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INVITED REVIEW

ABSTRACT: Tremor is defined as rhythmic oscillatory activity of body parts. Four physiological basic mechanisms for such oscillatory activity have been described: mechanical oscillations; oscillations based on reflexes; oscillations due to central neuronal pacemakers; and oscillations because of disturbed feedforward or feedback loops. New methodological approaches with animal models, positron emission tomography, and mathematical analysis of electromyographic and electroencephalographic signals have provided new insights into the mechanisms underlying specific forms of tremor. Physiological tremor is due to mechanical and central components. Psychogenic tremor is considered to depend on a clonus mechanism and is thus believed to be mediated by reflex mechanisms. Symptomatic palatal tremor is most likely due to rhythmic activity of the inferior olive, and there is much evidence that essential tremor is also generated within the olivocerebellar circuits. Orthostatic tremor is likely to originate in hitherto unidentified brainstem nuclei. Rest tremor of Parkinson’s disease is probably generated in the basal ganglia loop, and dystonic tremor may also originate within the basal ganglia. Cerebellar tremor is at least in part caused by a disturbance of the cerebellar feedforward control of voluntary movements, and Holmes’ tremor is due to the combination of the mechanisms producing parkinsonian and cerebellar tremor. Neuropathic tremor is believed to be caused by abnormally functioning reflex pathways and a wide variety of causes underlies toxic and drug-induced tremors. The understanding of the pathophysiology of tremor has made significant progress but many hypotheses are not yet based on sufficient data. Modern neurology needs to develop and test such hypotheses, because this is the only way to develop rational medical and surgical therapies.
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One of the first state-of-the-art reviews covering the pathophysiology of tremor was that of C. David Marsden in 1984. Since then, three important books and one supplement on tremor have summarized many aspects of the pathophysiology of tremor.

Tremor research has developed rapidly in the past few years because clinicians and basic neuroscientists have become more interested in the oscillatory phenomena occurring under normal and abnormal conditions. The pathophysiology, however, cannot be discussed without the clinical context and thus we will briefly mention the current clinical classification.

CLINICAL CLASSIFICATION OF TREMORS

A new classification of tremors has been published recently, based on clinical criteria alone. This classification must suffice the clinical needs and, therefore, it combines etiologically defined tremors (like parkinsonian tremor or dystonic tremor) and tremor syndromes that are phenomenologically defined, such as cerebellar tremor or Holmes’ tremor, but can arise from various etiologies. The key features that help clinicians to separate the different tremor entities are: (1) the tremor frequency; (2) the conditions under which the tremor is activated;
and (3) additional information from the medical and family history (e.g., hereditary tremor, factors influencing the tremor) and additional findings on the neurologic examination (e.g., akinesia, rigidity, peripheral neuropathies). Figure 1 lists the different tremors according to this current view.

**PHYSIOLOGY OF OSCILLATORY ACTIVITY IN HUMANS**

**Four Principles of Tremor Genesis.** In technical terms, systems able to produce rhythmic activity are called oscillators. This nomenclature has been adopted for human tremor research. An oscillator in

---

**FIGURE 1.** Frequency and activation characteristics of tremors.44
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Activation by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>rest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhanced physiologic tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Essential tremor syndromes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Classic essential tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthostatic tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Task- and position-specific tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unclassified tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dystonic tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parkinsonian tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holmes’ tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palatal tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathic tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxic and drug-induced tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychogenic tremor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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*The Pathophysiology of Tremor*
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this biological sense does not necessarily mean a single anatomical structure, but rather a system consisting of body parts and functional connections, including neuronal assemblies that can cause rhythmic activity under certain conditions.

The causes of tremor in humans can be reduced to a limited number of basic factors. The first is mechanical tremor of the extremity, the second is reflex activation leading to oscillatory activity, the third is central oscillators, and the fourth is oscillatory activity that may occur when feedforward or feedback systems become unstable.

The most simple cause of tremor is so-called mechanical tremor of the extremity. Consider a stretched-out hand with the extensor muscles tonically activated to counterbalance gravity. Assuming a completely flat spectrum of muscle activity (see Fig. 2), some of the muscle fibers will be activated at the resonance frequency of the hand. Thereby, the hand will oscillate at this resonance frequency, which is determined by the equation:

\[
\text{Frequency} = \sqrt{\frac{K}{\text{Inertia}}}
\]

with \( K \) being a constant determined mainly by the stiffness of the muscle and the inertia of the oscillating limb. This resonance frequency is different for different body parts; for instance, 25 Hz for the fingers, 6–8 Hz for the hand, 3–4 Hz for the elbow, and 0.5–2 Hz for the shoulder joint.\(^{153}\)

The resonance frequency can be decreased by adding mass or increased by adding stiffness. For practical reasons it is easier to add inertia (e.g., by attaching rigidly a weight of 1 kg to the dorsum of the hand). Any mechanical tremor component can be identified this way provided that there is only a small reflex contribution.

The second mechanism is mediated through reflexes of the central nervous system. Any movement in one direction (e.g., a flexion movement) will stretch the extensors and cause an afferent volley eliciting reflexes in the antagonistic extensors. While the extensor is activated, the flexor will be stretched, causing an afferent volley from the flexors. When the reflex gains and the conduction time for the afferent and efferent conduction are appropriate, an oscillation will result.\(^{205,206}\) Theoretically, it is expected that the frequency of the tremor will be lower when

FIGURE 2. Spectral analysis of four different postural hand tremors with the accelerometer spectrum and the electromyographic spectrum of the wrist extensors (EMG) unloaded and with a load of 1000 g mounted on the back of the hand. The first example is a physiological tremor with a flat EMG spectrum and a load-dependent mechanical resonance peak. The second column is from a patient with thyrotoxic tremor who had a reflex-activated tremor because the EMG frequency peak decreased with the accelerometer peak during loading. The third example is from a normal subject having a central, frequency-invariant component in addition to the load-dependent mechanical component. The last is from a patient with a mild essential tremor displaying a frequency-invariant central component. This test can also be applied for diagnostic purposes.
the conduction distance is longer. However, mathematical calculations have shown that this could be compensated by the existence of different latencies and gains of reflexes.174

