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What have we learnt? Which way ahead?

What do we know about LLDC needs? Any Lessons?

I - Aid for Trade: Looking Ahead
Olivier Cadot and Jaime de Melo

II - Evaluation in Aid for Trade: From Case Study Counting to Measuring
Olivier Cadot and Jaime de Melo

III - Aid for Trade: What can we Learn from the Case Studies?
Richard Newfarmer

IV - Diagnostic Trade Integration Studies and their Updates under the Enhanced Integrated Framework – A Retrospective
Paul Brenton and Ian Gillson
What Have we Learnt about Trade Costs?

Reduction in trade costs account for about 1/3 of growth in trade

Evolution of simulated trade costs from a gravity equation (sample of 118 countries)
Trade Costs have fallen less rapidly for low income countries....

[Graph showing the evolution of trade costs over time for different income levels]
What Have we Learnt about Trade Costs?

Trade Costs have only fallen by about 2% for a sample of 14 LLDCs.

Source: Authors construction based on Arvis et al. (2013)
THE VICIOUS CIRCLE OF LLDCs INFRASTRUCTURE NETWORKS

1. Low trade level does not justify more investments in infrastructure.
2. Low level of hinterland and regional trade.
3. Disincentive to rehabilitate the railway/road system network.
4. High rehabilitation costs.
5. Poor and old condition of infrastructure.
...so non-oil LLDCs trade shares have stagnated

Source: World Bank data: Oil LLDCs: Azerbaijan, Chad, Kazakhstan, and Turkmenistan
AFT per capita trends have remained fairly constant through time.

Aid for Trade per capita - country average
(Commitments in constant US Dollars per Capita)
...Per capita AFT shares of LLDCs remained low

**Aid for Trade per capita**
(commitments, average over 1995-2012)

- **Landlocked Developing Countries**
- **Least Developed Countries**
- **Low Income Countries**
For most countries, the share of AFT is less than the share of other types of aid.
Most AFT is allocated to infrastructure

Aid for Trade in Landlocked Countries
(Commitments in constant millions of US Dollars)
AFT shares to LL countries have remained fairly constant.

...so have components of AFT.
AFT: What Have we Learnt?

Some apparent success in mobilizing funding...

Following the Paris declaration of 2005, the decline of the share of AFT in ODA has been arrested.
What Have we Learnt?

... and some success in mainstreaming trade in national development strategies (...sometimes)

Trade has received more attention in successive budget speeches...

Mentions of trade-related keywords as a percent of total words, 2000-2011

Applying OECD word-count approach to Uganda’s budget speeches
What Have we Learnt?
... but no faster export growth for large recipients of AFT flows

- Split countries by the median in terms of 2000-2005 AFT receipts (per dollar of export)
- Check if high-receivers’ exports grew more over subsequent 5-year period (2005-10)
What have we Learnt?
Macro and Micro face different trade-offs so we need both

Micro studies face trade-off 1: they identify causal chains fairly extensively at the cost of less relevant (i.e. less easily transposable) outcomes.

Cross-country studies have greater external validity but have less internal validity (omission of important factors).

Impact Evaluation

Cross-country econometrics

Internal validity (ability to identify a causal relation)

External validity (ability to derive generalizable results)

Identification of causal chain

Relevance of outcomes

TRADE-OFF 1

TRADE-OFF 2
Which way ahead?
Randomista or not, evaluate

**RCT is not the alpha and omega of impact evaluation**
- What matters is baseline data collection + control group
- Wealth of quasi-experimental methods available, even ex post

**«RCT controversy» should not be an excuse to not evaluate**
- Every intervention left un-evaluated is a missed learning opportunity
- Evaluation raises incentive issues; incentive-compatible setups can be designed (e.g. making IE the «default» in all cases; decoupling IE results from project manager’s performance evaluation, ...)

**Toward an «evaluation-friendly» AFT**
- Cut costs; e.g. use existing stats as much as possible; put pressure on governments to share statistics, in particular firm-level data
- Encourage a culture of project design for evaluation (all projects designed like Progresa?)
Which way ahead?

Streamline the initiative

Exploit the opportunity offered by the Trade Facilitation Agreement
- Help make trade portals useful repositories of NTMs
- Provide technical assistance to Trade Facilitation Committees (Art. 13) to develop trade-related regulatory-oversight capabilities (not just counting documents to export)

Better use Diagnostic Trade Integration Studies
- DTIS updates already a crude form of progress monitoring; clear learning curve from first generation
- Still lack of ownership (government side) and visibility (donor side)
- Need for leaner, more focused action matrices (already largely the case)
- Mainstream regional integration in trade policy; region-level DTISs
Which way ahead?

In sum...

AFT’s broad achievements...
- Mainstreaming of trade in national development strategies
- Creating a crude form of donor coordination around «competitiveness strategies»
- Mobilizing funding

... are at risk unless a «culture of evaluation» builds up
- Donor budget pressures require credible identification of outcome improvements + causation; the instruments are there to use
- Successful globalizers have all experimented with policy, but no learning from experimentation without evaluation

... and the initiative gets a second wind from the TFA
- A tool for the TFA’s application, focused on NTMs
- A vehicle to foster deep regional integration