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INTRODUCTION

Although the idea of positron emission tomography/computed tomography (PET/CT) emerged in 1993, with the first prototype designed in 1998,\textsuperscript{1} it was not until 2001 when the first commercial PET/CT scanner became available.\textsuperscript{2} Before the introduction of PET/CT technology, the CT and the PET data were acquired in 2 different scanners. Fusion of the PET and CT data was performed using software techniques.\textsuperscript{3,4} Registration of the PET and CT data was relatively accurate for brain studies using rigid transformation but not very successful for the other regions of the body, in particular in the thorax and the abdomen, due to the difficulty of repositioning the patient in 2 separate sessions and the nonrigid nature of the organs.
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KEY POINTS

- Fast helical computed tomography (CT) does not reduce misregistration between the CT and the positron emission tomography (PET) data.
- Average CT matches PET in temporal resolution and improves registration with the PET data.
- Radiation dose of average CT can be as low as less than 1 mSv.
- 4-dimensional CT has been successfully adopted in radiation therapy treatment planning.
- List-mode data acquisition improves the work flow of 4-dimensional PET.
- More promising approaches are being explored in clinical and research settings.
The advent of PET/CT scanners has made possible for the first time that a single imaging table transporting the patient between PET and CT to hardware fuse the PET and CT data sets. It has been shown that hardware fusion is more accurate than software fusion in clinical diagnosis, staging and restaging of many cancer types such as tumor infiltration of adjacent structures that could not be conclusively assessed using the separate CT and PET data. There are over 3000 PET/CT scanners installed worldwide, and stand-alone PET scanners have not been in production since 2005. Fluorodeoxyglucose (18F-FDG) is the major pharmaceutical agent in PET/CT, and it has been approved in the United States for diagnosis, staging, and restaging of lung cancer, colorectal cancer, esophageal cancer, head and neck cancer, lymphoma, and melanoma since 1998. In addition, PET/CT has been approved for staging and restaging and for therapeutic monitoring of breast cancer.

The application of PET/CT is mainly in oncology with 18F-FDG and in cardiology with 82Rb or 13N-NH₃ for myocardial perfusion imaging. PET/CT also plays an important role in biologically guided radiation therapy and treatment response assessment. Integration of functional PET data with anatomic CT data should be a standard in radiation therapy. However, it remains a challenge to quantify the improvement of simulation with PET/CT over CT in radiation treatment planning, as conclusive clinical data are not yet available. Early studies have found PET/CT has advantages over CT in standardization of volume delineation, in reduction of the risk for geometric misses, and in minimization of radiation dose to the nontarget organs. Use of PET/CT is expected to grow as more molecular targeted imaging agents are being developed.

There are challenges in PET/CT imaging. PET needs CT data for tumor localization, attenuation correction, and quantification. The use of CT-based attenuation correction (CT-AC) for PET data has greatly improved the throughput of PET/CT scan and patient comfort when a CT scan of 100 cm can be performed in less than 20 seconds on a 16-slice PET/CT and less than 10 seconds on a 64-slice PET/CT. In general, 16-slice CT is sufficient for tumor imaging, and 64-slice CT is required for coronary artery imaging. The progress in CT-AC methodology has been immense in the last few years, the main opportunities arising from the development of both optimized scanning protocols and innovative image processing algorithms. However, the use of CT images for attenuation correction of PET data is known to produce artifacts in the resulting PET images in some cases. This includes artifacts resulting from the polychromaticity of x-ray photons and resulting beam hardening, misregistration between emission and transmission data, the use of contrast-enhanced CT, truncation resulting from the limited field-of-view of CT, the presence of metallic objects, and artifacts arising from x-ray scatter in CT images.