Such reflex contributions can be identified in individual patients (Fig. 2). For this purpose, the oscillation of the extremity (typically the hand, for practical reasons) and the electromyogram (EMG) of the wrist flexors and extensors is recorded with the hand held in an outstretched position. The mechanical oscillations and the EMG is analyzed with spectral analysis and, for example, shows synchronized frequency peaks at the resonance frequency of the hand. Whenever the hand is loaded with 1 kg, this mechanical frequency will drop by about 2 Hz. Whenever the EMG frequency is also decreasing, reflex loops must be mediating the decreased resonance frequency to the central nervous system (CNS) centers responsible for EMG synchronization. Other more subtle mathematical tools to detect an influence of reflexes on tremor have been described.214

The third mechanism is central oscillation. Two hypotheses have been proposed about the possible principles underlying such central oscillations. The first is the rhythmic activity of a group of neurons within a nucleus. Animal experiments have shown such mechanisms for cells within the inferior olive and the thalamus.113,145,147,208 These neurons can adopt one of two ways of firing. The first is summation of excitatory action potentials at the membrane and firing of a regular action potential when the firing threshold is reached. The second is the so-called oscillatory mode. In this condition the firing is followed by a prolonged action potential and a subsequent prolonged hyperpolarization, which is terminated by a rebound spike leading to the next spike. The changes in ionic conductance at the membrane have been studied in detail.113,145 Synchronization of single oscillating cells is necessary to produce rhythmic activity strong enough to rhythmically activate spinal motor nuclei. One way to synchronize a given set of oscillating neurons is through electrotonic coupling at gap junctions. Such a mechanism has been convincingly demonstrated for cells of the inferior olive. These neurons have gap junctions,203 and electrotonic coupling of oscillatory activity has been demonstrated in the guinea-pig.146,203 Such mechanisms are also likely for thalamic neurons.113

A possible second mechanism is that oscillations are generated within loops consisting of neuronal populations or different nuclei and their axonal connections.99 The conduction time and time for excitatory summation or inhibition in the different nuclei involved in such a loop are assumed to determine the frequency of the oscillation. Recently, physiological evidence for the existence of such a mechanism has been provided by a study demonstrating that, in cortex–striatum–subthalamic nucleus (STN)–globus pallidus externus (GPe) cultures, a synchronized bursting at 0.4–1.8 Hz develops, caused by excitatory drive from the STN and inhibitory action from the GPe.181 For practical purposes, such a central oscillator can be identified in patients with spectral analysis by demonstrating a load-invariant peak in the electromyogram (Fig. 2).

The fourth mechanism is malfunction of feedforward loops within the CNS, especially the cerebellum. The tremor type occurring in this condition is most often an intention tremor or tremor during goal-directed movements. To better understand the mechanism of these feedforward abnormalities, the pattern of activity in ballistic movements must be considered. This consists of agonist–antagonist–agonist activation97 with the agonist initiating the movement, the antagonist breaking the movement, and the second agonist contraction performing the fine tuning of the movement. These ballistic movements are preprogrammed, but the corticospinal system alone is not sufficient to control this motor sequence. The cerebellum plays a critical role in these ballistic movements because cooling of the cerebellum in monkeys39,166 or lesioning of the cerebellum65,80,108 leads to a delay in onset of the antagonist and second agonist contractions. The delay of the antagonist leads to insufficient breaking of the ballistic movement and movement overshoot. The further delay of the second agonist contraction causes a delayed and thereby enhanced correction movement, leading to hypermetria in the other direction. By this mechanism any voluntary movement will end up as an oscillating movement, especially during goal-directed activity. There is much evidence that, in humans, very similar mechanisms are active, because in cerebellar disease the same disturbance of ballistic movements is found for the upper57,109 and lower159 extremity.

It is assumed that the cerebellum is the critical system for tuning the strength and duration of the first agonist, and the timing and shape of antagonist activation. This cannot be a feedback mechanism between peripheral receptors and the CNS because of the conduction times involved. Therefore, it is assumed that the cerebellum is working through a feedforward control system that receives a copy of the movement signal from the cortex and adapts the specific parameters of the movement according to
the present status of the motor system. The more this feedforward control system is defective, the more the motor performance depends on feedback information from the periphery which is, however, delayed, and subsequently the aforementioned deficits of timing and shape of the voluntary muscle activations develop.

Recently, a tremor resembling that of cerebellar disease has been induced in normal subjects when the motor cortex was continuously stimulated.\(^{217}\) This may reflect a disturbance of the same cereblocortical loop, but at a cortical level.

**New Developments in Tremor Research. Animal Models of Tremor.** Animal models are of importance for uncovering the basic mechanisms of tremor (for review, see Wilms et al.\(^{234}\)). Three different approaches have been used to produce tremor in animals: tremorogenic drugs (harmaline, MPTP); experimental CNS lesions (midbrain lesions in primates); and genetic mutants (different mouse mutants). Whereas harmaline induces a postural/kinetic tremor in animals that shares some features with human essential tremor or enhanced physiological tremor, MPTP tremor is the best model available for human rest tremor. The tremor following experimental lesions of the ventromedial tegmentum in primates closely resembles human Holmes’ tremor, whereas cerebellar intention tremor is mimicked by cooling of the lateral cerebellar nuclei. The “campus syndrome” discovered in a breed of Pietrain pigs may be a useful model of human orthostatic tremor. It is clear that none of these models can replicate all of the features of the human analog. Problems encountered when comparing tremor in animals and humans include uncertainty as to whether specific transmitter abnormalities or CNS lesions in animal tremor models are characteristic of their human counterparts, and whether the clinical characteristics are actually comparable.

