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Gastric cancer is an important problem and is worldwide one of the most frequently-diagnosed cancers [1, 2]. Although the incidence of gastric cancer has been declining in the last decades, gastric cancer still accounts for approximately 10% of all cancers and is responsible for approximately 12% of all cancer deaths [3]. The incidence of gastric cancer varies substantially among racial and ethnic groups and is the highest in Japan, Korea, Eastern Asia, Eastern Europe, and parts of Latin America. Western Europe, the USA and other industrialised nations have relatively low incidences.

Surgical resection remains the primary curative treatment option in gastric cancer, with 5-year survival rates of 58%–78% and 34% reported for stage I and II disease, respectively [4]. Despite this, the overall 5 year survival rate for all patients remains poor and ranges between 15 and 38%. Recurrences are frequent after surgery. Recurrence rate and subsequent survival is dependent on the stage at diagnosis. Treatment decisions are usually made in reference to the staging systems of the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) and the international Union Against Cancer (UICC) [5, 6].

Recently new data focussing on the extent of gastric resection and on the additional treatment pre-, post- or perioperatively have become available and give hope for improved outcome for patients with gastric cancer.

This article reports on an expert discussion on the (neo-) adjuvant treatment of gastric cancer. The expert discussion was organised during the seventh World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer in June 2005 in Barcelona, Spain. Well known opinion leaders and experts from different nationalities participated in the discussion. In preparation of this expert discussion a detailed survey and questionnaire was sent to all experts and the questions, answers and conclusions were rediscussed during the meeting.

The article reports on the evidence based conclusions and advises on the (neo-)adjuvant treatment of gastric cancer as proposed by these experts.

staging algorithm

Most patients with gastric cancer have at an early stage mild or no symptoms. The main reason for late diagnosis is that patients typically present with vague and non-specific symptoms.

Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy with biopsies for histologic confirmation is the procedure of choice for the diagnosis of gastric cancer. Although the accuracy of the histologic diagnosis increases with the number of biopsies, histologic confirmation may sometimes be difficult in some cases of diffuse carcinoma, as the intramucosal component may be small in comparison with the submucosal component.

The primary staging of gastric cancer includes:

- A thorough physical examination with the search for pathological lymph nodes. A special attention to the supraclavicular lymph nodes (Virchow’s lymph node) is required.
- Biochemical tests. They do not contribute to the diagnosis of gastric cancer, although blood counts, liver and renal function are usually evaluated. Tumour markers (CEA, CA 19.9) have...
not been found to be useful in the diagnosis of gastric cancer, but CEA and CA 19.9, in particular, are elevated in 30–40% of patients with primary gastric cancer. Significantly higher levels of CEA and CA 19.9 have been found in patients with more advanced disease. Although there are no data that prove the use of CEA and CA 19.9 in the surveillance of gastric cancer, the determination of these markers may be more useful in the monitoring of gastric cancer than in the primary diagnosis.

- Endoscopic ultrasound is useful for the local staging of tumours at the gastro-oesophageal junction and for small tumours and is most reliable for T-staging [7].
- A good quality CT scan of the abdomen (including pelvis) and chest are required for the evaluation of locoregional extension and of metastases.

For the secondary staging specific procedures can be required.

- There is no evidence for routinely performing a FDG-PET scan in all patients. FDG-PET scanning can however, contribute to the detection and/or diagnosis of metastases. The sensitivity of FDG-PET in gastric cancer is lower than in the tumours localised at the gastro-oesophageal junction (GE-junction) [8]. In GE-junction tumours FDG-PET scan can give additional information and may be more conclusive compared to good quality conventional imaging [9].
- Barium X-ray has only a limited role and does not contribute in the staging, but may suggest a diagnosis of gastric cancer in case of diffuse gastric cancers in which mucosal biopsies do not confirm the suspicion of malignancy. Barium X-ray is more useful in the preoperative evaluation and planning of GE-junction tumours than in more distally located tumours.
- MRI imaging may replace CT scan only in selected patients and should not be performed routinely in the staging of gastric cancer.
- Bone scintigraphy and bone marrow aspiration should not be performed routinely in the staging of gastric cancer.
- A diagnostic laparoscopy can be recommended if a neo-adjuvant treatment is considered, especially in the case of a T3 or T4 and subdiaphragmatic tumour. In addition to the diagnostic laparoscopy a peritoneal lavage can be useful in staging. A peritoneal lavage containing malignant cells worsens the prognosis of patients with gastric cancer. A positive peritoneal lavage, however, does not exclude surgical resection of the gastric cancer, but implies that an extensive lymphadenectomy is not useful.

**Treatment of gastric cancer**

Important data have recently been published that led to increased knowledge in the treatment of gastric cancer, esp. in relation to the adjuvant and neo-adjuvant treatment of gastric cancer. Guidelines for treatment are therefore adjusted according to results of these important trials. Further research remains, however, important because gastric cancer has still a high mortality rate and less than 50% of the patients can be treated with a R0 resection. The prognosis of metastatic gastric cancer is poor, a median survival of 3 to 4 months for untreated patients and 6 to 9 months for patients treated with chemotherapy. The only potentially curative treatment for gastric cancer is surgery. However, relapse after surgical resection is common and accounts for the high mortality rate. The poor survival after surgery for patients who had lymph node metastases suggests that surgery alone is not adequate and that an additional therapy is needed. Strategies of peri-operative chemotherapy and of postoperative chemoradiation have shown to improve the overall survival of patients with gastric cancer.

