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Abstract

Infusion of recipient regulatory T cells (Treg) promotes durable mixed hematopoietic chimerism and allograft tolerance in mice receiving allogeneic BMT with minimal conditioning. We applied this strategy in a Cynomolgus macaque model.
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ABSTRACT

Background: Infusion of recipient regulatory T cells (Treg) promotes durable mixed hematopoietic chimerism and allograft tolerance in mice receiving allogeneic BMT with minimal conditioning. We applied this strategy in a Cynomolgus macaque model.

Methods: CD4+CD25high Treg that were polyclonally expanded in culture were highly suppressive in vitro and maintained high expression of FoxP3. Eight monkeys underwent nonmyeloablative conditioning and MHC-mismatched BMT with or without Treg infusion. Renal transplantation (from the same BMT donor) was performed 4 months post-BMT without immunosuppression to assess for robust donor-specific tolerance.

Results: Transient mixed chimerism, without significant T cell chimerism, was achieved in the animals that received BMT without Treg (N=3). In contrast, the 2 of 5 recipients of Treg + BMT that were evaluable displayed chimerism in all lineages, including T cells, for up to 335 days post-BMT. Importantly, in the animal that survived long-term, >90% of donor T cells were CD45RA+CD31+, suggesting they were new thymic emigrants. In this animal, the delayed (to 4 months) donor kidney graft was accepted >294 days without immunosuppression, whereas non-Treg BMT recipients rejected delayed donor kidneys within 3-4 weeks. Early cytomegalovirus reactivation and treatment was associated with early failure of chimerism, regardless of Treg administration.

Conclusions: Our studies provide proof-of-principle that, in the absence of early CMV reactivation (and BM-toxic antiviral therapy), co-transplantation of host Treg can promote prolonged and high levels of multilineage allogeneic chimerism and robust tolerance to the donor.
INTRODUCTION

CD4+FoxP3+ regulatory T cells (Treg) modulate auto- and alloimmune responses. Induction of kidney allograft tolerance, via transient mixed hematopoietic chimerism and nonmyeloablative conditioning has been achieved in large animal models, and humans. However, kidney allograft tolerance was achieved in only 60-70% of cynomolgus monkeys (cynos) and humans, and tolerance could not be readily extended to islet, heart or lung allografts in monkeys. While durable mixed chimerism has been achieved with total lymphoid radiation, anti-thymocyte globulin and donor kidney transplantation in the HLA-identical transplant setting, this approach has not yet succeeded in achieving durable chimerism or tolerance across HLA barriers. Another approach achieves renal allograft tolerance with development of full donor chimerism across extensive HLA barriers, but the full donor chimerism likely reflects the more rigorous and potentially toxic host conditioning and/or graft-vs-host reactivity of the infused donor T cells, which eliminates recipient hematopoiesis, and high rates of opportunistic infection were observed. Mixed chimerism, in contrast, provides a steady supply of recipient-derived antigen presenting cells (APCs), conferring superior ability to mount cytotoxic T cell responses that clear viral infections compared to full chimeras. Thus, the reliable achievement of durable mixed chimerism across HLA barriers, with its potential to induce tolerance to any type of donor organ and to cure congenital hematologic disorders, remains an important and elusive goal in humans.

In mice, adoptive transfer of recipient blood-derived natural Treg (referred as Treg) at the time of BMT with minimal conditioning regimen permitted the establishment of permanent
hematopoietic mixed chimerism and skin allograft tolerance. We have adapted the use of Treg for the above-mentioned cyno model that otherwise achieves only transient mixed hematopoietic chimerism and which has been extensively characterized. We tested the hypothesis that the addition of expanded recipient Treg cells to the "standard" conditioning protocol would promote durable chimerism and allow acceptance of a donor kidney after a marked delay of 4 months, when donor kidneys are uniformly rejected by transient chimeras prepared with this protocol.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Male adult cynos (Charles River Primates, Wilmington, MA and Sanofi-Synthelabo, Bridgewater, NJ) were used. All procedures were approved by the IACUC of Columbia University and Massachusetts General Hospital (MGH). Both are AAALAC international accredited institutions.

