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Abstract

Among the respiratory events that may occur during nocturnal noninvasive ventilation (NIV), differentiating between central and obstructive events requires appropriate indicators of respiratory effort. The aim of the present study was to assess pulse transit time (PTT) as an indicator of respiratory effort under NIV in comparison with oesophageal pressure (P(oes)). During wakefulness, PTT was compared to P(oes) during spontaneous breathing and under NIV with or without induced leaks in 11 healthy individuals. In addition, the contribution of PTT versus P(oes) to differentiation of central from obstructive respiratory events occurring under NIV during sleep was evaluated in 10 patients with obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS). From spontaneous breathing to NIV without leaks, respiratory effort decreased significantly whereas, with increasing level of leaks, there was a significant increase in respiratory effort. Changes in PTT accurately reflected changes in P(oes). In OHS patients during nocturnal NIV, intraclass correlation coefficients between P(oes) and PTT were 0.970 for total number of events and 0.970 for [...]
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NIV. Because NIV modifies intrathoracic pressures, and thus may affect blood pressure oscillations associated with pleural pressure swings, the contribution of PTT measurements in this setting must be evaluated. Also, NIV reduces respiratory effort, which may therefore affect the sensitivity of PTT in detecting changes in inspiratory effort [10].

We undertook the present study to assess the reliability of PTT for: 1) quantifying respiratory effort in comparison with $P_{oes}$ in healthy awake individuals, while breathing spontaneously, then under NIV with or without unintentional leaks; and 2) for differentiating central from obstructive events occurring during sleep under NIV in patients with obesity hypoventilation syndrome (OHS).

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Daytime assessment of respiratory effort in healthy individuals**

The objective of this experiment was to analyse the contribution of PTT to identifying and quantifying changes in respiratory muscle effort using $P_{oes}$ as the gold standard (fig. 1).

**Set-up and measurements**

Healthy subjects were studied while awake and breathing spontaneously or under NIV. Respiratory effort was measured by $P_{oes}$ as reference method and compared to PTT as previously described [7, 8]. Respiratory flow and mask pressure (pneumotachograph), thoracic and abdominal movements, arterial oxygen saturation, and ECG were monitored by polygraphy (Deltamed™, San Carlos, CA, USA).

After 5 min of recording during spontaneous breathing, subjects were ventilated consecutively with two different bi-level pressure support devices (GoodKnight 425ST (Tyco Healthcare Inc., Nancy, France) and VPAP III ST (ResMed, North Ryde, Australia)). Two devices were used because we hypothesised that their pressurisation characteristics, and thus their impact on respiratory effort, might differ. The same settings were used in all situations: inspiratory positive airway pressure (IPAP) 15 cmH2O, expiratory positive airway pressure 5 cmH2O, backup rate 8 per minute and inspiratory time 1.8 s. A leak generator was used as described in a previous study [11]. The system included a computer-driven solenoid valve that could be opened from 0 to 10 mm, thus generating a maximal unintentional leak of 60 L min$^{-1}$ at 15 cmH2O of IPAP. Four levels of leaks were generated (0, 24, 40 and 60 L min$^{-1}$) in a random order for 5 min each.

**Digital signal processing and analysis of polygraph recordings**

All the digital signal processing and analysis was performed using dedicated engineering Matlab software (version 6.5.0; The MathWorks Inc., Natick, MA, USA). We first selected, by visual analysis, valid portions of the tracings with at least 10 cycles with no artefacts on any of the analysed signals (flow, PTT and $P_{oes}$). Limits of each respiratory cycle were automatically defined on the flow signal as previously described by Bachy et al. [12]. The $P_{oes}$ signal classically presents a specific high-frequency noise generated by the heart beats; this could affect quantification of effort when calculating the area under the curve of the negative deflection of the $P_{oes}$ signal. Adaptive filtering using a “Least Mean Square” algorithm was used to solve this problem. The beginning of the respiratory cycle was used to readjust the zero level for each cycle on the $P_{oes}$ signal. This was necessary to correct for the intermittent drift that occurred for the $P_{oes}$ signal. The area under the curve of the inspiratory $P_{oes}$ signal was then calculated, for each cycle. PTT swings were quantified as previously described [13] by measuring the difference between the expiratory peak and the inspiratory nadir for each cycle (fig. 1c).