**Positron Emission Tomography.** In recent years, new insights into the physiology of tremor have been gained by using positron emission tomography (PET) with \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\) as a marker of blood flow, \(^{18}\text{fluoro-2-deoxyglucose (FDG) as a marker of metabolic activity, or }^{18}\text{F-fluorodopa (F-dopa) as a marker of density of dopaminergic terminals in the striatum.}^{21}\) F-dopa PET imaging has demonstrated normal dopaminergic terminals in essential tremor but reduced labeling of these terminals in parkinsonian rest tremor\(^{12,30}\) and Holmes’ tremor.\(^{186}\) This is further evidence supporting the assumption that rest tremor only occurs when the nigrostriatal projection is lesioned.

In parkinsonian tremor, the cerebellum and contralateral thalamus are abnormally activated.\(^{21}\) In Parkinson’s disease (PD) patients with an implanted stimulator in the Vim nucleus of the thalamus, effective stimulation leads to suppression of this abnormal cerebellar activation.\(^{43}\) However, it is not yet clear whether reduced cerebellar activity leads to reduction of tremor, or reduced tremor causes less cerebellar activation. All types of tremor are associated with abnormal cerebellar activation. In essential tremor, cerebellar overactivity has been found by blood-flow\(^{38,119}\) and metabolic studies.\(^{86}\) The red nucleus, but not the inferior olive, is overactive.\(^{232}\) In patients with essential tremor responsive to alcohol, cerebellar overactivity is decreased following alcohol ingestion.\(^{27}\) Writer’s tremor,\(^{231}\) orthostatic tremor,\(^{225}\) and even tremor associated with neuropathies\(^{14}\) all show increased cerebellar blood flow.

The most likely interpretation of these findings is that tremor of an extremity or muscle activates strong afferent signals to the cerebellum (e.g., through the spinocerebellar tracts).\(^{27}\) One argument against this interpretation is that such hypermetabolism is also found at rest, but complete relaxation of subjects is hard to achieve. If this hypothesis is correct, a specific role of the cerebellum in the genesis of such tremors will be difficult to demonstrate by such PET studies.

**Time-Series Analysis of Rhythmic Muscle and Brain Activity.** Coherence analysis was not used in the analysis of the relations between rhythmic muscle and brain activity until recently. The mathematical aspects of coherence analysis have been covered elsewhere.\(^{235}\) In brief, coherence is a statistical measure of linear predictability between two time-series. It equals one whenever one time-series is obtained from the other by linear transformation. The estimation procedure is based on the auto- and cross-spectra. At those frequencies with significant coherence, phase spectra can be estimated, revealing more details about the nature of any interaction. Specifically the existence and an estimate of the amount of time delay between the two processes can be detected.

The method was applied first hand to better understand the concept of a central generator of tremor. Assuming one central generator, all affected muscles in different extremities would be expected to show coherent activity. Indeed, this has been demonstrated for orthostatic tremor,\(^{49,129}\) but not for physiological tremor, enhanced physiological tremor, essential tremor, or parkinsonian tremor.\(^{139,186}\)
However, the tremor in different muscles of the same extremity did show coherence. We conclude from these observations that multiple oscillators must be responsible for the tremors investigated, except for orthostatic tremor. It is conceivable that different neuronal assemblies within the brain are responsible for pathological tremors in different extremities. However, it cannot be excluded that spinal reflex pathways play a significant role in the distribution of rhythmic activity within an extremity.

Oscillations of cortical areas have been assessed, and activity above 40 Hz has been related to specific sensory aspects. Singer and colleagues, in particular, have elaborated upon the concept of “binding” of those cortical areas working together for specific sensory tasks. Applications of this approach to the motor system are more limited. The first attempts in this field examined the relation between brain activity measured with electroencephalography (EEG) or magnetoencephalography (MEG) and muscle activity. Spectral peaks in the EEG were found at 15–30 Hz and at 30–50 Hz. The coherence between cortical and muscle activity has also been calculated. The 15–30 Hz coherence between activity of the first dorsal interosseous muscle and the EEG was found during holding tasks involving a constant force between thumb and index finger. Recordings in monkeys from two cortical pyramidal tract cells (PTNs) showed coherence with each other and synchrony with the 15–30 Hz cortical rhythm. The synchronous activation of motoneurons by these PTNs may explain the 15–30 Hz rhythm. Thus, this oscillation may reflect processes in the motor cortex. The investigators suggested that this 15–30 Hz oscillation reflects efficient simplification of the motor command, because a holding task needs less complex steering than a movement task, which is associated with desynchronization.

In 1907, Piper demonstrated that muscle activity synchronizes at around 40 Hz when the muscle is strongly contracted. This has been confirmed repeatedly in various studies. The cortical correlates for this Piper rhythm have been investigated using MEG. The muscle rhythm showed coherence at 35–60 Hz with an area of the motor cortex corresponding to the extremity under investigation. The phase lag between cortex and arm or leg, respectively, differed by about 15 ms, which corresponds to the time lag between corticospinal conduction between arm and leg. Thus, the investigators have suggested that the Piper rhythm originates in the motor cortex and codes the amount of force exerted in tonic and phasic contractions. For weak contractions they found coherence at lower frequencies (20–30 Hz), similar to other groups.

Valbo and colleagues showed that the EMG underlying fine manipulatory movements consists of bursts with a frequency of 8–10 Hz. They showed that such discontinuities are found in almost all movements and do not depend on the stretch reflex or on afferent activity. Thus, they speculated as to whether this is the mechanism by which the corticospinal system grades muscle force and speed.

In Parkinson’s disease, the mu rhythm of the MEG is suppressed by tremor, but in one study a cortical correlate for parkinsonian tremor was not found. In contrast, Volkmann et al. found a sequence of magnetic fields in the thalamus, premotor cortex, and sensorimotor cortex that may partly reflect motor commands to the muscles and, partly, sensory feedback due to tremulous activity. These findings have been confirmed with new mathematical tools, demonstrating that muscle activity and cortical activity show a 1:2 phase relationship that might be explained by the relation of reciprocal alternating activity in two antagonists, of which only one is recorded. Coherence of muscle and brain activity has also been demonstrated with EEG techniques for parkinsonian tremor.