**Cynomolgus MHC genotyping**

PBMCs were genotyped at the University of Wisconsin Primate Research Center Laboratory http://www.primate.wisc.edu/wprc/services/genetics.html.

**Conditioning regimen**

Recipients of MHC mismatched donor BMT (Table 1 and Figure S1, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TP/B373) underwent the "standard" conditioning regimen as previously
described \(^6,32\) +/- Treg (Figure 1A). Cyclosporine levels were maintained between 200-400ng/mL.

**Treg sorting and expansion**

The 1.0% of CD4+ T cells expressing the highest levels of CD25 were sorted (FACSAria or Influx, BD Biosciences, Billerica, MA) and plated (1x10^5 cells/cm\(^2\)) on fibroblasts (L929) (10x10^5 cells/cm\(^2\)) expressing human CD32 (FcR), CD58 (LFA-3) and CD80 \(^33,34\) (referred as artificial APCs (aAPC)) in combination with human recombinant IL-2 (200 U/ml), anti-CD3 (SP-34) 100ng/mL and rapamycin 100 μg/mL (Sigma-Aldrich, St Louis, MO) for 7 days. Growth medium consisted of RPMI-1640 (Gibco), fetal calf serum (FCS) (Gibco), L-glutamine, penicillin/streptomycin and nonessential amino acids. After 7 days, cells were replated with irradiated donor PBMCs (1 PBMC to 1 Treg) and IL-2 (200 U/ml), anti-CD3 (SP-34) 1 μg/ml or alternatively in combination with aAPCs (3x10^5 - 5x10^5 cells per cm\(^2\)) (Table 2). Cells were cultured for another 7 days, then split and cultured for another 7 days. When irradiated aAPCs were used, Treg were cultured for an additional 5 days in the presence of rapamycin 100 ng/ml (Table 2). After expansion, cells were cryopreserved in FCS (Gibco) with 5% DMSO for future use.

**BMT**

Bone marrow (BM) was harvested aseptically from donor iliac bones by multiple percutaneous aspirations or surgically from the vertebrae. BM cells (1.3 - 3.0 x 10^8 mononuclear cells/kg) were infused intravenously. CD34\(^+\) content was 1% (+/- 0.4%), as determined by flow cytometry.
Kidney transplantation

The details of the kidney transplant procedure were reported previously\(^3\). Kidneys were transplanted between day 119-134 post BMT. Recipients underwent unilateral native nephrectomy and ligation of the contralateral ureter on the day of transplant. The remaining native kidney was removed ~100 days after transplantation.

Flow cytometric analyses, detection of chimerism and cell sorting

Whole blood was lysed and labeled with a combination of the following mAbs: CD3 PerCPCy5.5 (SP34.2), CD4-APC (L200), CD4-PE (L200), CD8-APC (SK1), CD11b-PE (ICRF44), CD20-PE (2H7), CD25-PE (BC96), CD31-PE (WM59), CD56-PE (MY31), pan-MHC A.B.C-PE (W6/32), FOXP3-PE (236A/E7). For chimerism analysis, we used H38 (anti-BW6, One Lambda, Inc., Canoga Park, CA, USA). The recipient and donor pairs were chosen based on their MHC haplotypes and H38 expression. The fluorescence of the stained samples was analyzed using FACS Calibur and FlowJo software.

Mixed lymphocyte reactions (MLRs) and Treg suppression assays.

MLRs were performed as previously described\(^6\). In addition, Treg were titrated for their specificity in suppressing host anti-donor versus third party and donor anti-host versus third party responses. Donor or host PBMC responders were stimulated with irradiated host, donor or third party PBMCs. Host nonirradiated Treg were added to the culture and pulsed with tritiated thymidine 4 days after initiation of culture and read in a beta counter as previously described (6).
Treg were also tested for suppression of anti-CD2-, -CD3- and -CD28-coated NHP activation bead-mediated activation (Miltenyi Biotec) at 1 bead to every 2 PBMCs.

