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Abstract

Iatrogenic Cushing Syndrome (ICS) has been reported after co-administration of injected triamcinolone and ritonavir. Clinical evolution is however poorly described and recommendations on how to manage this drug-drug interaction are lacking. Methods: We performed a systematic review of all reported cases of ICS exploring Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane library, and articles references. Time to Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis recovery for patients with or without ritonavir interruption, was compared in a Cox model adjusted for confounding factors.
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Abstract

Background: Iatrogenic Cushing Syndrome (ICS) has been reported after co-administration of injected-triamcinolone and ritonavir. Clinical evolution is however poorly described and recommendations on how to manage this drug-drug interaction are lacking.

Methods: We performed a systematic review of all reported cases of ICS exploring Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane library, and articles references. Time to Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis recovery for patients with or without ritonavir interruption, was compared in a Cox model adjusted for confounding factors.

Results: Twenty-four cases of injected triamcinolone induced ICS have been reported. 11/24 cases were related to an epidural injection, 7/24 to an intra-articular, 3/24 to an intramuscular and 3/24 to other injection sites. Symptoms started within 2 weeks (IQR: 0.8-2.3) after steroids injection and needed 11 weeks (IQR: 8-21) to resolve. HPA axis suppression lasted beyond clinical recovery, for a median of 23 (IQR: 12-28) weeks after triamcinolone injection. In a multivariate Cox model, time to HPA axis recovery was shortened when ritonavir was withheld (HR of 18.6 (CI 95% 2.4-145.1), p<0.01) and was prolonged for higher dose of injected-triamcinolone (HR 0.9 (CI 95% 0.9-1), p=0.03) and dose of ritonavir superior to100mg (HR 0.2 (CI 95% 0.04-0.9, p=0.04). Nineteen out of 24 cases (79%) encountered a medical complication related to steroids excess or HPA axis suppression. Although 42% of cases were offered steroids replacement, only 4/24 experienced symptomatic adrenal insufficiency.

Conclusion: ICS is associated with frequent complications. HPA axis recovery depends on steroids and ritonavir doses, and is accelerated when ritonavir is discontinued. HPA axis replacement therapy is rarely necessary.
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Background

HIV-infected patients have long been known to have musculoskeletal pain; arthralgia and back pain being the most frequent sources [1]. With an increased life expectancy, many HIV-positive patients are now living long enough to suffer from degenerative musculoskeletal conditions, which might conduct to corticosteroids infiltrations.

Ritonavir is an irreversible inhibitor of the cytochrome P450 3A enzyme (CYP3A) and is mostly used as a booster for other antiretroviral drugs, raising their plasma concentrations, improving intervals and drug dosage. As most corticosteroids are metabolized by CYP3A, a pharmacokinetic interaction is expected between ritonavir and corticosteroids. A recent retrospective cohort study showed that following corticosteroids injection, hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis dysfunction occurred in 11% of HIV-infected patients on protease inhibitors (82% boosted with ritonavir) [2].

Knowledge on Iatrogenic Cushing’s Syndrome (ICS) associated with the pharmacokinetic interaction between ritonavir and injected-triamcinolone is based on individual case reports. Clinical picture and management differs somewhat between cases. We aimed to summarize clinical presentation, complication and provide management considerations on this interaction.

Method

We conducted a systematic review on case reports/series of ICS associated drug-drug interaction between ritonavir and injected-triamcinolone, irrespective of language, exploring Pubmed, Embase, Cochrane library and articles references up to 30 Jun 2013. The first two authors (GJ and DO) performed the articles search and data extraction using different combination of keywords (triamcinolone, steroids, Cushing, adrenal insufficiency, iatrogenic, ritonavir, joints, articular, muscular). A third author (MH or CS) resolved disagreements. We excluded articles with no individual patient description. We extracted clinical relevant information at presentation, complications due to steroids excess or adrenal insufficiency, time from injection of triamcinolone to first symptom and clinical or hypothalamic-pituitary-
adrenal (HPA) axis recovery (when measured). Symptoms duration was estimated from the first symptom onset to clinical recovery. We resumed distribution of variables with median and interquartile range 25-75% (IQR). We compared groups through Kruskal-Wallis rank test for continuous variable and Fisher exact test for proportion. Time-event curves were calculated with the Kaplan–Meier method and group compared through log-rank test. Time to HPA axis recovery for those who discontinued ritonavir or not were compared in a multivariate Cox model adjusted for daily ritonavir (100 mg or more) and triamcinolone doses (continuous). Ritonavir withdrawal was considered as a time dependent variable to take into account inter-cases variation on time of discontinuation considered from the day of last steroid injection. Information on cases with missing value on time to HPA axis recovery was censored from time to clinical recovery. Proportional hazards assumption was verified using Schoenfeld test. This analysis was also performed for time to first symptom onset and symptom duration.