Application of PET/CT in nuclear medicine or radiology is a detection and quantitation task based on standardized uptake value (SUV). Physicians can sometimes mentally construct an ideal image even if the images are with artifacts. If the artifacts are not significant, they can simply be read through. Any mismatch between the CT and the PET data in PET/CT may compromise localization and quantification of the PET data. For example, administration of the intravenous contrast in the CT scan before the PET scan may cause artifacts in the attenuation-corrected PET data. The high-attenuation contrast media can cause over-correction of the PET data. This artifact can appear focal and mimic a malignant lymph node in the axilla or supraclavicular area. Patient motion between the CT and the PET scan is another source of artifacts. Registration with the rigid translation and/or rotation of the CT images to match with the PET data may be sufficient to fix the brain images such as those shown in Fig. 1. This article will focus on attenuation correction strategies in PET/CT to mitigate the respiration-induced artifacts in PET/CT and 4-dimensional PET/CT, which are more difficult to correct with postprocessing registration software.

**CAUSES OF MISREGISTRATION DUE TO RESPIRATORY MOTION**

Misregistration between the CT and PET images due to respiratory motion in the thorax and abdomen was reported soon after the first commercial PET/CT was introduced in 2001 and has been among the most researched topics in PET/CT. It has impact on radiation therapy, which relies on accurate localization of the tumor for targeted treatment. Radiation oncologists cannot reliably plan therapy by assuming the target tumor at a certain location when it is not the case. They may not be familiar with pitfalls associated with PET/CT imaging, and as such, they generally rely on PET/CT images and the diagnosis and staging information provided by nuclear medicine physicians or radiologists to treat their patients. Both the location and extent of tumor are critical to the success of the process.

Myocardial perfusion imaging with PET/CT also relies on accurate quantification of the PET data,
which depends on accurate registration of CT and PET images for attenuation correction. In general, accurate quantification of the PET images is more critical for myocardial perfusion imaging in cardiology and treatment planning in radiation therapy than for nuclear medicine because of the targeted imaging nature of cardiology and radiation therapy versus whole-body PET imaging in nuclear medicine.

A fast CT scan can cover 100 cm in 10 to 20 seconds, and fast CT gantry rotation can freeze the breathing state in each CT slice. On the other hand, PET takes 2 to 5 minutes to acquire the data of every 15 to 21 cm in the cranial-caudal direction.\textsuperscript{40,41} The temporal resolutions of CT and PET are very different: less than 1 second for CT and about 1 respiratory cycle for PET from averaging the PET data of many respiratory cycles. \textbf{Fig. 2} shows an example of 2 CT images at the same slice location of 2 different breathing conditions: free-breathing and deep-inspiration breath-hold. Different breathing states in CT slices and mismatch of the temporal resolution between CT and PET can cause a misalignment of the tumor or the heart position between the CT and the PET data, and compromise quantification of the PET data.\textsuperscript{42}

The current design of PET/CT only matches the spatial resolution of the CT and PET data by blurring the CT images so that the spatial resolution of the CT images matches with the spatial resolution of the PET images. There has been no attempt from the manufacturers to match the temporal resolutions of CT and PET for a routine whole-body PET/CT scan. One limitation in PET/CT imaging is that the acquisition time cannot be too long. It is because the patient’s arms are normally raised up over the head during data acquisition. In this position, an average person can maintain a still position for about 15 to 30 minutes at maximum.

\textbf{Fig. 1.} (A) An example of overcorrection and undercorrection of the PET data due to misregistration of the CT and the PET data from the patient motion is shown. (B) After applying registration to align the CT and the PET data, improvement in quantification based on the registered PET and CT data is shown.

\textbf{Fig. 2.} CT images of the same patient at the same slice location for (A) free breathing, (B) deep-inspiration breath hold and (C) superposition of the 2 CT images in (A) and (B) to illustrate a potential difference in anatomy between 2 different breathing states.
Mismatch between the CT and the PET data can be identified by a curvilinear white band or photon-penic region near the diaphragm in the PET images (Fig. 3). Existence of the white band only suggests a misregistration near the diaphragm and does not mean misregistration at the tumor location. It is possible to have good registration at the tumor location but poor registration at the diaphragm position or vice versa. Note that the heart is always on top of the diaphragm; the problem of misregistration in cardiac PET can be identified more easily in this situation.