At present, it is premature to draw final conclusions about the impact of these studies on the understanding of tremor. However, it is tempting to speculate that muscle and cortical oscillations in the low-frequency range (6–12 Hz) are the equivalent of physiological tremor. Pathological tremors may use similar pathways within the cortex.

**PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF DISTINCT TREMORS**

Conditions with abnormal tremor, but obviously normal and intact CNS functions, include enhanced physiological and psychogenic tremor. These are considered first.

**Tremors in Normal Subjects.** Physiological and Enhanced Physiological Tremor: Mechanical and Cortical Tremor. The distinction between physiological and enhanced physiological tremor is purely clinical and they share common mechanisms. Physiological tremor is probably the best known form of tremor. It consists of three main components. The first is the mechanical component of tremor, which is responsible for the main frequency component in most normal subjects. This mechanical component consists of the damped oscillation, which is driven by several factors: (1) resonance at the eigenfrequency; (2) cardioballistic effects (e.g., during the systolic
phase a small impulse is given to the extremities, and this is followed by a damped oscillation; and (3) the discontinuities of innervation, which occur normally. The resonance frequency can be identified by spectral analysis of the position or accelerometer signal from the affected extremity and the EMG from the antigravity muscle (Fig. 2). For identification of the mechanical tremor component, the extremity is loaded with a weight, and thus the resonance frequency of the extremity decreases.

Besides this component, there is, in rare cases, an enhancement of the mechanical component by reflexes. This has been studied in adrenaline-induced tremor. Adrenaline (epinephrine) upregulates the sensitivity of the muscle spindles, and thus enhances rhythmic afferent activity leading to greater synchronization of the afferent volley and enhanced reflex activity. Such a mechanism is also influenced by thyroid hormones. This mechanism can also be identified by spectral analysis when the tremor is measured with and without added load. In the unloaded condition, a significant peak of the EMG will appear at the frequency of the accelerometer peak. In the loaded condition, the accelerometer peak shows a shift to a lower frequency, but the same shift will be observed in the EMG peak. This can only be achieved if the peripheral frequency is mediated to the CNS by reflexes (Fig. 2).

The final mechanism underlying physiological tremor is the so-called 8–12 Hz central component. This can be identified with spectral analysis when a peak of the EMG remains stable but the mechanical peak shows a decreased frequency under load (Fig. 2). This component has been attributed to different mechanisms. A reflex mechanism has been proposed, but not confirmed. Visual feedback does not have a significant influence. Hence, neither somatosensory nor visual feedback seems to play a significant role. It has also been proposed that this component is caused by motoneurons that are recruited at 8–12 Hz, but do not produce a fused contraction at this frequency. Their firing causes unfused muscle contractions that are subsequently synchronized by reflex mechanisms. However, it was later demonstrated that the single motor units fire at much higher frequencies and expose a second peak at the 8–12 Hz frequency, which demonstrates that the underlying cause for the 8–12 Hz peak must be a central facilitation at this frequency. This was attributed to either Renshaw inhibition in the spinal cord or central oscillators located in the inferior olivary or thalamus. This view has been extended by recent reports. First, it was demonstrated that, in subjects with congenital mirror movements, the 8–12 Hz component is coherent in both arms, in contrast to normal subjects. These subjects are known to have bilateral projections of the corticospinal tract, making it likely that this tremor component is transmitted through this tract. This implies that the oscillator is located either within or upstream to the motor cortex.

Additional information comes from recent experiments on the steering of voluntary movements. It has been demonstrated that movements of the digits and forearm are regulated through an 8–12 Hz pattern of bursts, which is independent of the stretch reflex and that this rhythm is transmitted to both agonists and antagonists. This was interpreted to reflect a common central input. Combining all these data, the hypothesis might be put forward that the central component of physiological tremor is due to synchronization at the cortical level by a mechanism inherent in the organization of the motor system.

The extent to which the 8–12 Hz central component contribute to the clinical expression of physiological tremor has been estimated in one of our recent studies, and a significant contribution to tremor amplitude was found in only 30% of normal subjects. This percentage is believed to be much higher for enhanced physiological tremor. Reflex-driven tremor was found in only 2% of normal subjects.

**Psychogenic Tremor: Rhythmic Activity Mediated by a Clonus Mechanism?** The pathophysiology of psychogenic tremor is still unclear. Diagnosis has been made on clinical grounds alone. Psychogenic tremor may cover a wide range of frequencies (Fig. 1). Some patients simply mimic tremor in others present with low-frequency voluntary rhythmic body movements during stance and gait. They represent neither a clinical nor a pathophysiological problem, as they are simply performing voluntary movements. Other patients have an almost continuous tremor of one or more limbs, which can often be influenced by distracting maneuvers, but sometimes persists despite such maneuvers. We have consistently observed that these patients produce cocontraction of antagonists in the affected limb. This can be assessed by testing movement at the oscillating joint with passive movements. Tremor is only present when cocontraction occurs; when the cocontraction is reduced, the tremor stops. We concluded that cocontraction is a necessary condition for this type of tremor. Cocontraction is known to be a factor facilitating clonus. We propose that psychogenic tremor is due to a clonus mechanism. Such clonus can indeed be pro-
duced by a normal subject and, once initiated by a conscious cocontraction, can persist without requiring much attention. This accords with earlier descriptions of soldiers who had epidemic psychogenic tremor during World War I. They vividly described that they simply had to initiate cocontraction and tremor developed automatically.7,10 During that time, neurologists interpreted the tremor as manifesting a voluntary change in reflex threshold, and thereby were close to what may be explained nowadays as clonus.6,134,178,224 Indirect evidence supports such a view. The amplitude of hand tremor usually decreases when the mass of the hand is increased by adding a load, whereas in patients with psychogenic tremor the amplitude often increases.48 This may be explained by the additional cocontraction necessary to maintain the reflex activity. It is difficult to confirm this hypothesis, but studies in normal subjects have shown that such a mechanism might indeed exist. Prochazka and colleagues112,185 have shown that vibration or electrical stimulation of the elbow flexors or extensors at some frequencies can produce tremors in the range between 3 and 8 Hz. This phenomenon depends on cocontraction by the subjects. They argued that these mechanisms may be active in pathological tremors, but because of the preinnervation modus it seems more likely that this is the mechanism of psychogenic tremor. For clinical purposes, this cocontraction sign is a necessary finding for the diagnosis of psychogenic tremor, and in our experience (Table 1) it is the best means to confirm this diagnosis.

