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A review of functional surgery for dystonia is presented. Recently renewed interest in stereotaxy for dystonia has followed the resurgence of pallidotomy and the introduction of deep brain stimulation (DBS) in Parkinson’s disease (PD) in the early 1990s. However, even since the 1950s, small series of patients treated with ablative surgery have been carefully studied, providing useful information, notably regarding the tolerability of surgery. In the setting of dystonia, thalamotomy was first performed with substantial benefits, but some authors outlined the great variability in outcome, and the high incidence of operative side-effects. In the ‘modern’ era of functional surgery for movement disorders, the globus pallidus internus (GPi) has emerged to be currently the best target for dystonia, based on small series of patients published in the last few years. Both bilateral posteroventral pallidotomy (PVP) and bilateral pallidal stimulation, performed by several teams, have benefited a variety of patients with severe dystonia, the most dramatic improvements being seen in primary dystonia with a mutation in the DYT1 gene. Whereas patients with secondary dystonia have often shown a lesser degree of improvement, some publications have nevertheless reported major benefit. There is today a strong need for carefully controlled studies comparing secondary and primary dystonia, DYT1 and non-DYT1 dystonia, ablative surgery and DBS, with additional assessment of neuropsychological changes, especially in children treated with bilateral pallidal procedures.

Introduction

Dystonia is a clinical syndrome characterized by sustained muscle contractions, frequently causing twisting and repetitive movements or abnormal postures (Fahn, 1988). In some subjects dystonia may progress to a life-threatening stage or constitute a major fixed handicap. Whilst the established efficacy of botulinum toxin is limited to focal manifestations, and despite the notable exception of dopa-responsive dystonia, pharmacological treatment is mostly unsatisfactory in generalized dystonia or hemidystonia. In this context, efforts have been made since the early 1950s to provide alternative solutions, including peripheral denervation surgery, intrathecal baclofen pumps and functional stereotactic surgery. The present review will focus on stereotaxy for severe dystonia.

Today, the definition of dystonia includes athetosis, and generalized dystonia in the setting of perinatal cerebral hypoxia used to be called double athetosis. Etiologic classification of dystonia is also changing with the progress in classification of genetic dystonias (Fahn et al., 1998). The present review deals with primary dystonias (including those with a known genetic defect), and secondary dystonias.

Classically, the main target for dystonia was the thalamus (Cooper, 1976). Presently, there is a trend in favour of pallidotomy, which is related to the renaissance of posteroventral pallidotomy (PVP) for Parkinson’s disease (PD), its spectacular effects on levodopa-induced dyskinesias, including off dystonia (Lozano et al., 1995; Jankovic et al., 1999), and some reports of spectacular improvements of generalized dystonia related to pallidotomy (Iacono et al., 1996; Lozano et al., 1997). In parallel, successful development of deep brain stimulation (DBS) in the setting of movement disorders (Benabid et al., 1996; Limousin et al., 1998), including various type of dystonia related to PD (Krack et al., 1999), represent an alternative to ablative surgery. Recent reports on bilateral pallidal stimulation in primary generalized dystonia are highly encouraging (Coubes et al., 1999, 2000c).
Lesions