**Use of PTT as a surrogate marker of inspiratory effort to discriminate between central and obstructive respiratory events during sleep in patients with OHS**

The aim of this experiment was to determine whether it was possible, based on PTT, to determine whether decreases in flow occurring under NIV during sleep were of a central or obstructive nature, using $P_{oes}$ as the gold standard.
set-up, definition of events and measurements

Patients with OHS chronically treated at home with nocturnal bi-level positive pressure ventilation for ≥3 months were included. OHS was defined as the association of obesity (body mass index (BMI) >30 kg·m⁻²) and daytime hypercapnia without any other obstructive or restrictive pulmonary pathology [14, 15]. Exclusion criteria were: age <18 yrs; forced expiratory volume in 1 s/forced vital capacity ratio <70%; history of an acute episode of cardiac and/or respiratory failure within the previous 3 months; allergy to latex; and a history of oesophageal varices precluding the use of an oesophageal probe. PSG under NIV was performed as previously described by our team [16, 17] using a single bi-level device (VPAP ST III; ResMed, Sydney, Australia) with the patients’ usual ventilator settings (table 1). Respiratory events under NIV were scored manually according to criteria proposed by Gonzalez-Bermejo et al. [4].

Data analysis

Scoring of respiratory events focused on central, mixed and obstructive events. Figure 2 shows representative examples of how events were identified as either central or obstructive (partial or total upper airway obstruction with or without reduction of ventilatory drive) by using PTT and Poes.

First, respiratory events were scored by one observer based on Poes alone while blinded to the PTT signal. Secondly, events were scored using the PTT signal as indicator of respiratory effort, while the same observer was blinded to the Poes trace.

Ethical approval

All subjects provided written informed consent. Study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Grenoble University Hospital Center (Grenoble, France). This study is registered at www.clinicaltrials.gov with identifier NCT00983411.

Statistical analysis

Normality of the data was checked using tests of skewness and kurtosis, and equality of variance was assessed by Levene’s test. Data are presented as median (interquartile range) when appropriate. PTT and Poes values are reported as percentage of value during spontaneous breathing.

Comparisons between experimental conditions were analysed by two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with a repeated factor “condition” (no leaks, and calibrated leaks of 24, 40 and 60 L·min⁻¹ versus spontaneous breathing), and a repeated factor “ventilator” (GoodKnight 425ST versus VPAP III). Bonferroni’s correction was used for the paired t-tests.

Comparisons between PTT and Poes for the total number of events and percentage of central events occurring under NIV in OHS patients was expressed as proposed by Bland and Altman [18]. The agreement between PTT and Poes for events occurring during sleep was quantified using an intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) calculated with SPSS Statistics 17 (SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA) [19]. ICC values between 0.51 and 0.70 describe moderate reproducibility, and values above 0.71,

**TABLE 1**

Respiratory function, blood gases (room air) and noninvasive ventilation (NIV) settings of patients with obesity hypoventilation syndrome

| VC L | 3.2±1 |
| VC % pred | 88±23 |
| FEV₁ L | 2.4±0.8 |
| FEV₁ % pred | 83±25 |
| FEV₁/VC | 79.3±8.6 |
| FEV₁/FVC % pred | 94.3±1.1 |
| Arterial blood gases |
| pH | 7.40±0.03 |
| Pao₂ kPa | 10±1.7 |
| PacO₂ kPa | 5.9±0.8 |
| HCO₃⁻ mmol·L⁻¹ | 27±1.7 |
| SSO₂ % | 95±1.7 |
| NIV settings |
| Back-up rate cycles·min⁻¹ | 13±2 |
| IPAP cmH₂O | 19±2 |
| EPAP cmH₂O | 11±2 |

Data are presented as mean±SD. VC: vital capacity; % pred: % predicted; FEV₁: forced expiratory volume in 1 s; FVC: forced vital capacity; Pao₂: arterial oxygen tension; PacO₂: arterial carbon dioxide tension; HCO₃⁻: bicarbonate; SSO₂: arterial oxygen saturation; IPAP: inspiratory positive airway pressure; EPAP: expiratory positive airway pressure.
good reproducibility. Correlations were assessed by Pearson’s or Spearman’s coefficients depending upon the normality of distribution.

For all tests, a level of significance of p<0.05 was used. Statistical analysis was performed with NCSS 97 software (NCSS, Kaysville, UT, USA).