Since people spend more time in expiration than in inspiration, the PET data averaged over several minutes are closer to the end-expiration than the end-inspiration. If the CT data are acquired near the end-expiration, then the CT and the PET data may register together better. On the other hand, if the CT data are acquired in or near the end-inspiration, the inflated lungs of inspiration will be larger than the deflated lungs of expiration. The larger area of the inflated lungs in CT renders less attenuation correction in the reconstruction of the PET data near the diaphragm where the inflated lungs push the diaphragm lower in CT than the average diaphragm position in PET. The result is a white band region identified as the misregistered region or the photon-penic region.

**FREQUENCY OF MISREGISTRATION**

The rate of misregistration can be as high as 68% to 84%. However, it only impacted 2% of diagnosis in the whole-body PET/CT with $^{18}$F-FDG but caused false-positive results in 40% of the cardiac PET/CT studies with $^{82}$Rb. In whole-body PET/CT, many lesions may not be close to the diaphragm, where most misregistrations occur; and as such, the task of diagnosis may not be compromised by a misregistration between the CT and the PET data. Since the heart is right above the diaphragm, and diagnosis of a cardiac PET is dependent on accurate quantification of the PET data, a more stringent requirement in registration is needed for the cardiac PET/CT than for whole-body PET/CT. For radiation therapy, there has been a study of 216 patients in quantification and gross tumor volume (GTV) delineation. In this study, 68% had respiratory artifacts, and 10% of the misregistrations could cause an SUV change of over 25%, a threshold indicating a response to therapy. Tumors less than 50 cm$^3$ near the diaphragm could have a change of the centroid tumor location of 2.4 mm, a GTV change of 154% and an SUV change of 21%.

**FAST CT DOES NOT REDUCE MISREGISTRATION**

It is important to recognize that fast translation of the CT table during a helical CT scan may not eliminate or reduce misregistration between the CT and the PET data. It was suggested that CT images register better between slices if the CT scanner has at least 6 slices. It is true that fast CT gantry rotation helps reduce motion artifacts in each CT slice. However, better registration between slices is needed. The result is a white band region identified as the misregistered region or the photon-penic region.

---

**Fig. 3.** (A) When the CT data have a lower diaphragm position than the PET data, a photo-panic region with an underestimation of FDG uptake in SUV = 2.3 around the diaphragm is shown. (B) After correction of the PET data with the average CT data, the photo-penic region disappeared and the SUV of the tumor (pointed by the arrow) increased by 57% from 2.3 to 3.6. (Reproduced from Zaidi H, Pan T. Recent advances in hybrid imaging for radiation therapy planning: the cutting edge. PET Clinics 2011;6(2):216; with permission.)
in CT and less motion artifacts in each CT slice do
not translate into better registration between the
CT and the PET data. Coaching the patient to
hold breath at midexpiration during CT acquisition
has been suggested. The outcomes were mixed,
because coaching patient to hold breath at
certain state during CT data acquisition is not reli-
able both from the patient and the technologist
operating the PET/CT scanner perspectives. In
a study of 100 patients coached to hold breath at
midexpiration, 50 patient data sets exhibited
misalignment (>1 cm) between the CT and the
PET data.42
It is clear that as long as the CT scan is conducted
when the patient is free breathing, there is always
possibility that the CT and the PET data may be
misaligned, because some CT slices are taken at
inspiration, and some at expiration while the PET
data are averaged over several minutes. The
distance between 2 end-expiration phases can
become longer (or shorter) with a faster (or slower)
speed helical CT scan. Fig. 4 shows an example of
CT images taken when the patient was free
breathing during a CT scan at the speed of 1.72
cm/s. There were respiratory artifacts on the
abdomen and cardiac pulsation artifacts on the
heart. These artifacts were not discernible in the
review of each individual CT slice. By measuring
the distance between the adjacent peaks of the
respiratory (cardiac pulsation) artifacts and dividing
the distance to the table translation speed of the
helical CT scan, one can estimate the breathing
cycle (the heart rate) of the patient. These artifacts
were due to the respiration and the heart beat of
the patient. Presentation of the artifacts depends
on the speed of the helical CT scan and the
breathing patterns of the patient during the fast
CT scan.