Thalamotomy for dystonia

Brain surgery for dystonia followed the development of surgery for PD and the observation of improvement of dystonic symptoms in parkinsonian subjects. In the setting of thalamotomy, the lesions required to improve dystonia often had to be larger than those that led to suppression of PD tremor. Apart from the ventrolateral thalamus (VoA, VoP, Vim), the subthalamic region and the centromedian nucleus, the sensory ventrolateral thalamus and the pulvinar were also targeted (Hassler and Riechert, 1954; Hassler and Dieckmann, 1970; Mundinger et al., 1970; Krayenbühl and Siegfried, 1972; Andrew et al., 1974; Gros et al., 1976; Andrew et al., 1983; Tasker et al., 1988; Cardoso et al., 1995; Tasker, 1998a,b). Cooper had the largest experience. Between 1955 and 1974, he performed thalamotomies in 226 patients with generalized dystonia. Many patients were operated more than once, with up to seven operations and up to 13 lesions in single patients. Because of the risk of speech disturbance, he did not carry out simultaneous bilateral operations. Without any doubt, Cooper obtained very spectacular long-term results in some of his patients with severe generalized dystonia, as evidenced by his published photographs (Cooper, 1976). The vast majority of the patients he had operated for generalized dystonia were available for long-term follow up. Amongst these, after an average of 8 years after surgery, 25% had good and 45% moderate improvement, despite the frequently progressive nature of this disease. The initial target was the VL and the centromedian nucleus. Post-operative improvement was progressive for up to 6 months. If a further operation was required, Cooper tried to destroy all the pallidal and cerebellar afferents to the thalamus. If the patient was still disabled, he added a pulvinotomy. Operative mortality was 0.7% but increased to 2% after repeated interventions. Patients were mostly young. The outcome was best in patients with hereditary torsion dystonia. Cooper attributed this to the fact that in this group of patients, appendicular dystonia was more prominent, because in his experience axial dystonia was more resistant to thalamic surgery. Despite good results in single cases with cervical dystonia, Cooper did not regard focal cervical dystonia as an indication, as bilateral surgery was necessary, with mortality risk of 2%, an incidence of speech disturbance of 15%, and a failure of this therapy in one-third of the patients (Cooper, 1976). On the other hand, Tasker found a 25–50% improvement in 25% of his patients, a more than 50% improvement in another 25%, and severe complications in 20% (Tasker et al., 1988). In his opinion, the global success was moderate. Bertrand pointed out that the corticobulbar tract within the internal capsule is much closer to the thalamic target than the corticospinal tract. Because of the high incidence of speech disturbance he only performed unilateral thalamotomies (Bertrand et al., 1979). According to Ohye, dysarthria is related to a disturbance in proprioception. Therefore, his advice is to avoid lesioning those cells which, on microrecording, show kinesthetic responses from stimulation of the lips, tongue or pharynx (Ohye, 1998). Andrew also observed a higher incidence of speech disturbance than Cooper (Andrew et al., 1983). To him hemidystonia after a brain lesion was a better indication than generalized primary dystonia, as hemidystonia is not usually progressive, and the risk of dysarthria is lower with unilateral surgery (Andrew et al., 1983). In another case series, patients with secondary dystonia showed greater improvement after thalamotomy than patients with primary dystonia (Cardoso et al., 1995). The authors destroyed unilaterally (in all but one patient) the anterior portion of the ventrolateral nucleus for patients with dystonia, whilst they targeted the nucleus ventrointermedius of the thalamus (Vim) in hemiballismus with good results. Based on a retrospective analysis of the long-term outcome, Speelman and van Manen considered moderate infantile cerebral palsy with hyperkinesia, especially when lateralized, as a good indication for unilateral thalamotomy (and pallidotomy). However, in case of severe bilateral symptoms or in diplegia, they did not recommend surgery (Speelman and Van Manen, 1989). Thalamotomy can also be effective in tardive dystonia (Hillier et al., 1999), or dopa-responsive dystonia (Batshaw and Haslam, 1976) although others have not found improvement (De la Fuente-Fernandez, 1998).

In summary, whilst comparing findings from different reports is difficult because of the difference in the nuclei targeted, the surgical procedure, selection of patients and rating scales, thalamotomy can certainly induce spectacular improvement in generalized dystonia (Cooper, 1976). However, some authors believe that the number and severity of complications, notably of speech disturbance, is lower after pallidotomy, than after thalamotomy (Bertrand et al., 1979; Hariz and DeSalles, 1997; Hariz, 2000a). Moreover, there are no comparative studies, the number of patients operated with modern technology is very low and the follow up very short, so the place of thalamotomy in the setting of functional surgery for dystonia remains uncertain.
Pallidotomy