**Pathological Tremors.** **Palatal Tremor: An Olivary Tremor?** Palatal tremor is a rare condition, but one of its variants is especially relevant for the understanding of tremor mechanisms in general. Palatal tremor (also called palatal myoclonus, palatal nystagmus) is characterized by rhythmic movements of the soft palate and sometimes of other muscles. It is divided into symptomatic (SPT) and essential palatal tremor (EPT).51,54 SPT is characterized by: (1) preceding brainstem/cerebellum lesion with subsequent olivary hypertrophy (which can be demonstrated by magnetic resonance imaging); and (2) rhythmic movements of the soft palate (levator veli palatini) and often of other brainstem-innervated or extremity muscles.

EPT is characterized by: (1) absence of preceding lesion and absent olivary pseudohypertrophy; and (2) presentation with an earclick. The rhythmic movements of the soft palate involve mostly the tensor veli palatini. Extremity or eye muscles are not involved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Diagnostic criteria of psychogenic tremors.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Sudden onset of the condition and/or remissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Somatization in the past history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Appearance of additional and unrelated neurological signs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Unusual clinical combinations of rest and postural/intention tremors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Decrease of tremor amplitude during distraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Variation of tremor frequency during distraction or during voluntary movements of the contralateral hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. “Coactivation sign” of psychogenic tremor (see text)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Much work has been done on SPT. It has been known for many years that SPT is associated with an abnormal morphology of the inferior olive (IO),125 which is labeled as “pseudohypertrophy” and is characterized by cells with inclusion bodies and tortuous dendrites.2,5,126,155–138 This condition develops secondary to a lesion within the pathways from the cerebellum through the superior peduncle and contralaterally through the brainstem to the inferior olive. The cause of rhythmic activity is probably the inherent activity of the cells within the IO. It has been proposed that the gap junctions between the IO cells mediate the synchronization of the oscillation.203 They mediate electrotonic coupling146 and this electrotonic coupling is regulated through a GABAergic pathway from the deep cerebellar nuclei to the contralateral IO.202 Thus, the present hypothesis for genesis of this tremor includes several steps.54 The first is a lesion of the GABAergic pathway to the IO by an ischemic insult or other brainstem disorder. Subsequently, the gap junctions are no longer inhibited, and continuous electrotonic coupling takes place between the majority of the IO cells, leading to synchronized excitation. This is transmitted to the contralateral cerebellum, which is able to influence the motoneurons synchronously through bulbospinal pathways and can thereby cause tremor. Olivary pseudohypertrophy develops over several months92,95 and palatal tremor is not clinically visible before a certain level of olivary hypertrophy.241 Many observations in patients with SPT support this view. Thus, SPT always develops contralateral to the hypertrophied inferior olive,36,54,240 which is compatible with the anatomical projection of the IO to the contralateral cerebellar hemisphere. Palatal tremor is associated with subclinical rhythmic activation time-locked to the tremor of all the muscles of the contralateral side,54 indicating the strongly unilateral projection of the rhythm of the palate. This may be the reason that extremity muscles sometimes exhibit tremor at the same rate as the palatal myoclonus.136,194,238 Finally, patients with unilateral IO pseudohypertrophy may show contralateral clinical signs of a cerebellar
disturbance\textsuperscript{47} and abnormal motor learning in tests requiring normal cerebellar function.\textsuperscript{17,33,121} Almost nothing is known about the pathophysiology of EPT.

**Essential Tremor: A Possible Olivocerebellar Tremor.** Essential tremor (ET) is commonly hereditary and linkage has been found for several genes.\textsuperscript{75,94,104} Pathoanatomical investigations have not identified any morphological changes,\textsuperscript{188} supporting the belief that ET is due mainly to a functional abnormality within the CNS. Clinically, it is a slowly progressive, monosymptomatic disorder with postural and kinetic tremor; in advanced stages, intention tremor can cause severe handicap. The diagnostic criteria are shown in Table 2. It has been suggested that ET is caused by a functional disturbance of the olivocerebellar circuit. Among the most convincing clinical evidence is the observation that ET disappears after lesions of the cerebellum,\textsuperscript{63} pons,\textsuperscript{172,218} or thalamus,\textsuperscript{86} which are all part of a cerebellocortical loop. The aforementioned PET studies have shown that there is cerebellar hyperactivity in ET, although it is not yet clear whether this is the cause or the result of ET. Harmine, a δ-carboline related to harmaline, has been shown to induce tremor in normal humans, and shares some features with ET.\textsuperscript{142,179,234} Therefore, animal studies with the harmaline model of tremor are of specific interest with regard to the pathophysiology of ET. It has been shown for different species that cells of the inferior olive are synchronized\textsuperscript{234} and that rhythmic activity is transferred through the cerebellum and the reticulospinal projections to the motoneurons.\textsuperscript{234} The hypothesis has been put forward that ET is generated in a similar way. The study of cerebellar functions in ET has shown slight cerebellar disturbances: the triphasic pattern underlying ballistic movements shows distinct abnormalities, resembling the situation in cerebellar disease.\textsuperscript{18,25} The onset of antagonist activity, which normally breaks the agonist movement, and the second activity of the agonist are delayed. Voluntary movements have shown an intention tremor in almost half of the patients with ET, which is indistinguishable from cerebellar tremor.\textsuperscript{35} In addition, there is a slowness of voluntary movements and hypermetria, which provide clinical support for cerebellar dysfunction in ET.\textsuperscript{55} We have interpreted these findings to reflect a progressive cerebellar disturbance in ET, depending on the severity of the condition. If intention tremor develops in the setting of ET, we assume a disturbance of cerebellar feedback control (discussed earlier).