RESULTS

Expansion, phenotype and suppression of cyno CD4+CD25high cells. An average of 118,906.88 ± 9,588 CD4+CD25high cells were sorted from each blood draw. Usually a 10-100 fold expansion was achieved within the first 7 (0.695x10^6 ± 0.175x10^6) to 14 (22.47x10^6 ± 4.3x10^6) days of culture (Figure 1b, representative lines). At the end of culture, Treg were analyzed for phenotype (Figure 1c) and function (Figures 2a,b) before cryopreservation.

Infused Treg expressed high levels of FOXP3 and CD25 (Figure 1c) (Table S1, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TP/B373). Inhibition of the proliferation of bead- (anti-CD2/CD3/CD28) stimulated autologous (cryopreserved pretransplant) PBMCs generally revealed >95% suppression at a 1:1 ratio of PBMCs:Treg (Figure 2a and 2b). The infused Treg varied in suppressive potency but all achieved 50% suppression at or above a 1:2 Treg:PBMC ratio (Figure 2a and b) (Table S1, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TP/B373).

We aimed to generate polyclonal, nonspecifically suppressive Treg lines with our expansion protocol. While donor PBMCs were added during the expansion period as a source of APCs, specificity studies on 2 different Treg lines (Figure 2c-f) revealed similar suppression of host anti-donor, host anti-third party, anti-host and donor anti-third party responses.
Proof of concept that Treg infusion can prolong multilineage donor cell chimerism

We tested whether polyclonal Treg could prolong donor hematopoietic chimerism compared to controls, which historically achieved transient (30-60 days) chimerism\(^6\). Three control animals were treated as previously described\(^6\), except they did not receive a donor kidney graft on Day 0. Five animals received the same treatment plus Treg infusions posttransplant. These 5 animals (M5210, 90-39, 6c64, 6c1, 90-15) received expanded polyclonal autologous Treg (15-53x10\(^6\) per infusion) during the first week posttransplant (days 0,2,5,7) and on day+50 (Table S1, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TP/B373). Total dose was 88-96x10\(^6\) /kg. Two Treg recipients, M5210 and 90-39, developed significant multilineage chimerism (Figure 3 top row). While M5210 survived long-term, animal 90-39 died of CMV disease on day 43 with significant donor chimerism in all lineages (Figure 3). The chimerism in M5210 (Figure 3, top left panel) persisted longer than ever observed in this model, remaining detectable in the lymphoid, monocyte and granulocyte lineages until days 292, 224 and 335, respectively.

We monitored CMV viremia, and when it exceeded 10,000 copies/mL (initially) or 1,000 copies/mL (after our experience in the first few animals) we treated animals with Ganciclovir and/or Foscarnet. Animal 6c64 was given antiviral prophylaxis to prevent CMV reactivation and developed only low and short-lived chimerism (Figure 3) with prolonged pancytopenia, suggesting that BM-toxic effects of the antiviral treatment may have impaired both donor and recipient hematopoiesis. Two additional Treg recipients, 6c1 and 90-15, experienced CMV reactivation with high viral loads and required treatment with antivirals at high doses within the first week posttransplant. These animals developed only short-lived and low levels of chimerism (6c64, 6c1, 90-15 shown in Figure 3, middle row) in association with protracted cytopenias,
often requiring transfusions. These results suggest that CMV reactivation and/or the bone marrow toxic effects by early antiviral therapy may have potentially interfered with initial engraftment of the donor marrow.

Of the 3 control animals (90-47,90-7,90-1) receiving BMT without Treg infusion, 1 (animal 90-47) died of CMV prior to the development and implementation of the CMV surveillance and treatment protocol, without showing any significant chimerism (Figure 3 bottom row, left panel). The 2 other controls survived long-term. Animal 90-7 also developed low-level, short-lived chimerism. The third control animal, 90-1, was unique in that both it and the donor were negative for CMV (no additional CMV negative donor:recipient pairs were ever identified). Animal 90-1 (Figure 4 bottom row) showed multilineage chimerism that extended beyond the other controls but was within similar range as that previously reported in this model. 