The lessons from pallidotomy for dyskinesia in PD

Successful surgery for PD started with Meyers' 'experimental' open surgery of the basal ganglia. Lesions of the internal pallidum were found to potentially improve parkinsonism (Meyers, 1940, 1942a, 1942b, 1958). However, the morbidity of Meyers' open surgery was high, and therefore only very few neurosurgeons dared to operate on the basal ganglia (Fenelon, 1950; Guitot and Brion, 1952). After the introduction of stereotaxy to operate on the basal ganglia (Fe´nelon, 1950; Guiot, 1955; Bertrand, 1958; Svennilson et al., 1958). This 'early' globus pallidus internus (GPi) pallidotomy survived for only a short time. Although pallidotomy was clearly shown to improve akinesia (Orthner & Roeder, 1959; Svennilson et al., 1960), most neurosurgeons abandoned their pallidotomies in the 1960s and moved to VL and later to Vim thalamotomy because of a more reproducible antitremor effect (for review see Laitinen, 2000). Subsequently, the introduction of levodopa treatment in PD led to the almost complete disappearance of functional surgery for parkinsonism. However, the considerable amount of motor complications related to dopaminergic therapy, including on-period dyskinesia and off-period dystonia, induced a resurgence of functional surgery, stimulated by the development of techniques allowing more accurate targeting. Following 'modern' PVP, it has been observed that, in on-drug condition, dyskinesias are improved by 77% contralaterally, and by 43% ipsilaterally (Lang et al., 1997). Off dystonia is also improved. These results have been confirmed in a randomized, single-blind, multicenter trial (De Bie et al., 1999). However, only a few studies have a follow up of more than 1 year (Laitinen et al., 1992; Fazzini et al., 1997; Lang et al., 1997; Kondziolka et al., 1999; Samii et al., 1999; Baron et al., 2000; Fine et al., 2000). Improvements of ipsilateral dyskinesias and of axial symptoms were lost after 2 years, whereas the dramatic reduction in contralateral dyskinesias persisted (Lang et al., 1997; Baron et al., 2000; Fine et al., 2000; Hariz, 2000b).

Side-effects of unilateral pallidotomy

The most serious complications of pallidotomy are intracerebral hematomas. In a meta-analysis of 19 papers from 1992 to 1998, the incidence ranged from 0 to 15% with a mean of approximately 2% (11 of 554 patients). The overall incidence of death from pallidotomy was 0.3% (Hallett et al., 1999). Other serious reported complications including worsening of cognitive function, most commonly decreased verbal fluency and working memory (Trépanier et al., 1998; Junqué et al., 1999). Generally the functional consequences of cognitive problems after unilateral PVP are considered to be minimal, provided there had been no cognitive deterioration prior to the surgery (Baron et al., 1996; Hariz and DeSalles, 1997; Soukup et al., 1997; Masterman et al., 1998; Perrine et al., 1998). Delayed infarctions are rare, but belong to the serious complications of PVP (Lim et al., 1997; Samuel et al., 1998). Hemiparesis and/or pseudobulbar paresis may occur, if the lesion encroaches on the internal capsule (Baron et al., 1996; Hariz and DeSalles, 1997; Lang et al., 1997; Giller et al., 1998). One study was devoted to the side-effects and complications of 152 pallidotomies in 138 consecutive patients. Transient side-effects occurred after 18%, and permanent complications after 9% of the procedures. There was no mortality (Hariz and DeSalles, 1997).