AVERAGE CT OF LESS THAN 1 mSv REDUCES
MISREGISTRATION
Many potential solutions have been suggested to
accommodate differences between breathing
patterns including retrospective AC using free
breathing CT,48 the use of optimal CT acquisition
protocols,49,50 respiratory averaged CT,42,51–53,59
interpolated average CT,54,55 phased CT acquisi-
tions,56–58 cine CT acquisition,59 respiratory
correlated acquisitions,60–62 deep-inspiration
breath-hold acquisition,44,63–65 and the use of
respiratory-gated PET/CT acquisitions.66–75
One approach to improve registration between
the CT and the PET data is to bring the temporal
resolution of the CT images to that of the PET
data.42 Recognizing the fact that PET is averaged
over many breath cycles, a CT image averaged
over 1 breath cycle should improve registration
between the CT and the PET data. Fig. 3 shows
an example of misregistration between the CT
and the PET data, and correction of misregistra-
tion with average CT to improve tumor quantifica-
tion. This concept has also been shown to be
effective in attenuation correction of the PET
data in cardiac imaging.51

Acquiring average CT can be accomplished by
scanning at the same slice location over a breath
cycle at a high-speed gantry rotation to achieve
temporal resolution of less than 1 second for
each acquired CT image. The CT images of many
phases in a respiratory cycle, mostly free of motion
artifacts, are averaged for average CT (Fig. 5). The
conventional approach using the slow-scan CT of
4 second gantry rotation to generate average CT
is ineffective and should be discouraged.51,76

Fig. 4. Example of a CT image taken when the patient
was free breathing during a CT scan at the speed of
1.72 cm/s. There were respiratory artifacts (pointed
by three arrows) on the abdomen and cardiac pulsa-
tion artifacts (pointed by an arrow to the heart) on
the heart. These artifacts were not obvious in each
CT slice. Presentation of the artifacts depends on the
speed of the helical CT scan and the breathing
patterns of the patient during the CT scan. (Repro-
duced from Zaidi H, Pan T. Recent advances in hybrid
imaging for radiation therapy planning: the cutting
edge. PET Clinics 2011;6(2):212; with permission.)
acquired over a sub-second CT gantry rotation and was without motion artifacts. Averaging over a breath cycle of these high temporal resolution CT images can produce an average CT image with a similar temporal resolution as the PET data.

Cine CT and low-pitch helical CT (pitch <0.1) scans can be adopted to obtain average CT, and both have been used in 4-dimensional CT imaging. However, it is not clear whether the setup of 4-dimensional CT imaging primarily for the assessment of tumor motion is ideal for obtaining average CT when most PET/CT scanners are in nuclear medicine and are without the respiratory monitoring device needed for 4-dimensional CT. A practical approach is to acquire average CT without a respiratory monitoring device to improve quantification of the PET data. The additional radiation dose for average CT can be less than 1 mSv, and the additional scan time is less than one minute and will not impact the overall scan time of a PET/CT procedure. Selection of optimum parameters for acquiring average CT with cine CT or low-pitch helical CT has been reported.