In summary, recipients that reactivated CMV, regardless of Treg infusion, succumbed to disease if not treated promptly with antivirals. Early CMV reactivation and its treatment or prophylaxis were associated with very short-lived chimerism. Only 2 animals survived without antiviral treatment (1 Treg recipient and 1 CMV-negative non-Treg control.) The Treg recipient, M5210, had only a very low level CMV viremia (<1000 copies/mL) and exhibited the longest documented donor chimerism ever seen with this or related protocols over a period of more than twenty years.
Only Treg recipients developed T cell chimerism

The 3 evaluable (ie that were not treated early with anti-virals) animals that had measurable lymphoid chimerism included Treg recipients M5210 and 90-39 and CMV(-) control recipient 90-1 (Figure 4). However, in the non-Treg recipient 90-1 (the control animal in which donor and recipient were CMV negative), lymphoid chimerism included NK cells (data not shown) and B cells (eg Figure 4b left), but did not include significant donor T cell chimerism. In contrast, both evaluable Treg recipients had not only B cell and NK cell chimerism, but also significant CD4 and CD8 T cell chimerism (Figure 4b center and 4c right). In M5210, the long-lived Treg recipient, T cell chimerism first appeared 45 days post-BMT (2.5 weeks after cyclosporine had been discontinued) and increased significantly on day+60 post-BMT. Similarly, Treg recipient 90-39 (which died of CMV on day+43) had a spike in donor T cell chimerism in the peripheral blood 1 month after BMT (at the time immunosuppression was discontinued) (Figure 4b right) and still had peripheral blood T cell chimerism (5%, mostly in CD4 T cells) on the day of euthanasia. These results represent the first time that T cell chimerism has been observed using this nonmyeloablative monkey BMT model and suggest that Treg promote T cell chimerism.

We then investigated, in the only long-lived Treg recipient chimera, the phenotype of host and donor T cells, including CD31, a marker expressed on new thymic emigrants and CD45RA, a marker of naïve T cells, among both donor and recipient T cells (Figure 5). Almost all donor CD4 and CD8 T cells in M5210 expressed CD31 throughout follow up (Figures 5a and 5b. Consistent with de novo origin in the recipient thymus, the expression of CD45RA was also very high on donor CD4+ T cells (Figure 5c), peaking close to 90%. For recipient T cells, expression of CD31 in both CD4 and CD8 cell populations was significantly less. However, CD31
expression increased markedly in host T cells (80%) early after the transplant (Figure 5 a-b), suggesting that a wave of new host T cells was released from the thymus after transplant. The percentage of host-derived CD31+ T cells slowly decreased from day+/-20 until day +50. CD45RA expression on recipient CD4+ Tcells peaked at 50% at about 1 month posttransplant. The expression of CD31 and CD45RA was lower in animals that did not develop donor T cell chimerism, as shown in Figure 5 a-c (bottom rows) for animal 90-7, a control BMT recipient that did not receive Treg. In summary, donor T cells exhibited high levels of CD45RA and CD31, suggesting de novo development from the thymus in animal M5210.

**Kinetics of CD3+CD4+ FOXP3+ cells in the circulation following infusion**

Peripheral Treg counts and percentages were similar in the 3 controls and the 2 evaluable Treg recipients that developed high levels of chimerism (M5210 and 90-39) (Figure 5d). Treg were largely of recipient origin (Figure S2, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TP/B373). A peak was observed on day +50 in M5210 after the infusion of 28 million Treg/kg, which was given in an effort to reverse a sudden increase in the absolute number of CD95+CD28- effector CD8 T cells (Figure 5e) of mostly recipient origin (Figure 4b middle panel) twenty days after the discontinuation of cyclosporine A (levels on day+48 were subtherapeutic at 118ng/mL and low on day+53 at 35 ng/mL). CD8 T cell counts declined after the infusion of Treg on day+50 (Figure 5e). Both the T cell chimerism (Figure 4b) and the myeloid chimerism (Figure 3 top left panel) increased shortly after the Treg infusion and remained stable for an additional 80 days (Figure 3 top left). Of note, on day 80 post BMT, there was a second increase in the absolute CD8 T cell count that was followed by a subsequent spontaneous Treg increase, after which CD8 counts normalized (Figure 5e).
In summary, infusion of Treg was associated with the development of T cell chimerism, prolonged multilineage chimerism and reversal of increasing recipient effector CD8+ T cell counts in animal M5210.