Side-effects of bilateral pallidotomy

In contrast to the pre-levodopa era, bilateral pallidotomies are rarely performed today (Iacono et al., 1995; Laitinen, 1995; Hariz and DeSalles, 1997; Schuurman et al., 1997; Scott et al., 1998; Ghika et al., 1999). Bilateral lesions of the pallido–thalamo–cortical circuit in the pallidum (Hartmann von Monakow, 1959; Svennilson et al., 1960; Dubois et al., 1995; Ghika et al., 1999) can lead to a severe frontal dysexecutive syndrome. Therefore, the exact size and site of the lesion are of major importance. This was first pointed out by Orthner and Roeder, who, in a series of bilateral posterior pallidotomies in 18 patients with a mean follow up of 3 years, found no severe neuropsychologic problems, which they attributed to the sparing of the oral region of the pallidum (Orthner et al., 1962). Laitinen also recommended sparing the anterodorsal pallidum in order to avoid cognitive problems, and he warned against too medial lesions in order to avoid capsular effects and visual field deficits. Therefore, he called his approach ventroposterolateral pallidotomy, which he considered as relatively safe, even when performed bilaterally (Laitinen, 1995, 1998, 2000). Recently Lombardi et al. found a relationship between lesion location and cognitive outcome. Anteromedial lesions led to post-surgical impairment, whereas posterolateral lesions did not, or even improved the performance in some neuropsychological tests (Lombardi et al., 2000). Speech problems are also a matter of concern after bilateral pallidotomy, but the incidence and severity of pseudobulbar syndromes after pallidotomy is estimated to be much lower than after bilateral thalamotomy (Hariz and DeSalles, 1997). Based on its
high morbidity in the recent literature, bilateral pallidotomy was not recommended by a task force on surgery for PD (Hallett et al., 1999). However, the reports that have been reviewed represent the initial experience of several groups with variable experience in functional neurosurgery, some of the lesions obviously being too large or misplaced in the anterodorsal pallidum. On the other hand Laitinen, from 1985 to 1996 carried out pallidotomies, without microrecording, in 470 patients. Over 60 of them had staged bilateral pallidotomies. There was neither mortality nor any severe morbidity in his series. Based on the experience of a few experienced authors have suggested that the more the pre-operative brain images look normal, the better should be the outcome after pallidal surgery (Khan et al., 2000). However, even in DYT1 patients, a risk for recurrence of dystonia in the months or years following ablative surgery, may not be low (Nomura et al., 2000).

Little is known about neuropsychological outcome, but bilateral pallidotomies appeared to be well tolerated in children, even with large lesions.

**Pallidotomy for dystonia**

In generalized dystonia, compared with thalamotomy, only a few small series of pallidotomies were published during the first era of functional surgery (Guiot and Brion, 1952; Hassler et al., 1960; Cooper, 1969; Burzaco, 1985). Pallidotomies were also rarely performed in cervical dystonia (Hassler and Riechert, 1954; Mundinger et al., 1972; Bertrand et al., 1978). Spiegel et al. reported successful pallidotomy in posthemiplegic athetosis and in double athetosis when operating contralaterally to the most severely affected side (Spiegel et al., 1958). Altogether, judgement of surgery for hyperkinesia in infantile cerebral palsy was reserved, because of the higher risks of side-effects in brains with a prior lesion (Speelman and van Manen, 1989).

In recent years, with the renaissance of PVP for PD, pallidotomy was also rediscovered in the treatment of generalized dystonia (Iacono et al., 1995; Lozano et al., 1997; Shima et al., 1997; Lenz et al., 1998; Lin et al., 1998, 1999a, 1999b; Ondo et al., 1998; Vitek et al., 1998, 1999). For different reasons (dystonic postures incompatible with the stereotactic frame, reduced ability of children to cooperate during surgery, questionable intraoperative effects of stimulation on dystonia), surgery was partly performed under general anesthesia (Lozano et al., 1997; Ondo et al., 1998). When using propofol anesthesia, microrecording could be used (Lozano et al., 1997), whilst macrostimulation allowed an assessment of surrounding structures (mainly internal capsular side-effects). In contrast to PD, post-operative improvements were slowly progressive in the course of the first post-operative months (Iacono et al., 1996; Lozano et al., 1997; Ondo et al., 1998). Improvements in paroxysmal (Bhatia et al., 1998) or tardive dystonia (Weetman et al., 1997; Vitek et al., 1999) with PVP were also reported. Single spectacular results of PVP were mainly observed in primary dystonia (Iacono et al., 1996; Lozano et al., 1997). However, in secondary dystonia the results are not always positive (Lin et al., 1999a, 1999b; Khan et al., 2000). Significant improvement has been reported for dystonia in the setting of progressive neurodegeneration such as Hallervorden–Spatz (Justesen et al., 1999) or Huntington’s disease (Cubo et al., 1999), but in one detailed case report, this improvement appeared to be modest (Cubo et al., 2000). In contrast, two patients with corticobasal degeneration were not improved after pallidotomy (Junn et al., 1998). Overall, DBS for dystonia

The introduction of DBS of the ventral Vim for the treatment of drug-resistant tremors (Benabid et al., 1987, 1991; Limousin et al., 1999), followed by the application of the same procedure to the subthalamic nucleus (STN) (Pollak et al., 1993; Limousin et al., 1995, 1998) and the Gpi (Siegfried and Lippitz, 1994), with even greater improvements of motor fluctuations and dyskinesia, including dystonia (Krack et al., 1999), suggests that DBS could constitute a first-line treatment for dystonia, as it is reversible and modifiable (Pollak, 1999).

