resolution of pain, the more likely it is that typical patients would place high value on those outcomes. Patients who place a high value in reducing the symptoms by any amount (such as patients with lower tolerance to pain or with severe symptoms) are more likely to accept receiving corticosteroids.

The weak recommendation for corticosteroids also reflects the concerns that the panel had with acceptability. Specifically, how acceptable is it to treat a condition that is usually not severe and is self-limiting with a drug that many patients, practitioners, and other stakeholders know is almost always used for more severe diseases.

The systematic search for empirical data on patients’ values and preferences related to sore throat identified 41/49 references that were screened at the title and abstract level. From these, we screened 99 full text articles, from which only two provided relevant information on patients’ values and preferences (see appendix 1 on bmj.com). Neither of the studies provided additional data that had not been raised by the panel members: the panel had identified appropriate patient-important outcomes and considered the variability in patient values and preferences regarding sore throat management.
RAPID RECOMMENDATIONS

Table 2 | New evidence which has emerged after initial publication

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>New evidence</th>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Implications for recommendation(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are currently no updates to the article

Practical issues and other considerations

Figure 2 outlines the key practical issues for patients and clinicians discussing adjunct steroids for sore throat, which are also accessible along with the evidence as decision aids to support shared decision-making in MAGI-Capp. Steroids are typically given as 10 mg dexamethasone (or adapted to weight for children: 0.6 mg/kg, up to a maximum dose of 10 mg), typically taken as pill or intramuscular injection.

The risks may outweigh the benefits when larger cumulative doses of corticosteroids are given to patients who experience multiple episodes of sore throat, either through multiple visits or for patients who self medicate if prescribed more than one pill for their previous episode. To mitigate this issue, clinicians should administer the medication in office if possible or prescribe only one dose per visit.

Costs and resources

The panel focused on the patient perspective rather than that of society when formulating the recommendation. Given the low cost of corticosteroids for treating sore throat, implementation of this recommendation is unlikely to have an important impact on the costs for health funders. The treatment is inexpensive and likely to be offered in the context of a consultation that would have taken place anyway. Nevertheless, it remains uncertain whether it may increase the proportion of patients visiting a doctor to get a prescription of corticosteroids.

Uncertainties for future research

Key research questions to inform decision makers and future guidelines include:

- Are there any severe adverse effects of using one dose of steroids for treating sore throat?
- What are the effects of corticosteroids, in addition to standard care, in patients with recurrent episodes of acute sore throat?

Updates to this article

Table 2 shows evidence which has emerged since the publication of this article. As new evidence is published, a group will assess the new evidence and make a judgement on to what extent it is expected to alter the recommendation.
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