**Proof of principle; Persistent chimerism induced by Treg treatment was associated with in vitro donor-specific hyporesponsiveness and allograft tolerance**

M5210 showed donor-specific unresponsiveness in MLR at day 106, before kidney transplantation was performed (Figure 6b), whereas strong proliferative responses to the donor were present pre-BMT (Figure 6a). Similar responses to third party were observed pre and posttransplantation.

Animals were challenged with a solid organ allograft (a kidney from the same BMT donor) 4 months after the original BMT, without immunosuppression. Only 1 Treg recipient (M5210) was evaluable at the 4-month time point. At the time of kidney transplant, M5210 remained chimeric in all lineages (Figures 3 and 4). The recipient’s contralateral ureter was ligated on day 0 and on day 100 postkidney transplant the recipient’s contralateral kidney was removed. Serum creatinine levels (Figure 6e) remained normal and stable until the day of euthanasia 293 days postkidney transplant, demonstrating tolerance to the donor kidney. Histopathology on day+294 postkidney transplant showed no evidence for rejection in M5210 (Figure 6f).

Two control animals that underwent the same protocol (without Treg) were also grafted with a kidney from their same BM donor 4 months post-BMT. In contrast to M5210, the 2
controls rejected their donor kidneys within a month (Figure 6e), in line with previous results. The donor kidneys in nonchimeric control animals showed Banff grade 3 rejection (Figure 6 f & g) at the time of euthanasia. Nonchimeric Treg recipients (i.e. animals that received Treg but had short-lived hematopoietic chimerism in association with early CMV reactivation and treatment) retained anti-donor proliferative responses (Figure 6 c&d) and rejected donor kidneys on day+120 post BMT (n=2), similar to controls (data not shown). These results are proof of concept and suggestive of the importance of mixed chimerism in tolerance induction in this model. In contrast, no or minimal anti-donor alloantibody was detected in animals that rejected their donor kidneys (Figure S3, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TP/B373).

In summary, control recipients rejected the donor kidneys uniformly, while the only evaluable long-term surviving Treg recipient M5210 maintained normal kidney function until termination of the experiment. This result provides proof-of-principle that prolongation of chimerism using expanded Treg can promote more robust tolerance than that achieved in previous studies using this model.

DISCUSSION

Our studies provide proof-of-concept that expanded recipient-derived polyclonal Treg can increase and extend donor hematopoietic chimerism and promote robust allograft tolerance across MHC barriers in a NHP nonmyeloablative BMT model without an increased risk of GVHD. Infusion of Treg is an attractive approach to overcoming HvG responses, as it may further reduce the risk of GVHD rather than increasing this risk or the overall toxicity of the conditioning regimen like most other approaches.
Phase I clinical trials using Treg have shown safety\textsuperscript{38}, but efficacy remains to be proven. Both induced and natural Treg promoted engraftment, stable mixed chimerism and tolerance in mice under a minimal conditioning protocol in which the BM is otherwise rejected\textsuperscript{24,26}. We demonstrate in a monkey model that host Treg improved the level and duration of chimerism, extending it to the T cells. Moreover, robust donor-specific tolerance was achieved in 1 evaluable animal such that a donor kidney grafted at 4-months posttransplant was accepted without immunosuppression. Previous studies using this protocol without Treg, donor BM and kidney co-transplanted on day 0, were associated with long-term kidney graft survival in \textit{about} 60\% of animals\textsuperscript{6,39} and donor hematopoietic chimerism (in some animals reaching \textpm 85\%) consistently disappearing by day 60 post BMT\textsuperscript{32}. A delay in grafting a donor kidney to more than 3 months post-BMT was always associated with rejection of the donor graft \textsuperscript{27}.