**Thalamic DBS**

In 1977, Mundinger reported good short-term (up to 8 months) results of unilateral intermittent (30 min, several times per day), low-frequency (2–12 Hz) thalamic (Voa, Voi and subthalamic area) DBS in seven patients with cervical dystonia (Mundinger, 1977). However, for unknown reasons, the method was abandoned and no long-term results were reported. Andy also found intermittent low frequency thalamic stimulation to be useful in two patients with torticollis (Andy, 1983) whilst another report mentioned reduction of dyskinesias in four patients treated with low-frequency (33 Hz) stimulation of the thalamus sensory nucleus for painful thalamic syndrome (Siegfried, 1986). Sellal et al. found a dramatic improvement of a symptomatic hemidystonia with stimulation of the
ventropostrolateral thalamus using the following parameters: 1.75 V/210 μs/60 Hz intermittent stimulation during 6 ms in intervals of 10 s. In this particular patient, dystonia was highly sensitive to superficial sensory stimuli, in the sense of a ‘geste antagoniste’. As the patient experienced dysaesthesiae in the dystonic hand with the beginning of each series of stimulation, the authors suggested that the stimulation effect in this patient could be related to this repetitive sensory stimulus (Sellal et al., 1993). The Grenoble group applied their technique of high frequency Vim stimulation in 12 patients with generalized dystonia. Stimulation frequency was the same, but voltage and pulse width were higher than in patients with PD tremor. Five patients experienced a mild to moderate improvement of limb dystonia, whereas axial symptoms were not improved. Seven patients had no benefit (Pollak et al., 1998; Pollak, 1999; Vercueil L et al., in press).

Pallidal DBS

Interestingly, an old report had mentioned that low frequency (4–8 Hz) stimulation of the pallidum in torsion dystonia and athetosis could elicit abnormal movements, whilst higher stimulus frequencies applied to the same target may eventually suppress them (Hassler et al., 1960). However, little was inferred from this observation, and so far there are only a few reports of the effects of pallidal DBS in dystonia (Coubes et al., 1999, 2000a,b,c; Krauss et al., 1999; Kumar et al., 1999; Loher et al., 2000; Tronnier and Fogel, 2000; Gill et al., 2000; Kulisevsky et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2000; Parkin et al., 2001). A dramatic improvement was observed in an 8-year-old girl, who had been under sedation and permanent controlled respiratory assistance because of severe generalized primary (DYT1 negative) dystonia. The girl became independent and returned to school after bilateral pallidal DBS. Thirty months after operation, the neurological examination was close to normal (Coubes et al., 1999). The same team extended their observations to 15 patients, with a mean reduction in dystonia scores by 81.3%, even greater in DYT1 patients (90.3% reduction), and a mean follow up of 12 months (range 3–36) (Coubes et al., 2000a). A similar degree of benefit was obtained in one DYT1 patient treated with pallidal DBS by another team (Vercueil L. et al., submitted). Three patients with severe focal cervical dystonia had a good improvement of dystonia intensity, pain and function with bilateral pallidal DBS (Krauss et al., 1999). These results in cervical dystonia have been reproduced by another team (Parkin et al., 2001), whilst two patients were reported with pain reduction without significant improvement in the cervical motor disorder (Kulisevsky et al., 2000). Myoclonus in familial myoclonic dystonia has been reported to be improved by GPi DBS in one patient (Liu et al., 2000). In some of the reports, the pulse width and voltage used were much higher than generally used in PD (Coubes et al., 1999; Krauss et al., 1999), thus certainly diffusing to other structures than the GPi. The stimulation frequencies were identical to those used in PD (130–160 Hz). In the young girl reported by Coubes (Coubes et al., 1999), the pulse generators had to be replaced after less than 1 year. Tronnier and Fogel reported three patients with bilateral pallidal DBS for generalized dystonia, with a follow up of 6–18 months. The two patients who suffered from primary dystonia (one DYT1 negative, one DYT1 positive) experienced good to marked improvement, whereas the patient with a secondary dystonia was only mildly improved. Stimulation parameters are not mentioned in the paper (Tronnier and Fogel, 2000). A PET study was carried out in one patient whose dystonia was improved with bilateral pallidal DBS (Kumar et al., 1999). During joystick movement, GPi DBS reduced PET activation bilaterally in a large part of the frontal cortex, and ipsilaterally in the lentiform nucleus. In this patient the pulse width and voltage used were very high. In contrast to all other reports, the authors found a stimulation frequency of 50 Hz to be optimal. Another report mentioned long-term efficacy (4 years) of GPi DBS for post-traumatic dystonia. In this patient, stimulation amplitude was lower than in previous reports (Loher et al., 2000).