It is generally accepted that ET is a central tremor. For individual patients this can be demonstrated by spectral analysis of the accelerometer and EMG. As in all central tremors, the peak frequency of the EMG does not shift when the extremity is loaded (Fig. 2). Unfortunately, this cannot always be demonstrated in early ET,\textsuperscript{66} and the distinction between ET and enhanced physiological tremor may then be difficult.

**Orthostatic Tremor: A Brainstem Tremor.** Orthostatic tremor is a unique tremor syndrome\textsuperscript{26,49,87,88,101,129,143,152,163,175,182,183,193,226,230} characterized by: (1) a subjective feeling of unsteadiness during stance but only in severe cases during gait, without any disturbance during sitting or lying; (2) sparse clinical findings that are mostly limited to a visible and sometimes only palpable fine-amplitude rippling of the leg (quadriceps or gastrocnemius) muscles when standing; and (3) electromyographic recordings (e.g., from the quadriceps muscle) that show a typical 13–18 Hz pattern.\textsuperscript{44} This unique, high-frequency pattern of EMG activity has certain features of pathophysiological interest. The pattern occurs in all the patients during standing or during weight-bearing in an erect position.\textsuperscript{24,26,50,193} Some also experience this condition during physical activity in a sitting position or when lying and performing active tonic contraction of the legs.\textsuperscript{23,24} If the body weight is reduced by unloading through a parachute belt in a standing position, the high-frequency pattern usually remains stable. The most surprising observation is, however, that many patients have a completely normal interference pattern in a lying position. Thus, the condition under which this tremor occurs in all patients is the standing position. A hitherto unknown descending system related to postural and sometimes also to nonpostural tasks seems to malfunction.

The 16-Hz tremor occurs not only in the anti-gravity muscles but also in the flexor muscles of the leg, the trunk, and arm muscles.\textsuperscript{7} In addition to the muscles innervated by the spinal cord, the cranial nerve muscles are also involved,\textsuperscript{129} indicating that all motor nuclei have this unique pattern

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. The core and secondary criteria for the diagnosis of classic essential tremor.\textsuperscript{13}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Core criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Bilateral action tremor of the hands and forearms (but not rest tremor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Absence of other neurological signs with the exception of the cogwheel phenomenon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. May have isolated head tremor with no signs of dystonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Long duration (&gt;3 years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Positive family history</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Beneficial response to propranolol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
with the possible exception of the extraocular muscles, which have not yet been tested. The activity of the muscles in orthostatic tremor is highly coherent in all muscles tested.\textsuperscript{23,24,49,50,212} Muscles from both sides of the body and from the upper and lower legs or cranial nerve muscles are all coherent (Fig. 3). This is a unique finding among all the tremors that have been assessed with coherence analysis\textsuperscript{139} and it confirms a unique oscillator underlying this tremor.

This oscillator must be strong enough to dominate normal corticospinal and bulbospinal activity, because single-unit recordings have shown (Fig. 4) that individual units are activated only at 16 Hz or at lower harmonics (e.g., 8 Hz, 4 Hz, 2 Hz, etc.) of the 16-Hz pattern.\textsuperscript{50} Thus, the motoneurons receive such a strong excitatory potential at the time of each tremor burst that additional excitatory potentials in the pauses between the tremor bursts are not able to increase the membrane potential to firing threshold. This firing pattern excludes a purely spinal mechanism of orthostatic tremor.

A recent PET study has demonstrated increased bilateral cerebellar and contralateral lentiform and thalamic blood flow during activity, and increased cerebellar blood flow at rest.\textsuperscript{233} Further information may come from a porcine animal model. Similar to the human condition, these pigs show a coarse tremor while standing and walking and they show an EMG pattern of 14–15 Hz during walking and standing.\textsuperscript{190,236}

The location of the oscillator in orthostatic tremor remains unknown. However, as bilateral brainstem and spinal motor nuclei are involved and the tremor activity is coherent in different muscles, it is not possible that one of the lateralized motor systems of the brain or spinal cord is responsible for these oscillations. A reasonable location for an oscillating system is the bilaterally projecting brainstem centers regulating stance or tone. Thus, we hypothesize that orthostatic tremor is an example of a brainstem tremor.

**Parkinsonian Tremor: Classic Basal Ganglionic Tremor.** In PD, several different forms of tremor occur.\textsuperscript{44} Most typical is the rest tremor, which only occurs in PD and Holmes’ tremor if rigid criteria for the definition of rest tremor are applied. We consider here only so-called classic parkinsonian tremor characterized by tremor at rest, which is activated by mental stress and is at least temporarily suppressed when the extremity is voluntarily activated. The usual frequency is 4–6 Hz, but higher frequencies

![Coherence spectra](image)

**FIGURE 3.** Coherence spectra between different brainstem-innervated and leg or paravertebral muscles demonstrating highly significant coherence even in muscles located far apart. This is a unique finding in orthostatic tremor and is not found in any other organic tremor. Significant coherence can be assumed when a coherence value of 0.4 Hz is exceeded (from Koster et al.\textsuperscript{129}).
rarely occur. Numerous studies have investigated the physiological and anatomical aspects of this common type of tremor (reviewed elsewhere).

The pathological hallmark of PD is degeneration of dopaminergic cells within the substantia nigra and subsequent dopamine depletion of the striatum. Tremor-dominant patients have predominant degeneration of the medial substantia nigra, the retro-rubral A8-field, whereas the akinetic-rigid variant shows more severe damage of the lateral substantia nigra (A9), indicating that the tremor may have a specific pathology. PET studies have shown that patients with rest tremor have a dopaminergic deficit even when other signs of PD are absent.

