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PREDICTING MOTOR IMPROVEMENT AFTER STROKE WITH CLINICAL ASSESSMENT AND DIFFUSION TENSOR IMAGING

Reliable predictors of motor improvement in individual patients after stroke are scarce. Acute determination of upper limb Fugl-Meyer assessment (FMA) appears to have predictive value.1,2 This approach predicts that patients will improve approximately 70% of the difference between the maximum upper extremity FMA score and the score first tested for a given individual (recovery-typical). However, a significant subset of patients improves much less than predicted (recovery-atypical). Alternative models using other techniques like diffusion tensor imaging (DTI)3,4 also fail to predict recovery in some patients. Here, we show that a combination of FMA and DTI obtained in the first weeks after stroke accurately discriminate between recovery-typical and recovery-atypical patients. In addition, we identify an alternative set of model parameters required for predictions in the recovery-atypical subgroup.

We examined 25 patients (mean age 61 years, 11 female) with first ischemic stroke. Patients had a mean NIH Stroke Scale score of 11 (table e-1 on the Neurology® Web site at Neurology.org). FMA5 and DTI (appendix e-1) were obtained 2 weeks and 3 months after stroke onset. The FMA was performed by a trained occupational therapist blinded to the DTI results. Corticospinal tract (CST) asymmetry was calculated from the mean fractional anisotropy (FA) of the CST:

\[
\text{CST asymmetry} = \frac{\text{FAcontralesional} - \text{FAipsilesional}}{\text{FAcontralesional} + \text{FAipsilesional}}.
\]

As with previous observations,3,4 patients with greater CST asymmetry at 2 weeks had proportionally more severe motor deficits, both 2 weeks and 3 months after stroke onset (Spearman \(r = -0.8, p < 0.0001\), figure 1, A and B). However, CST asymmetry was not significantly related to FMA improvement from 2 weeks to 3 months (figure 1C). These findings were also confirmed when FAipsilesional/FAcontralesional ratios were used as predictors rather than CST asymmetry.

As expected, a majority of patients followed the FMA-based model predictions (figure 1D; filled symbols), while a subgroup of patients exhibited recovery-atypical profiles (figure 1D; unfilled circles). In order to further characterize the 2 patient subgroups, we computed the model residuals as the difference between the predicted FMA improvement and the observed FMA improvement. The residuals clearly differentiate recovery-typical (figure 1E; filled bars) from recovery-atypical patients (unfilled bars). All recovery-atypical patients after stroke onset. The FMA was performed by a trained occupational therapist blinded to the DTI results. Corticospinal tract (CST) asymmetry was calculated from the mean fractional anisotropy (FA) of the CST:
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patients (model residuals >20) had low initial FMA scores <25. However, this information alone was not capable of segregating the subgroups, as 3 of the 12 patients with initial scores <25 actually displayed typical recovery profiles (figure 1, D and F; filled diamonds). When recovery-atypical patients were excluded, a least-squares linear fit of the maximum possible improvement vs the observed improvement yielded a slope of 0.76, which approaches the values possible improvement vs the observed improvement.

Conversely, recovery-atypical patients only recovered up to 30% of the difference between maximum and initial FMA.

Finally, we combined clinical and DTI assessments and observed that patients with greater CST asymmetry had proportionally greater FMA model residuals (figure 1F; p = 0.6, p = 0.0025). A logistic regression with CST asymmetry as a predictor successfully discriminated between recovery-typical and recovery-atypical patients. Leave-one-out cross-validation confirmed a positive predictive value of 0.75, a negative predictive value of 0.82, and an accuracy of 0.80 (p < 0.0008, permutation test). All patients with a CST asymmetry >0.080 showed atypical recovery, while all patients with CST asymmetry <0.058 improved as predicted by the model (vertical lines in figure 1).

Lesion size (p = −0.2, p = 0.5), lesion extent in the primary motor cortex (p = 0.0, p = 0.8), initial NIH Stroke Scale score (p = 0.3, p = 0.14), and patient age (p = 0.1, p = 0.7) were not associated with model residuals (see also the lesion maps in figure e-1). A partial correlation including these factors as confounding covariates remained significant (p = 0.6, p = 0.0026). This confirms that our observation is specific to CST integrity. The change of CST asymmetry from 2 weeks to 3 months after stroke was also significantly associated with model residuals (n = 18, p = 0.57, p = 0.013) and the correlation at 3 months was even greater than at 2 weeks (p = 0.7, p = 0.0014) (figure e-2).

These findings demonstrate that a combination of FMA and DTI obtained in the first weeks after stroke successfully predicts impairment improvements after stroke. This is in agreement with a recent study and extends previous algorithms for prediction of the severity of impairment at the chronic stage to prediction of improvement gains. Patients with mild degeneration observed in the CST will recover about 70% of impaired upper limb function, while expected recovery in patients with severe CST degeneration drops to approximately <30%. Recovery of patients with moderate CST degeneration remains relatively unpredictable despite DTI and clinical assessments. Additional variables, such as functional and structural neuroimaging parameters, may further stratify these patients in the future.
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