In terms of temporal resolution, average CT is about 1 respiratory cycle, while the transmission map acquired with rotating rod sources of $^{68}$Ge and $^{137}$Cs is also about 1 respiratory cycle from averaging of many respiratory cycles. Average CT can be used to approximate the transmission map in terms of temporal resolution. Pan and colleagues demonstrated that registration of the CT and PET data can be improved with average CT in tumor imaging and myocardial perfusion imaging. Papathanassiou and colleagues and Osman and colleagues showed that using the attenuation map from transmission rod sources to correct for the PET images would not produce the curvilinear cold artifacts in the PET data of PET/CT. Transmission rod source is only available in the first-generation PET/CT scanners and not available on the newer PET/CT scanners. The advantages of average CT over transmission rod sources are short acquisition time (1 minutes for CT, and 10 min/15 cm in transmission) and high photon flux and less noisy attenuation maps. The disadvantages are higher radiation dose of less than 1 mSv from average CT than about 0.13 mSv from the transmission rod sources. Radiation therapy has embraced the use of average CT for dose calculation.

**Fig. 5.** Averaging of the CT images taken at the same slice location over a respiratory cycle in (A) generates the average CT image in (B). Each CT image in (A) was taken at 5 mAs (10 mA and 0.5 second gantry rotation) on the GE Light Speed CT scanner (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI).

**Fig. 6.** Comparison of slow-scan CT and average CT of the same patient. The slow-scan CT was taken on a single gantry rotation of 4 seconds, and the average CT was taken from the average of 8 images of 0.5 second gantry rotation for 4 seconds. The artifacts in the slow-scan CT due to reconstruction of the inconsistent CT data in the 4-second scan due to respiration are not in the average CT image. (Reproduced from Pan T, Mawlawi O, Luo D, et al. Attenuation correction of PET cardiac data with low-dose average CT in PET/CT. Med Phys 2006;33(10):3937; with permission.)
the new PET/CT scanners are not equipped with transmission rod sources, average CT can serve as an alternative for transmission rod sources. Fig. 7 shows an example of combining the fast helical CT images and the average CT images of the target tumor area to minimize the area of radiation incurred by the average CT scan. In a whole-body PET/CT examination, the CT images are typically acquired before PET. The technologist can determine if an additional average CT scan is needed when the CT and the PET images of the thorax and the abdomen are available before completion of the PET scan. Fig. 8 shows an example of average CT improving the registration and quantification of a lymph node. Fig. 9 shows an example of improvement in the registration and quantification of a lung tumor near the diaphragm and the heart.

Fig. 10 shows an example of misregistration that caused a false-negative diagnosis and a change of the gross target volume for radiation therapy. In the era of image-guided radiation therapy to deliver a very high dose at a great precision, it is very important to pay attention to any misregistration between the CT and the PET images during tumor delineation.

4-DIMENSIONAL PET IMAGING

4-dimensional PET was first developed for cardiac imaging to assess myocardial motion and to obtain ejection fraction 3 decades ago. It was adopted for tumor imaging of the thorax almost a decade ago, about the same time when 4-dimensional CT was launched. Today, 4-dimensional CT has been accepted as a standard practice in CT imaging of tumor motion for radiation therapy. 4-dimensional PET is still not yet widely accepted as a standard practice. It may be that PET is primarily used for clinical diagnosis and staging, and that a 4-dimensional PET scan is typically conducted after a whole-body PET scan. The total scan time may become too long for any patient to stay still for the 4-dimensional PET imaging.

In 4-dimensional PET, the data are split into several exclusive bins. For example, there can be 8 bins of 500 milliseconds for an average respiratory cycle of 4 seconds. Because of insufficient statistics of photons obtained in PET imaging of 2 to 5 minutes for the selection of 8 bins in each bed location, the duration of a 4-dimensional PET scan has to be over 5 minutes to compensate for the fewer photons recorded in each bin. It may be necessary to scan 2 bed positions if a tumor or the heart is not at the center of the PET detector field of view to compensate for the high sensitivity at the center and the low sensitivity at the edge of the PET detector in 3-dimensional data acquisition without septa.