RESULTS
Daytime assessment of respiratory effort in healthy individuals
11 subjects (six males and five females; age 31±9 yrs; BMI 22.3±2.5 kg·m⁻²) were included. Respiratory effort was assessed simultaneously by oesophageal probe and PTT. Subjects were recorded: 1) during spontaneous breathing; 2) under NIV without leaks; and 3) under NIV with induced calibrated leaks applied in a random order. The mathematical processing of PTT and Poes is shown in figure 1. A two-way, repeated-measures ANOVA with a repeated factor “condition” (no leaks, or calibrated leaks of 24, 40 and 60 L·min⁻¹ at inspiratory pressure versus spontaneous breathing) and a repeated factor “ventilator” (GoodKnight 425ST versus VPAP III) showed no effect related to the ventilator. As such, figure 3 and table 2 present mean values for the two ventilators. There was a significant decrease in respiratory effort when shifting from spontaneous breathing to NIV without leaks (fig. 3). This change was highly significant with either PTT or Poes. When leaks were increased (from 0 to 60 L·min⁻¹), increase in respiratory effort was documented similarly by PTT and Poes (table 2).

Assessment of OHS patients during sleep
10 patients with OHS (six males and four females; aged 56±12 yrs; BMI 35±5 kg·m⁻²) were included in the night-time study. Table 1 summarises respiratory function, blood gases and usual NIV settings of these patients.

TABLE 2
Respiratory effort measured by pulse transit time (PTT) or oesophageal pressure (Poes) in awake normal subjects during spontaneous breathing (SB) or under noninvasive ventilation (NIV) at different levels of leaks

| SB | NIV | p-value*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No leaks</td>
<td>Calibrated leak L·min⁻¹</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTT</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>51.3 (41.2–64.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poes</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16.8 (3.3–51.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as median (interquartile range), unless otherwise stated. Values are expressed as percentage of SB value. See main text for mathematical processing of Poes and PTT signals. *: two-way, repeated-measures ANOVA.
Based on $P_{oes}$, we found that our patients had a mean $\pm$ SD total number of respiratory events on NIV of 43 $\pm$ 48 per night. These events were predominantly obstructive in nature (85.8% of total number of events). The total number of events and the percentage of central events scored when using PTT alone or $P_{oes}$ alone were highly significantly correlated ($r = 0.98$ and $r = 0.86$, respectively; $p = 0.001$) (fig. 4). On the corresponding Bland–Altman plot, the mean systematic bias was five events (limits of agreement -19.3–29.3 events) and -3.4% (limits of agreement -14.9–8.2%), respectively. The ICCs between $P_{oes}$ and PTT were 0.970 (95% CI 0.889–0.992, $p < 0.0001$) for total number of events and 0.970 (95% CI 0.871–0.993, $p < 0.0001$) for percentage of central events (table 3).

An additional interesting feature of PTT was its ability to describe changes in respiratory effort when respiratory cycles were triggered or not by the patients (fig. 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Data presented in the present study show that, in normal subjects, in spite of the changes in intrathoracic pressure and haemodynamics induced by positive pressure ventilation, PTT accurately reflects the unloading of respiratory muscles induced by NIV, as well as the increase in respiratory effort accompanying leaks during NIV. This may be of interest when seeking to unload the respiratory muscles during daytime titration of NIV. We also showed that the analysis of PTT during sleep studies allows an appropriate classification of respiratory events occurring under NIV as being either central or obstructive in nature (i.e. partial or complete upper airway obstruction with or without reduction of ventilator drive) [4]. These results support the use of PTT as a surrogate marker of inspiratory muscle effort under NIV.

Complex respiratory events occurring during sleep under NIV, which may have a detrimental effect on both quality of sleep and control of nocturnal hypoventilation, have been the focus of several recent studies and reviews [2, 20]. In a PSG study, 40% of obese patients using NIV showed a high index of periodic breathing, mostly occurring in light sleep and associated with sometimes severe nocturnal hypoxaemia [5]. Identification of these events is important in order to select the appropriate changes in ventilator settings and/or interface. Whether systematic PSG is necessary for titrating NIV, as suggested by the American Academy of Sleep Medicine [2], is a subject of debate: this option is not supported in a recent review on monitoring of home NIV [21]. However, when PSG or polygraphy are performed, determining whether events are primarily central or obstructive is often critical (i.e. with a decrease or increase in respiratory drive). This is especially the

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\text{Measurement of respiratory effort} & \text{ICC (95% CI)} & \text{p-value} \\
\hline
P_{oes} & PTT & \\
\text{Obstructive events} & 36.9 (85.8) & 42.5 (88.5) & 0.990 (0.912–0.998) & <0.0001 \\
\text{Mixed events} & 1.1 (2.6) & 1 (2.1) & 0.636 (0.021–0.897) & 0.023 \\
\text{Central events} & 5 (11.6) & 4.5 (9.4) & 0.970 (0.871–0.993) & <0.0001 \\
\end{array}$$