Results

Twenty-four individual cases had a clinical description of the disease course and a confirmed exposition of both ritonavir and injected-triamcinolone (Figure 1 and Table 1). Median age was 47 years (IQR: 40-52.5) and 14/24 (58%) were women. 11/24 cases (45%) were related to an epidural injection, 7/24 (29%) to an intra-articular, 3/24 (12.5%) to an intramuscular and 3/24 to other injection sites. Median triamcinolone dose was 80 mg (IQR: 50-130) and 7/18 received ritonavir at a dose above 100 mg. Ritonavir was stopped in 10/24 patients with a delay of 6 weeks (IQR: 4-8) after the last triamcinolone injection (Appendix Table 1).

Facial swelling (71%) and weight gain (67%) were the most commonly reported symptoms, followed by anxiety (46%), fatigue (37.5%), weakness (25%) and rash (21%). Symptoms appeared within 2 weeks (IQR: 0.8-2.3) after last injection and lasted for a median of 11 weeks (IQR: 8-21) (Appendix Table 2). HPA axis suppression lasted beyond clinical recovery, with a median of 23 weeks (IQR: 12-28) after triamcinolone injection. Injection location, age, and CD4 number had no influence on presentation and evolution of ICS. Female patients had an earlier onset of symptoms: one week (IQR: 0.5-2) compared to two weeks (IQR: 2-3, p=0.02) for male patients, but clinical evolution and HPA axis recovery were not statistically different. Time to axis recovery tend to be longer for patient taking 200 mg or 300 mg of ritonavir daily compared to 100 mg of ritonavir (24 weeks (IQR: 22-28) vs 12.5 weeks (IQR: 9-22); p 0.08) (Appendix Table 2 and Appendix Figure 1).

The 10/24 patients for whom ritonavir was withheld had received higher dose of triamcinolone and tended to have experienced a longer duration of symptoms (Appendix Table 2). The time to HPA axis recovery appeared earlier for those patients for whom ritonavir was withheld, with a median time of 22 weeks (IQR: 9-28) as compared to 24 weeks (IQR: 13-32), (Appendix Table 1 and Figure 2). In a multivariate Cox model, withdrawal of ritonavir (HR of 18.6 (CI95% 2.4-145.1), p<0.01), dose of injected triamcinolone (HR of 0.9 (CI95% 0.9-1), p=0.03), and use of more than 100mg of ritonavir (HR of 0.2 (CI95% 0.04-0.9), p=0.04), were all associated with time to HPA axis recovery (Table 2). Schoenfeld test showed constant proportional hazard (p=0.47). There were no statistical association in the multivariate Cox model for time to symptom onset and duration of symptoms.

Although 10/24 patients were offered steroids replacement, 4/24 only experienced symptomatic adrenal crisis. Seventeen cases
encountered at least one complication attributed to ICS: 7 had absolute CD4-count decrease, 6 infections (oral thrush), 5 hyperglycaemias/diabetes (one admitted to the ICU), 4 symptomatic adrenal insufficiency, 2 osteonecrosis and 2 thrombosis.