However, the severity of tremor does not correlate with the loss of dopamine within the striatum or with severity of the disease in general. In contrast, severity of akinesia and rigidity correlate excellently with overall disease progression and with loss of putaminal dopamine measured with F-dopa PET. This robust finding remains unexplained.

Reflexes play only a minor role in the generation and maintenance of parkinsonian tremor. Afferent denervation does not stop the tremor, although its frequency and amplitude may be affected. If the rhythm of a tremor can be reset, it was assumed that this indicates a dominant role for reflex factors.

FIGURE 4. Single-unit firing intervals in a patient with orthostatic tremor. (A) A recording of the 16-Hz pattern with a surface electrode overlying the quadriceps muscle. (B) Single motor units recorded with a needle electrode. (A') Interspike intervals of the surface potential and (B') the interspike intervals of the single units. The units are firing at discrete intervals only at multiples of the interspike interval given by the 16-Hz pattern. The smaller unit on the right side is recruited only at 16 and 8 Hz (corresponding to 62-ms or 124-ms interspike intervals), whereas the larger unit on the left side is recruited at two, three, four, five, or even six times the 62-ms interspike interval. This indicates strong synchronization by the oscillator for the orthostatic tremor, which leaves no possibility for the single motor units to fire outside the 16-Hz pattern (modified from Deuschl et al.)
However, studies with various stimuli have not shown a dominant role for reflexes. It is generally agreed that rest tremor is a central tremor, but the location and physiology of the related central oscillators are still a matter of debate. The most common belief is that parkinsonian tremor is generated within the basal ganglia loop. However, it has recently been proposed that it is produced in the cerebellothalamic loop, because the cerebellum shows prominent hyperactivity in tremor, and parkinsonian tremor can be successfully treated by placement of a lesion in the nucleus ventralis intermedius of the thalamus, which is the target of cerebellothalamic fibers. However, this hypothesis implies that rest tremor should cease when the cerebellum is removed in a patient with parkinsonian tremor. Such a patient has recently been described and continuous rest tremor was found, conflicting with the cerebellar hypothesis for such tremor.

A new hypothesis is that several oscillators account for parkinsonian tremor. This hypothesis is based on coherence analyses in patients with PD and in the MPTP monkey model of PD. It has been found that the tremor within one extremity is highly correlated, but not that between different extremities. Nonlinear relations between a single central oscillator within the brain and multiple spinal networks could account for this finding, but it is more likely that specific features within the basal ganglia loop are responsible for such a topography of oscillators. It has been shown both anatomically and with single-unit recordings that information within the pathways from the striatum to the internal pallidum and the thalamus along the indirect pathway through the basal ganglia is focused on fewer cells from one nucleus to the next, but the firing patterns of different neurons are not correlated along the whole pathway. In monkeys with MPTP-induced parkinsonism these cells show a strong coherence. Based on this finding, Bergman et al. developed their hypothesis that PD tremor is produced by the loss of segregation within these information channels and subsequent synchronization of neighboring single cells. The segregation of information is believed to be stronger for different extremities and may even be preserved in severe tremors. This could at least explain why different extremities exhibit the same frequency of tremor, but the tremor activity remains uncorrelated.

Single-unit recordings in patients during stereotactic surgery have revealed that the number of cells having a clear relation to the peripheral tremor varies in the different nuclei, with the majority being found in the thalamus, followed by the globus pallidus internus and the subthalamic nucleus (STN), whereas tremor-related cells are only rarely recorded in the external pallidum (GPe) and putamen (Put). This suggests that the STN is an important neuronal group for the generation of tremor. Recently, an animal model of a pacemaker formed by the STN and the GPe has been described, but other possibilities, such as a corticobulbar–internal pallidum–thalamocortical loop, may be equally likely to form such an oscillating loop. Another hypothesis, that the thalamus is the pacemaker due to specific membrane properties, is less likely, according to recent single-unit recordings in thalamic cells of parkinsonian patients.

The oscillating activity is reflected in the cortex as MEG and EEG studies have shown cortical coherence with the peripheral EMG. However, it is not yet clear whether this cortical activity leads or lags behind muscle activity, and further studies are necessary.

In summary, parkinsonian tremor is most likely generated within the basal ganglia. The oscillators are topographically organized and different hypotheses exist on the detailed mechanisms producing this rhythmicity within the basal ganglia. Studies on the cortical activity related to this tremor will further define the pathways of tremor-related brain activity.

Dystonic Tremor: Another Type of Basal Ganglia Tremor? Despite many studies on dystonic tremor, it still remains a poorly classified entity. It has recently been defined clinically as mainly a postural/kinetic tremor in an extremity or body part that is affected by dystonia and which is usually not seen during complete rest. These are mostly focal tremors with irregular amplitudes and variable frequency (usually below 7 Hz).

A typical example of dystonic tremor is tremulous spasmodic torticollis (or dystonic head tremor). In many patients with dystonic tremor, “trick maneuvers” have been shown to lead to a reduction of tremor amplitude. This can be used to separate dystonic head tremor from essential head tremor. Such tricks are less common in dystonic tremors of the extremities, and it is not clear whether they are related to the tremor or the dystonia. Hallett has proposed that these tricks relate to the basic mechanisms underlying dystonia rather than being a specific feature of dystonic tremor. It is not clear how this tremor is generated, but it may be related to the mechanism of dystonia. There is ample evidence
that dystonia is a disorder of the basal ganglia, and dystonic tremor may therefore be another form of basal ganglia tremor.

**Cerebellar Tremor: A Defect of Feedforward Control?** Cerebellar (intention) tremor is diagnosed according to the following clinical signs: (1) pure or dominant intention tremor, uni- or bilateral; (2) tremor frequency generally below 5 Hz; and (3) postural tremor, but not rest tremor, may be present.