Previous work in NHPs (rhesus macaques) using a nonmyeloablative BMT regimen with co-stimulatory blockade achieved prolonged levels of donor chimerism as long as basiliximab and belatacept were infused. Chimerism was lost after discontinuation of this treatment \textsuperscript{41} and allograft tolerance was not achieved. In contrast, an animal in our study retained chimerism to 335 days and accepted a donor kidney grafted at 4 months, despite stopping immunosuppressive monotherapy at 28 days post-BMT.

In mice we have shown that the presence of T cell chimerism is associated with early and long-term deletional tolerance, because thymic engraftment of donor T cell progenitors reflects successful ablation of intrathymic alloreactivity, permitting intrathymic engraftment of both thymocyte progenitors and donor APCs that contribute to negative selection of donor-reactive T
cells\textsuperscript{22,42-44}. For the first time in the more than twenty years using this monkey nonmyeloablative BMT regimen, we have obtained evidence of de novo donor thymopoiesis, with T cell chimerism consisting of recent thymic emigrants in the peripheral blood. CD45RA and CD31 expression suggested that almost all donor cells were newly-developed, while recipient T cells were a mix of new thymic emigrants and naïve or memory T cells that evaded host conditioning.

CMV reactivation presented a major impediment to achieving the goals of our studies. While this complication has not been described in previous studies using the model we adopted, uniform CMV reactivation has been reported in cynomolgus monkeys receiving Thymoglobulin\textsuperscript{46}. CMV reactivation was not directly caused by the infusion of Treg since control animals had a similar rate of reactivation. Only 1 animal, M5210, was able to control CMV without antiviral treatment. Because CMV itself can directly affect BM function\textsuperscript{47,48} and antiviral treatments are known to be BM toxic, modifications to the protocol are needed\textsuperscript{49}. The increased duration and level of chimerism observed in the 1 CMV-negative (non-Treg recipient) transplant (albeit without T cell chimerism or tolerance) supports a direct role for CMV and/or its treatment in limiting hematopoietic engraftment. We are currently exploring substitution of the mTOR inhibitor rapamycin for CSA in order to better control CMV reactivation and enhance Treg function, expansion and survival \textsuperscript{24,50}.

In summary, we provide proof-of-concept that BM plus expanded cryopreserved polyclonal recipient Treg can prolong donor chimerism, promote T cell chimerism and induce robust tolerance without an increase of toxic conditioning intensity or GVHD risk in a preclinical
monkey model. Successful refinement of this protocol has the potential to be translated to the clinic.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

Figure 1
Transplant scheme and Treg expansion. (A) Transplant protocol. (B). Expansion of Treg lines from 4 animals over 4 weeks. The average number of cells for each animal at each expansion time point is graphed (SEM) (bars). (C) A representative phenotype of Treg at the end of culture, with high levels of CD25 and of FOXP3.

Figure 2
Culture of cynomolgus regulatory T cells. (A) Highest quality Treg suppressed over 50% the proliferation of bead stimulated (anti-CD2CD3CD28) PBMCs at 1:32 Treg:PBMC ratio. (B) All Treg lines achieved at least 50% suppression of proliferation at a 1:2 Treg:PBMC ratio. Microsuppression assays shown. (C-F) MLRs assessing the specificity of host Treg. Host (C,D) and donor (E,F) PBMC responders were plated with either host, donor or third party stimulators. Host Treg were added to the cultures at the indicated PBMC:Treg ratios (1:1, 1:2 and 1:4) and assessed for suppressive activity. All data points represent means of triplicates. Error bars indicate SE. Similar results were obtained in a repeat experiment (not shown).

Figure 3
Summary of percent donor chimerism. Granulocyte (black circles), monocyte (blue triangles) and lymphocyte (red squares) lineages of each animal are shown. Animals with boxed identification numbers (M5210, 90-39, and 90-1) received no antiviral treatments. Animals whose figures have a cross died or were euthanized due to untreated or unmanageable CMV
disease. Animal 90-47 was serologically CMV- pre-Tx, but developed CMV following BMT from a CMV+ donor that had been serologically negative on initial screen. Animal 90-1, who was CMV- pre-Tx and received a BMT from a CMV- donor, never developed CMV. The 5 animals shown on the top part of the figure received BMT+Treg, while the 3 below the dotted line received BMT without Treg.