Image reconstruction of 4-dimensional PET is performed on the data of each bin, and the result is a set of 3-dimensional PET images over a respiratory cycle for assessment of tumor motion and quantification. Even though the number of photons in each bin can be small, resulting in higher noise in

---

**Fig. 7.** (A) Conventional CT acquired in free breathing; (B) the CT images in (A) not containing the region containing the tumor; (C) the CT images containing the tumor (pointed by an arrow) obtained with average CT; and (D) combination of (B) and (C) to make an image for attenuation correction of the PET data. (Reproduced from Pan T, Mawlawi O, Nehmeh SA, et al. Attenuation correction of PET images with respiration-averaged CT images in PET/CT. J Nucl Med 2005;46(9):1483; with permission.)
the 4-dimensional PET images, the 4D PET images can potentially be used for accurate assessment of FDG uptake.

Most modern PET/CT scanners are equipped with the list-mode data acquisition, whereby events from each coincidence pair of 511 keV photons can be stored in a list stream for subsequent static, dynamic, or gated reconstruction (Fig. 11). List-mode data acquisition can be performed with either cardiac or respiratory triggering during a normal static image acquisition. This functionality offers the capability of retrospectively mapping the coincidence events into multiple phases/bins for the reconstruction of 4-dimensional PET images. PET scanners can be configured to acquire the list-mode data, which can produce prospectively static PET data as a standard data set and retrospectively 4-dimensional PET data to freeze the tumor motion. It is still cumbersome to acquire 4-dimensional PET data due to its prolonged acquisition time. However, it is expected that with the introduction of advanced detector technologies, large detector coverage for higher sensitivity, and incorporation of time-of-flight information in

Fig. 8. (A) The lymph node shown in PET did not register with the CT and had an SUV of 4.6. (B) After attenuation correction with average CT, which registered better with the PET data, the lymph node had an SUV value of 7.5, a 62% increase in SUV. (Reproduced from Pan T, Mawlawi O, Nehmeh SA, et al. Attenuation correction of PET images with respiration-averaged CT images in PET/CT. J Nucl Med 2005;46(9):1485; with permission.)

Fig. 9. The standard set of the helical CT (HCT) and the PET data corrected with the HCT is shown in the left panel. Misregistration of the tumor and the heart can be identified and pointed by arrows. Attenuation correction with the average CT (ACT) data is in the right panel. The SUV of the tumor (pointed by an arrow) increased from 2.6 to 5.0. The activity in the heart region (pointed by an arrow) also increased due to the improved registration of the PET and ACT data.
Fig. 10.  PET/CT images of a 69 year-old woman with an esophageal tumor after induction chemotherapy. (A) An axial slice of the fused clinical CT and PET image at the level of the esophageal tumor (left) and the PET image in coronal view (right). The diagnosis indicated that the patient had a positive response to the chemotherapy. After removal of the misalignment by average CT, the tumor reappeared in the same PET data set in (B). The arrows point to the tumor location. The gross target volumes drawn in the images of (A) and (B) are shown in blue and in green, respectively, in (C). The patient was treated with the tumor volume in green, and the diagnostic report was corrected by the average CT. (Reproduced from Pan T, Mawlawi O. PET/CT in radiation oncology. Med Phys 2008;35(11):4960; with permission.)
3-dimensional image reconstruction to better cope with the increased noise from randoms and scatter in 3-dimensional data acquisition. The limitation in acquisition time will be lifted, 4-dimensional PET will become a clinically feasible solution to improve the quantification accuracy of a tumor or the heart in motion.

**4-DIMENSIONAL PET/CT IMAGING**

4-dimensional CT\textsuperscript{77–79} may be needed for accurate quantification of 4-dimensional PET data, as each phase of PET data may need its own CT data for attenuation correction.\textsuperscript{68} Four-dimensional CT has found its acceptance in radiation therapy for providing the gated CT images of multiple phases over a respiratory cycle to assist contouring the extent of tumor motion. Four-dimensional CT takes less time in data acquisition than 4-dimensional PET does. It normally takes less than 2 minutes to cover 35 cm in the superior–inferior direction on an 8- or 16-slice CT. The scan coverage of 4-dimensional CT for radiation therapy is typically the whole lung, while the coverage for average CT should be limited for the tumor or the heart region for diagnosis. Radiation dose for 4-dimensional CT is about 50 mGy, and should be less than 5 mGy for average CT. Two data acquisition modes can be used in 4-dimensional CT: cine CT and low-pitch helical