Cerebellar tremor is often used synonymously with intention tremor, although various clinical expressions of tremor have been described in cerebellar disorders. The mechanisms underlying cerebellar tremors have been studied extensively in animals. The cerebellum has either been removed or its function temporarily blocked by cooling or chemical agents. Selective muscimol injections into deep cerebellar nuclei have revealed that the critical structure seems to be the globose–emboliform nucleus. Tremor-related activity in monkeys was found in the motor and somatosensory cortex and the globose–emboliform nucleus, but not the dentate nucleus. Therefore, transcerebellar and transcortical loops seem to be involved. As intention tremor persists following deafferentation in monkeys, somatosensory loops cannot be the only source of intention tremor and it is likely that a transcerebellar loop is involved. Analysis of voluntary movements in animal experiments and patients with cerebellar lesions suggests that the major cause is disturbed timing and grading of the activity of antagonistic muscles. Indeed, several studies have suggested that long-latency reflexes are enhanced in cerebellar disease. Although this mechanism is very likely to underlie cerebellar tremor, an additional tremor-generating mechanism is also possible.

**Holmes’ Tremor: Combination of Basal Ganglia and Cerebellar Tremor.** The name of this tremor syndrome has long been controversial. The traditional terms “rubral tremor” and “midbrain tremor” are misleading, because cases with lesions outside these locations have been described. Holmes’ description was among the first, and hence this designation was proposed recently by a committee of the Movement Disorder Society. The following criteria apply to this tremor: (1) rest and intention tremor and sometimes also a postural tremor (the tremor is often not as rhythmic as other tremors); (2) low frequency, mostly below 4.5 Hz; and (3) a variable delay (usually 4 weeks to 2 years) between lesion onset and first occurrence of the tremor is typical.

It is unclear whether thalamic tremor is a separate entity or only a variant location of the underlying pathology. Most patients with so-called “thalamic tremors” have additional dystonia and classic signs of a thalamic lesion. It is generally accepted that Holmes’ tremor is a symptomatic tremor that follows different lesions of the brainstem/cerebellum and thalamus. Two systems, the dopaminergic nigrostriatal system and the cerebellothalamic system, must be lesioned according to pathoanatomical and PET data. As these systems can also be lesioned along their fiber tracts, this tremor may also follow lesions in other locations (and even at multiple cortical sites). A few patients have had preexisting cerebellar lesions and a stable cerebellar deficit but subsequently developed a nigrostriatal deficit and presented later with a Holmes’ tremor. This finding provides strong clinical evidence that both cerebellar and nigrostriatal deficits are required to produce this form of tremor.

The functional deficits of these two systems are thus reflected by the clinical symptoms, and some insights into the interplay of the cerebellum and the basal ganglia are provided by this form of tremor. Rest tremor is known to cease when voluntary movements are performed, but this is no longer true when the ipsilateral cerebellum is affected; rest tremor then seems to spill into voluntary movements, giving rise to an intention tremor of the same frequency. Thus, the cerebellar influence on motor performance may partially compensate for the deficits induced by basal ganglia pathology, at least during voluntary activity. Moreover, the rest tremor frequency seems to be influenced by the cerebellum as it is usually below 4 Hz in Holmes’ tremor but between 4 and 6 Hz in parkinsonian rest tremor. It may be hypothesized that the cerebellar system can compensate the basal ganglia circuits during voluntary movements as far as tremor production is concerned.

**Neuropathic Tremor: A Peripheral Tremor?** A peripheral basis is assumed if a patient develops tremor in association with a peripheral neuropathy and no other neurological diseases associated with tremor. Some forms of peripheral neuropathies tend to cause tremors more often than others. Demyelinating neuropathies in particular are frequent causes of such tremors. The tremors are mostly postural and kinetic tremors with a frequency between 3 and 6 Hz in arm and hand muscles. The frequency in hand muscles can be lower than in proximal arm muscles in patients with gait disorders, and can be used as an electrophysiological tool for diagnosis of the condition. This can also be taken as an argument that tremor frequency depends on the length of the reflex pathway and,
The tremor caused by withdrawal from alcohol or other drugs has been characterized as enhanced physiological tremor, with tremor frequencies generally above 6 Hz. As the tremor frequency is higher than in ET, it has been concluded that the underlying mechanisms are different. Neurophysiological tests have shown that the frequency is mostly between 8 and 12 Hz, but others have also found a low-frequency component of between 4 and 7 Hz. The low-frequency but not the high-frequency component decreased following loading, and thus the investigators concluded that alcohol-withdrawal tremor might be a form of enhanced physiological tremor. However, withdrawal tremor has to be separated from the intention tremor of chronic alcoholism, which is likely to be related to cerebellar damage following alcohol ingestion. This is often associated with a 3-Hz stance tremor, which has been ascribed to anterior lobe damage caused by chronic alcoholism.

CONCLUSIONS

The study of tremor is one of the rapidly growing research fields of neurology, and new neurophysiological techniques and methods promise further insights into its mechanisms. Presently, hypotheses exist for almost every tremor encountered clinically and they need to be further substantiated by animal models. This is a necessary condition for the promotion of rational treatment for each tremor type. At present, almost all antitremor medications and surgical procedures for tremor have been recognized by chance. Not only molecular but also integrative, system-oriented basic and clinical research will be required.

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, De-438/4-1) and the German Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (Medizinisches Kompetenznetzwerk Parkinson).

REFERENCES

5. Allum JH, Dietz V, Freund HJ. Neuronal mechanisms un-
derlying physiological tremor. J Neuropsychiatry 1978;4:1:
567–571.
50. Deuschl G, Lucking CH, Quinten J. Orthostatischer Tremor:


156. Marsden CD, Meadows JC. The effect of adrenaline on the contraction of human muscle—one mechanism whereby adrenaline increases the amplitude of physiological tremor. J Physiol (Lond) 1968;194:70P–71P.