**Figure 4**

Chimerism analysis of BMT recipients. (A) Representative flow cytometry of animal M5210 on day+99 post BMT. Donor chimerism is measured with the Bw6+ (MHC-I) marker. B cell (CD20+), monocyte (CD11b+) and T cell (CD3, CD4 and CD8) chimerism measured among cells with low/medium forward and side scatter (not shown). Granulocytes (CD11b+) were analyzed among cells with high forward and side scatter (not shown). (B) B Cell (black circle), CD4 (red triangle) and CD8 (blue square) T cell chimerism in the 3 animals with the highest and most prolonged chimerism. CMV- control animal 90-1 developed high levels B cell chimerism, but no T cell chimerism and chimerism declined after discontinuation of immunosuppression and was completely lost by day 110. Treg recipient M5210 developed high B cells chimerism and delayed, prolonged T cell chimerism in both CD4 and CD8 T cell lineages. Chimerism lasted over 300 days post BMT. Treg recipient 90-39 was euthanized at day 43 due to CMV disease. At the time of euthanasia B cell and CD4 T cell chimerism was detectable in the peripheral blood.

**Figure 5**

Immune reconstitution of animal M5210 Post BMT (+Treg) and 90-7 (control). (A-C top row M5210 and bottom row 90-7 control). Dotted lines indicate first detection of donor chimerism in
CD4 or CD8 T cells. (A-top) Total CD4 T cells (black circle) expressed CD31 at increased levels posttransplant. Donor CD4 T cells (blue squares) maintained high CD31 expression. Recipient-derived CD4 T cells (red triangles) expressed lower levels of CD31. (B-top) Total CD8 T cells expressed high CD31 levels following transplant (black circle). CD31 expression in host CD8 T cells (red triangles) decreased, however donor-derived cells (blue squares) maintained high CD31 expression long after transplant. (C-top) Donor-derived CD4 T cells (blue squares) expressed higher levels of CD45RA compared to recipient CD4 T cells (red triangles). In contrast animal 90-7 never exhibited any donor chimerism (A-C bottom row) and the levels of CD31 and CD45 were lower than those observed in M5210 donor (blue) T cell populations. (D) Average percentage of CD4 T cells expressing FOXP3 after transplant in Treg recipients (red triangles) (n=2 animals) compared to control animals (blue squares) (n=3). (E) Animal M5210 absolute Treg numbers (red triangles) compared to the absolute number of CD8 T cells (blue squares).

**Figure 6**

Tolerance to the donor in M5210 Treg recipient but not in control. (A) Pre-transplant MLR in animal M5210 demonstrates strong proliferative responses to donor (diagonal stripes) and weaker response to third party (horizontal stripes). (B) Day 106 Post-Tx MLR (before kidney allograft from the same BM donor). Proliferation is maintained to third party but not to donor. (C) Animals that lost chimerism never became tolerant nor showed decreased anti-donor proliferation. An example is shown in (C) pretransplant and (D) posttransplant day 78. (E) Following kidney transplant, creatinine levels in M5210 (black circle) stayed in the normal range while that in 2 control (non-Treg) animals (dashed grey lines) showed increases 2-3 weeks
following kidney transplant. (F,G) Kidney histopathology. Biopsies were taken from transplanted donor kidneys at the time of euthanasia. Shown is day+28 and day+294 (day of euthanasia) post kidney transplant in animals 90-1 (F) (control, left) and M5210 (G) (+Treg, right) respectively. 90-1 had extensive lymphocyte infiltrates scored as a Banff grade 3 rejection, while M5210 showed no signs of rejection.
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Legend:
TBI = total body irradiation
T1 = thymic irradiation
ATGAM = horse anti-thymocyte globulin
BMT = bone marrow transplantation
Treg = regulatory T cell
CyA = cyclosporin A
Tx = transplantation
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A) M5210 Pre-BMT
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Table 1. Donor: recipient MHC mismatches (refer to Figure S1, SDC, http://links.lww.com/TP/B373). All recipients had donors with 1 or both MHC-I and II alleles mismatched.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recipient</th>
<th>Tregs</th>
<th>CMV (serology)</th>
<th>Mismatch in MHC-I</th>
<th>Mismatch in MHC-II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>90-47</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2 haplotype</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 haplotype</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2 haplotype</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5210</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+*</td>
<td>2 haplotype</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-39</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
<td>2 haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-15</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c64</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
<td>2 haplotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
<td>1 haplotype</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Animal M5210 was not tested but assumed to be positive.
### TABLE 2. Treg protocols