**Discussion**

This systematic review shows that HPA axis recovery may depend on steroids and ritonavir doses, and is accelerated when ritonavir is discontinued. Besides, in the 24 cases reviewed, many complications appeared due to ICS. Forty-two percents of HIV-infected patients in Switzerland are currently receiving ritonavir-containing antiretroviral regimens (personal communication, Dr Martin Rickenbach, www.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Injections characteristics</th>
<th>AIDS - Yr</th>
<th>Time to (weeks)</th>
<th>ICS complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ramanathan 2008 [12]</td>
<td>35, m</td>
<td>RTV (mg) S</td>
<td>Epidural (2x 60 mg, 80 mg)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>CD4 decrease, Oral thrush, AVN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yombi 2008 [22]</td>
<td>54, f</td>
<td>IA knee (40 mg)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Symptomatic HPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>56, m</td>
<td>Epidural (40 mg)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Symptomatic HPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>49, f</td>
<td>IA shoulder (40 mg)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Symptomatic HPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dananer 2009 [23]</td>
<td>44, m</td>
<td>Epidural (80 mg)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Diabetes (ICU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dort 2009 [24]</td>
<td>41, m</td>
<td>Epidural (2x 80 mg)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>CD4 decrease, AVN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>42, f</td>
<td>Subacromial space (40 mg)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>CD4 decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herold 2010 [25]</td>
<td>27, f</td>
<td>Epidural (2x 80 mg)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Oral thrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>62, m</td>
<td>Epidural (80 mg)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>CD4 decrease, AVN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine 2010 [9]</td>
<td>41, f</td>
<td>Intramuscular (60 mg)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul 2011 [26]</td>
<td>49, m</td>
<td>IA sacroiliac (3×40 mg)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albert 2012 [27]</td>
<td>58, w</td>
<td>Epidural (•)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>CD4 decrease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conway 2012 [28]</td>
<td>50, f</td>
<td>IA shoulders (2x), troch. Bursae (•)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Oral thrush, symptomatic HPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>58, f</td>
<td>IA knee (•)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fessler 2012 [6]</td>
<td>41, m</td>
<td>Epidural (80 mg)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Oral thrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>47, f</td>
<td>Epidural (2x 80 mg)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Oral thrush</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grieron 2012 [5]</td>
<td>47, f</td>
<td>Epidural (3x 80 mg)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Diabetes, hyperlipemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McManus 2012 [29]</td>
<td>60, f</td>
<td>Intramuscular (•)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John 2013 [21]</td>
<td>51, m</td>
<td>Intramuscular (2×40 mg)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maviki 2013 [3]</td>
<td>39, f</td>
<td>Epidural (2×40 mg)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>47, m</td>
<td>Subacromial bursae (80 mg)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McConkey 2013 [30]</td>
<td>39, f</td>
<td>Orbital floor (2×40 mg)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eeftinck Schattenkerk 2013 [31]</td>
<td>32, m</td>
<td>IA acromioclavicular (3×10 mg)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Song 2013 [32]</td>
<td>34, f</td>
<td>Epidural (2×60 mg)</td>
<td>•</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Characteristics of patients with Iatrogenic Cushing Syndrome (ICS) due to drug-drug interaction between injected-triamcinolone and ritonavir.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms onset*</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>Symptoms duration†</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>HPA axis recovery*</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir discontinued, HR (CI 95%)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.3-5.2)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.2 (0.01-6.0)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>18.6 (2.4-145.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of more than 100mg of Ritonavir, HR (CI 95%)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.2-1.7)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>4.2 (0.3-52.5)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2 (0.04-0.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triamcinolone dose (continue, mg), HR (CI 95%)</td>
<td>1.3 (0.3-5.2)</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.9 (0.9-1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9 (0.9-1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discontinuation of ritonavir is considered as a time dependant variable (time between triamcinolone injection and the discontinuation of ritonavir vary for all cases). *Expressed in weeks, estimated from last injection day of triamcinolone; † expressed in weeks, estimated from first symptom onset. CI 95%: 95% confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio

Table 2: Multivariate Cox models for symptom onset, symptoms duration and HPA axis recovery.
Steroids injections are often administered by specialists who are not necessarily aware of HIV-infection, use of antiretroviral, or possible drug-drug interactions [3]. Moreover, non-oral steroids exposure is rarely reported by patients, and even rarely written in medical charts. Thus, we believe that ritonavir-induced ICS is probably under-reported. This stresses the need to improve physician’s knowledge on this potentially deleterious interaction.

ICS should be differentiated from lipodystrophy, as they share some similar features of fat redistribution (most characteristically: buffalo hump and visceral fat accumulation) [4,5]. However, lipodystrophy syndrome is not associated with other characteristic features of ICS, such as cutaneous changes or neuropsychological conditions; it is a slow, chronic change of fat distribution, generally evolving for many years. Lipodystrophy is associated with normal diurnal variation in cortisol level, normal dexamethasone suppression test, and normal ACTH stimulating test compared to ICS.

Triamcinolone glucocorticoid’s relative potency is 5 times higher than hydrocortisone, and similar to methylprednisolone. Triamcinolone acetonide, more frequently used than triamcinolone hexacetonide, is less water-soluble and more rapidly absorbed, and reaches higher peak plasma levels, which are directly proportional to HPA axis suppression [6]. A significant part of the molecule injected locally (intra-articular or epidural) is absorbed in peripheral blood up to 2–3 weeks after the injection, even without co-administration of ritonavir [7,8]. Absorption from intramuscular route is more difficult to predict, depending on location and fat/muscle ratio [9]. Once in the blood, triamcinolone is rapidly metabolised and has a approximate half-life of 2-3 hours [10,11], and a biological half-life of 12-36 hours. Ramanathan et al. estimated that elimination half-life of epidural triamcinolone was 170-fold prolonged when co-administered with ritonavir [12].