So far, this therapy remains investigational, but many other teams are currently using the technique, mainly in Europe (Bötzel et al., 2000; Fogel et al., 2000; Funk et al., 2000; Islekel et al., 2000; Paul et al., 2000). Too little is known to judge the efficacy or to compare pallidal DBS with pallidotomy for dystonia. However some trends are emerging. By analogy to pallidotomy, the post-operative improvement occurs progressively in the first weeks or months. This complicates the setting of the electrical parameters. Stimulation parameters tend to be much higher than in PD. This may be because of the lack of experience, or of the fact that the best target within the pallidum is not yet known. However, if it turns out that such high stimulation parameters will be necessary as a rule to obtain good clinical results, then one should not speak of GPi stimulation, but rather of pallidial stimulation. DBS using these electrical parameters would be much more expensive than in pallidial DBS for PD, especially as patients with generalized dystonia tend to be younger than patients with PD. The pressure to use bilateral pallidotomy rather than bilateral pallidal DBS for economic reasons may be growing, despite the side-effects of bilateral pallidotomy discussed in the chapter.
on PVP for PD. However, the concerns of pediatric neurosurgeons, who warn against lesioning a brain in development, must also be taken very seriously.

**Current issues in stereotaxy for dystonia**

**Is pallidal surgery better than thalamic surgery?**

The dramatic improvement observed following pallidal surgery in patients with generalized primary dystonia appears superior to the results of thalamic surgery. However, there are very few data comparing the two targets. Furthermore, one report with DBS (where multiple procedures are relatively safe) seemed to indicate, at least in some patients, that results could be better with a thalamic than with a pallidal target (Ghika et al., 2000). A careful investigation between the respective indication of the two targets is mandatory.

**Should surgery be proposed in secondary dystonia?**

Evidence has accumulated to favour primary dystonia in the setting of functional surgery (Coubes et al., 2000c). However, examination of the literature shows that occasionally dramatic improvement may be achieved in secondary dystonia, and that the benefit can be maintained in the long term (Speelman et al., 1989; Loher et al., 2000). On average, mean improvement of dystonia scores is lower in secondary dystonia, with a larger standard deviation, indicating more variable results (Fig. 1). Efforts should be made to determine the patients, amongst those with secondary dystonias, who will respond best to surgery. In the absence of a pharmacological test comparable with the levodopa challenge in PD, and of functional imaging revealing underlying neurotransmitter defects, it may turn out that magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is the main predictor of outcome. Normal MRI seems to predict the best results, whereas patients with diffuse lesions usually do not seem to benefit from stereotactic surgery (Khan et al., 2000). In primary dystonias, classification of genetic defects may also be of predictive value (Coubes et al., 2000a).

**Ablative procedures versus DBS**

In experienced hands, DBS carries the risk of infection of the implanted material, breaking of the connecting leads or migration of the material in 3–4% of implants (Pollak et al., 1998). However, these side-effects can be managed without permanent morbidity. Batteries must be replaced at regular intervals. The device is expensive. Adapting electrical parameters can be time consuming. Development of a tolerance phenomenon to DBS (Pollak et al., 1998) is a concern that will have to be compared with the loss of efficacy in the long term after ablative surgery.