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagents</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 7</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
<th>Day 21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CD3</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor PBMCs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial APCs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapamycin</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reagents</th>
<th>Day 0</th>
<th>Day 7</th>
<th>Day 14</th>
<th>Day 21</th>
<th>Day 26</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-CD3</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor PBMCs</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artificial APCs</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapamycin</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CD3+CD4+ lymphocytes

Day 120  Day 134  Day 160  Day 238

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Day</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>134</th>
<th>160</th>
<th>238</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donor T cell (%) chimerism</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor Treg (%) chimerism</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Total CD4+Foxp3+ per uL</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Supplemental Figure 3
### Supplemental Table 1. Details of the regulatory T cells infused to recipients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ANIMAL</th>
<th>INFUSION</th>
<th>LINES INFUSED</th>
<th>#Tregs infused</th>
<th>FoxP3 expression</th>
<th>% suppression at 1:1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M5210</td>
<td>d0</td>
<td>Frozen #1</td>
<td>15x10^6/kg</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>&gt;97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0 kg</td>
<td>d+2</td>
<td>Frozen #1</td>
<td>20x10^6/kg</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>&gt;97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d+5</td>
<td>Frozen #1</td>
<td>15x10^6/kg</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d+7</td>
<td>Fresh #2</td>
<td>40x10^6/kg</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>d+50</td>
<td>Frozen #2</td>
<td>28x10^6/kg</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 90-39  | d0       | Frozen #1     | 16.12x10^6/kg  | 97.40%           | 91%                 |
| 6.2kg  | d+2      | Fresh #3      | 20.16x10^6/kg  | 64.14%           | ND                  |
|        | d+5      | Frozen #2     | 16.12x10^6/kg  | 95.55%           | ND                  |
|        | d+7      | Fresh #4      | 40.32x10^6/kg  | ND               | ND                  |

| 90-15  | d0       | Frozen #1     | 15.13x10^6/kg  | >95%             | 96%                 |
| 7.6kg  | d+2      | Fresh #3      | 19.73x10^6/kg  | ND               | 96%                 |
|        | d+5      | Frozen #2     | 15.13x10^6/kg  | ND               | 96%                 |
|        | d+7      | Fresh #4      | 39.47x10^6/kg  | ND               | ND                  |
|        | d+50     | Fresh #5      | 27.63x10^6/kg  | ND               | ND                  |

| 6c1    | d0       | Frozen #1     | 15x10^6/kg     | 86%              | >95%                |
| 8kg    | d+2      | Frozen #1     | 20x10^6/kg     | 86%              | >95%                |
|        | d+5      | Frozen #1     | 15x10^6/kg     | 86%              | >95%                |
|        | d+7      | Frozen #1     | 40x10^6/kg     | 86%              | >95%                |
|        | d+50     | Fresh #2      | 28x10^6/kg     | ND               | ND                  |

| 6c64   | d0       | Frozen #1     | 19.74x10^6/kg  | 70%              | 83%                 |
| 7.6kg  | d+2      | Frozen #1     | 26.31x10^6/kg  | 70%              | 83%                 |
|        | d+5      | Frozen #1     | 19.74x10^6/kg  | 70%              | 83%                 |
|        | d+7      | Frozen #1     | 52.63x10^6/kg  | 70%              | 83%                 |
|        | d+50     | Frozen #1     | 36.8x10^6/kg   | 70%              | 83%                 |

ND= not done