Ritonavir is one of the most potent CYP inhibitor currently available and is nearly exclusively used as a booster drug. It is an irreversible inhibitor and its effect will last until new enzymes are synthesized (up to 7 days) [13]. A wide range of ritonavir doses have been used to boost protease inhibitors. A dose-dependent boosting effect has been demonstrated for some antiproteases (lopinavir or indinavir), while a ceiling effect at 100 mg of ritonavir per day is observed for other drugs, like midazolam, a prototypical CYP3A substrate [14,15]. Ritonavir is responsible for steroids accumulation through inhibition of CYP3A, the major metabolic route of elimination of most corticosteroids. However, ritonavir may also inhibit endogenous steroid synthesis. A retrospective study demonstrated that newborns treated with lopinavir-ritonavir for 30 days had 17-hydroxyprogesterone and DHEA elevations suggesting adrenal dysfunction [16]. Cobicistat is an equally potent CYP3A inhibitor in use since 2013 as a new pharma-enhancer of antiretroviral drugs. It will be important to provide education on drug-drug interactions also with cobicistat-containing antiretroviral regimens [17].

In the light of this review we can make the following recommendation concerning preventive measures: It is important to assess the real need for steroid infiltration, since some indications lack evidence. When corticosteroid’s infiltration is decided, the smallest effective dose should be chosen. Virtually all steroids are metabolized through the CYP3A, but no study has been conducted to demonstrate that bethamethasone is a substrate of CYP3A in vivo, and there is no documented interaction between this molecule and classical CYP3A inhibitors. However, evidence is lacking to clearly recommend a specific “safer” injected steroid. A multi disciplinary team, comprising an infectious/AIDS specialist could help to choose the best strategy before the infiltration (e.g: change for non ritonavir-based HAART at least one week before).

Management of ICS should consider avoiding new exposition of steroids, alternative for ritonavir treatment, and HPA axis management. The first measure is limited by the fact that the injected-triamcinolone will remain for weeks after the injection (under ritonavir) and some patients may have no alternative to topical steroids. The second measure - change for non ritonavir-based HAART like a non boosted PI or other anti-HIV drug class (NNRTI or integrase inhibitor) - can be challenging in patients harbouring documented drug resistances, and must be discussed with an infectious disease specialist. When ritonavir has to be maintained, an alternative could be to give another boosted-HAART with smaller dose of ritonavir. We suggest an evaluation of potential other drug-drug interactions using validated tools (e.g. www.hivdruginteraction.org) before any treatment modifications, since several other antiretroviral drugs and treatment such as antifungals azole derivatives are well-known CYP3A inhibitors [18]. The third measure to consider is HPA axis substitution. ICS is characterized by an apparent excess of steroid associated with paradoxal endogenous HPA axis suppression, which may give two sets of symptoms: steroid excess (even after a single dose of 40 mg of triamcinolone), followed by insufficiency when steroids gradually wear off. However, mineralocorticoids insufficiency, which is responsible for most symptoms of adrenal insufficiency, is rare in central adrenal suppression, since aldosterone secretion is not ACTH-dependant. Substitution should be considered on an individual case basis, with cautious clinical follow-up, since there is a significant inter-individual variability in the suppression and the recovery of the HPA axis [19]. Indeed, in most cases of triamcinolone-induced ICS reported (58%), in the absence of severe symptoms, physiological replacement with hydrocortisone was not necessary [20]. Patients must always be advised about steroid withdrawal symptoms (i.e:weakness, weight loss, fatigue, dizziness, postural hypotension and acute adrenal crisis), especially during profound stress like serious infection or operation. When considering ritonavir withdrawal, one must be aware of a rapid metabolism of steroids, and risk of symptomatic adrenal insufficiency.

Some limitations of this review must be pointed out. First, broad range of injected triamcinolone doses or locations, concomitant use of other steroids or drugs with CYP3A inhibitory effects, and a wide heterogeneity in patients monitoring precluded a precise description of clinical evolution. Although the onset of the symptoms and the biological axis suppression were mostly well described, cortisol blood fraction follow-up, and clinical recovery were not systematically mentioned. Thus many “time to recovery” (clinical or HPA axis) could only be estimated from reports. Second, complications considered were those reported, which could overlook many others unmeasured or not stated. Third, decision to stop ritonavir was not random, but based on severity of clinical presentation (e.g: persistent symptoms) and HIV resistance profile. Therefore, the comparison between patients for whom ritonavir was continued or discontinued has several confounding factors. Furthermore the small number of cases reported limits the results. Association between axis recovery and dose of ritonavir deserves to be confirmed by other studies.

Conclusion
The presence of lipodystrophy may delay the diagnosis of ICS in HIV-infected patients. Injection of triamcinolone is not trivial and should be documented in the medical file. Complications of ICS are frequent and deserve careful considerations. We recommend stopping the offending corticosteroid and/or ritonavir by using an antiretroviral drug that does not need to be boosted and with no CYP3A inhibitory effect [21]. HPA axis substitution, although unnecessary for the vast majority of patients, must be tailored in an individual fashion. All patients must be informed on adrenal crisis symptoms and treated when presenting a symptomatic adrenal insufficiency, until normalization of the HPA axis.
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