The most striking argument in favour of DBS is the feasibility of bilateral surgery which, in contrast to ablative surgery, has an acceptable morbidity rate (Pollak et al., 1998). Recently Schuurman et al. conducted a randomized single-centre trial of thalamotomy versus Vim stimulation with the same surgical team using the same stereotactic approach. Thalamic stimulation and thalamotomy were equally effective for tremor suppression, but stimulation had a lower morbidity. Vim stimulation resulted in more improvement of functional abilities than thalamotomy (Schuurman et al., 2000). Another argument that favours DBS is its reversibility. Worsening of dystonia has been reported in one case after PVP without subsequent resolution after implantation of a controlateral pallidal electrode for chronic stimulation. Switching on the stimulator invariably resulted in further worsening of the dystonia.
so it was decided to switch off the stimulator. Because of the contralateral lesion, the patient did not recover to his pre-operative state (Cervera et al., 2000).

**Functional surgery allows a better understanding of the pathophysiology of dystonia**

Presently, there is no good animal model of dystonia. Microrerecording during surgical procedures has given the possibility to analyse the pattern of neuronal discharge in subcortical structures. Reorganization of sensory maps had been demonstrated within the motor thalamus of patients with dystonia (Zihr et al., 1998; Lenz et al., 1999), which may parallel the abnormal sensory cortical function disclosed in dystonic subjects (Hallett, 1995, 1998; Bara-Jimenez et al., 1998, 2000). Similar findings were evident during pallidal recording (Lenz et al., 1998). These findings emphasize the concept that dystonia is not just a motor, but rather a sensorimotor, disorder (Hallett, 1995). A large decrease in firing rate of internal pallidal neurons, with abnormal grouping of discharges in burst-pause pattern, has recently been shown (Lenz et al., 1998; Vitek et al., 1999). The results of pallidal surgery in dystonia may prove (i) the key role of basal ganglia dysfunction in the physiology of dystonia, and (ii) the relevance of an abnormal pattern of neuronal activity, rather than the quantitative amount of firing rate, as pallidotomy can relieve dystonia without inducing other neurological deficits.

**Requirements for future studies**

Dystonia encompasses a very wide range of patients. The clinical, etiological and, presumably pathophysiological, heterogeneity of these patients suggests the need for the constitution of homogeneously controlled group of patients requiring stereotaxy, with a special emphasis on etiology. Careful selection of patients, for example on genetic grounds, will be mandatory for correctly assessing the clinical efficacy of stereotactic surgery (Coubes et al., 2000c).

Another major concern is represented by the evaluation of the outcome after surgery. Dystonia scales are numerous, but few are validated. Although subject to certain criticisms, the Burke, Fahn and Marsden scale remains the most frequently used (Burke et al., 1985). Attempts to develop new scales have so far been unsatisfactory, with poor inter-rater reliability. Blinded assessment of clinical outcome after pallidotomy, or double-blind evaluation with the stimulator alternatively switched OFF and ON in the case of DBS, will be mandatory for future studies, as dystonia is very sensitive to psychological factors, and the degree of placebo effect following aggressive procedures is presumably high.

**Conclusions**

In patients with generalized dystonia, the internal pallidum presently seems to be the best surgical target. These patients require bilateral surgery using either DBS or lesioning. There are presently not enough data on the safety of bilateral pallidotomies, especially in children. On the other hand, little is known about the long-term effects and side-effects of bilateral pallidal stimulation. Only a comparative study of bilateral pallidal DBS versus bilateral pallidotomy for generalized primary dystonia, with a long-term follow up, could establish the respective value of these two promising therapies.
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List of abbreviations

- CT computed tomography
- DBS deep brain stimulation
- GPi globus pallidus internus
- MRI magnetic resonance imaging
- PD Parkinson’s disease
- PVP posteroventral pallidotomy
- STN subthalamic nucleus
- BFS Burke and Fahn scale for dystonia
- Vim nucleus ventrointermedius of the thalamus
- Voa nucleus ventrooralis anterior of the thalamus
- Vop nucleus ventrooralis posterior of the thalamus.