---

**Fig. 11.** Schematic diagram of the list-mode data acquisition. The positron coming out of positron emitters such as $^{18}$F or $^{82}$Rb travels a distance of average 0.06 mm for $^{18}$F or 6 mm for $^{82}$Rb. The larger the positron energy, the longer the distance it travels. An annihilation event occurs when a positron meets with an electron to emit two 511 keV energy photons and is detected by a pair of PET detectors. List-mode acquisition records the events and the physiologic signal such as the electrocardiogram and/or respiratory signal to guide image reconstruction. Out of the list-mode data acquisition, one can reconstruct the static images of time 0 to $t_n$, or dynamic images of time 0 to $t_1$, $t_1$ to $t_2$, ..., $t_{n-1}$ to $t_n$, or $m$ gated images of time 0 to $t_n$. Each picture represents a sinogram for reconstruction.

**Fig. 12.** A 4-dimensional PET/CT patient study. The acquisition time for the 4-dimensional PET scan was 13 minutes, and the PET data were gated into 6 phases. Attenuation of the 4-dimensional PET data was corrected with the corresponding 4-dimensional CT data. Quantification of the tumor in SUV went from 5.0 in the static PET scan without gating to 8.5 in the end-expiration phase of the 4-dimensional PET scan.
CT. Both acquisitions scan the prescribed volume for over 1 breath cycle plus 1 (or 2/3) gantry rotation cycle. Cine CT uses less radiation and generates thinner slices than low-pitch helical CT. Low-pitch helical CT scans are slightly faster than cine CT, because they do not pause between 2 table positions as in cine CT.88 The cine CT based 4-dimensional CT is available on 4-, 8-, 16-, and 64-slice CT scanners, whereas the low-pitch helical CT-based 4-dimensional CT is only available on newer 16- and 64-slice CT scanners. Four-dimensional CT is a part of 4-dimensional PET/CT if phase-to-phase matching of the attenuation map is desired in 4-dimensional PET imaging.56,61,68,69 **Fig. 12** illustrates an example of 4-dimensional PET/CT scan of 6 phases over 13 minutes of data acquisition. Quantification of the tumor in SUV changed from 5 in the static PET image without gating to 8.5 at the end-expiration phase in this example. Model-based image reconstruction can also be applied to 4-dimensional PET,86 based on the tumor motion from 4-dimensional CT, to deform/register the multiple phases of PET data to a single motion freeze PET data set to reduce the noise in 4-dimensional PET data and to improve PET quantification.89

**SUMMARY**

There has been a tremendous effort in improving both PET/CT and 4-dimensional PET/CT imaging in the last decade. With the development of 4-dimensional CT, the difficulties in imaging the tumor motion with CT in the thorax or the abdomen have been largely eliminated. List-mode data acquisition, although not a new concept, has been very useful in making the workflow of postprocessing the 4-dimensional PET data easier than before. Average CT derived from averaging the high temporal resolution CT images acquired over a respiratory cycle has been shown to be effective in improving the registration of the CT and the PET data, resulting in improvement on quantification of the PET data both for tumor imaging and cardiac imaging. Radiation dose of average CT can be reduced to less than 1 mSv, and application of average CT can be limited to only patients whose PET data and CT data do not register. Four-dimensional PET and 4-dimensional PET/CT are emerging as the next frontier for researchers and clinicians to put into clinical use. The bottleneck in 4-dimensional PET and 4-dimensional PET/CT is the long acquisition time for acquiring the 4-dimensional PET data. It is hoped that future instrumentation can improve the sensitivity of PET imaging so that every whole-body PET scan can become a 4-dimensional PET scan without any significant increase of the scan time.
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