Data are presented as mean number of events (% of total number of events), unless otherwise stated. ICC: intraclass correlation coefficient.
case in the presence of partial reduction of airflow: detecting whether persisting inspiratory efforts are increasing, suggesting upper airway obstruction, or not, suggesting a decrease in respiratory drive, requires an indicator of inspiratory effort, with $P_{oes}$ being the gold standard [4]. This is a key issue as both events lead to different strategies in terms of ventilator setting adjustment. $P_{oes}$ has been validated both in the intensive care unit for improving ventilator settings and in the field of sleep medicine as a marker of inspiratory effort [22]. However, as previously mentioned, routine use of $P_{oes}$ is questionable because of associated sleep disruption and leaks around the mask induced by the oesophageal probe. PTT has been suggested as a surrogate marker of inspiratory effort [23]. It has been documented as a reliable marker of inspiratory efforts in sleep studies performed in children with sleep-disordered breathing [9, 24, 25] and in adults [23, 26]. Our data support the use of PTT as a marker of inspiratory effort under bi-level positive pressure ventilation.

Using PTT for quantifying changes in inspiratory effort induced by NIV may also be of interest. It is usually admitted that efficacy of long-term NIV relies on resting the respiratory muscles, reducing the load against which the respiratory muscle pump must work and restoring central drive to breathing [27]. However, the tools currently available to assess muscle rest and load reduction all have significant limitations. As mentioned, oesophageal probes are poorly tolerated by patients and used only in specialised centres. Diaphragm or intercostal electromyograms are difficult to quantify, and require a level of expertise to be of practical interest. Data presented that PTT may provide a simple marker for assessing the degree of respiratory muscle rest provided when titrating pressure support under NIV. The changes in $P_{oes}$ and PTT were obvious during NIV without leaks, a situation associated with a complete rest of the respiratory muscles. In response to leaks, some normal subjects exhibited a higher percentage of triggered cycles and clearly increased their respiratory effort.
This was nicely demonstrated by $P_{oes}$ but less clearly shown by PTT. This means that the PTT technique is easier to tolerate for the patients but probably less sensitive for detecting subtle increases in respiratory effort.

**Limitations of the study**

There are a certain number of limitations to the use of PTT. First, the quality of the signal is directly related to the quality of the ECG and pulse oximetry: these signals may easily become unstable, leading the analysis of PTT useless on bouts of polygraphy or PSG traces. Signal processing may also vary according to software and PSG or polygraphy devices used: this warrants further clinical testing. In addition, there is significant variation in PTT between individuals as a result of differences in blood pressure and vascular compliance. This does not unduly affect interpretation as it is the pattern of PTT change by which respiratory events are scored. From our own anecdotal experience, it is known that cardiac arrhythmias, such as atrial fibrillation, render the PTT signal almost uninterpretable. This is potentially a major drawback in some patients.

The sample size of our study was relatively limited but we performed systematic measurements of $P_{oes}$ during both diurnal and sleep experiments. Such an experimental design is difficult to implement in larger populations. At the end, we were able to draw significant conclusions from this well-defined population. Owing to a limited number of included subjects, we decided to investigate a homogeneous population of OHS patients. These patients appeared of particular interest as they exhibited both central and obstructive respiratory events during sleep. We anticipate that the ability of PTT to estimate respiratory effort will be the same in COPD and thoracic cage restrictive diseases. More questionable is the situation of patients with neuromuscular disorders producing only moderate respiratory effort. A specific study in this population is desirable.

PTT has the ability to quantitatively assess respiratory effort. However, during this study we did not try to separate hypopnoeas related to pharyngeal collapses from hypopnoeas owing to a decrease in ventilatory command associated with glottis closures.

PTT has the ability to quantitatively assess respiratory effort. However, during this study, we did not try to separate hypopnoeas related to pharyngeal collapse from hypopnoeas resulting from decrease in ventilatory command associated with glottic closure.

**Conclusion and perspectives**

Taking into account the aforementioned caveats, PTT is a promising tool for noninvasively assessing inspiratory muscle effort under bi-level positive pressure ventilation, and distinguishing between central and obstructive events under NIV not only in the sleep laboratory but also potentially in domiciliary sleep studies (polygraphy). The choice of software and its evolution is certainly critical to the development of PTT. Further studies are also required to assess PTT as a tool for identifying ineffective efforts under NIV and its capacity to be used for adjusting trigger sensitivity. The ability of PTT to estimate respiratory effort under NIV should be established in other patient populations, such as COPD and thoracic cage restrictive diseases.
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