A *multidisciplinary discussion and treatment planning* of all patients with gastric cancer is mandatory to guarantee optimal quality of care.

**Surgery**

Surgical resection of the primary tumour and regional lymph nodes is the treatment of choice for gastric cancer. Surgery should be performed by well trained and experienced surgeons in centres of excellence to optimise the treatment planning and the surgical treatment. Quality control of surgery and of pathology is also very important.

For tumours located in the proximal and middle third of the stomach or when a diffuse type gastric cancer is found a total gastrectomy is recommended [1, 2, 10]. For tumours localised in the distal (antral) stomach, most surgeons recommend today a distal gastrectomy, since randomised trials did not show any advantage of total gastrectomy over subtotal gastrectomy in this setting [11–13]. For tumours involving the GE junction a partial oesophagectomy should also be performed.

In very small and superficial cancers an endoscopic mucosal resection can be performed by experienced physicians [14]. A limited gastric resection in combination with a sentinel node examination gives adequate loco-regional control and good chances of survival for T1 tumours in the hands of very experienced surgeons.

Guidelines for the standardisation of surgical treatment and pathologic evaluation have been made [10, 15]. According to these guidelines 16 different lymph node compartments are identified surrounding the stomach. The perigastric lymph node stations along the lesser and greater curvature are group N1, whereas the nodes along the left gastric, hepatic, celiac and splenic arteries are group N2. Other lymph node stations are described as N3 and N4 and include nodes at the posterior aspect of the pancreas head, nodes at the root of the mesenterium, nodes in the mesocolon of the transverse colon and para-aortic nodes.

A D1 dissection entails removal of the involved part of the stomach including greater and lesser omentum. For a D2 dissection, the omental bursa is removed with the front leave of the transverse mesocolon and the mentioned vascular pedicles of the stomach are cleared completely [10, 15]. The experts agreed that at least a D1 resection should be performed and that it is mandatory that at least 15 lymph nodes are removed and recovered. The resection margins should be free of tumour. A splenectomy and pancreas tail resection should not be performed routinely unless there is tumour invasion (Table 1).

The experts base their recommendations on data from different publications. In Japan complete removal of the N1 and N2 nodes is considered standard practice for curative resection based on evidence from large retrospective studies [16].
Patterns of relapse are important when considering adjuvant therapy. It has been shown that more than half of the patients who undergo a resection with curative intention will have locoregional recurrence [2]. This highlights the fact that surgery alone was unable to eradicate all locoregional disease and supports again the need for optimal surgery and also for the evaluations of complementary strategies aiming at decreasing local relapse as well as distant metastases.

Although the treatment delivered determines a patient’s prognosis to a large extent, other factors, such as patient age and gender, the stage of disease at presentation, tumour localisation and morphology play a substantial role. Current staging modalities, which solely focus on the extent of tumour invasion and the presence of lymph node disease, do not take these factors into account. Therefore nomograms have been developed to address this problem. They are predictive tools for the individual patient based on known prognostic variables including the extent of surgical treatment. Nomograms help with patient counselling, follow-up scheduling and clinical trial determination and have been developed for several tumours. Recently a statistical model developed for gastric carcinoma was able to predict an individual patient’s probability for 5–9 year disease specific survival after R0 resection for gastric cancer in a single institution US population involving more than 1000 patients [21]. This nomogram has been validated in a multicentre study of 459 patients from the Dutch Gastric Cancer trial. The nomogram provided predictions that discriminated better than the AJCC staging system, regardless of the extent of lymph node dissection [22, 23].

### adjuvant chemotherapy

Most of the individual trials studying the effect of postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy do not show a survival advantage compared to surgery alone. These studies often randomised a low number of patients and are clearly underpowered. The trials studied also predominantly older chemotherapy regimens, although the most recently reported trials have used adequate cisplatin-containing regimens [24]. Further, the patient populations studied were heterogeneous, including patient populations with both high and low risk of recurrence.

Five meta-analyses (or combined analyses) of adjuvant chemotherapy have been published [25–29]. Most of the analyses show a small benefit in survival for patients treated with postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. When analysed, this small gain is only seen in Asian, and not in Western world, studies. Because of the nature of the data adjuvant chemotherapy is not generally advised to patients who undergo a complete surgical resection of gastric cancer.

### postoperative chemoradiotherapy

A very important study is the trial of the US GI-Intergroup study, which randomised 556 patients with resected adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastro-oesophageal junction to surgery plus postoperative chemoradiotherapy or surgery alone [30]. The adjuvant treatment consisted of 425 mg/m² 5-FU (bolus infusion) per day plus 20 mg/m² of leucovorin (LV)

---

**Table 1. Surgery in gastric cancer**

- Should be performed by
  - well trained and experienced surgeons
  - in centres of excellence
- Quality control of surgery is important
- Quality control of pathology is important
- Multidisciplinary approach and discussion is warranted
- Type of surgery
  - Total gastrectomy for proximal tumours
  - Subtotal gastrectomy for distal tumours
  - Subtotal or distal oesophagectomy for distal oesophageal tumours and GE junction tumour – Siewert type I
  - Endoscopic mucosal resection only for very superficial lesions
  - Limited resection + sentinel node resection for T1 tumours: adequate locoregional control and survival in experienced hands
- At least D1 resection
- Mandatory: at least 15 lymph nodes
- No routine sentinel lymph node analysis
- Free margins
- No splenectomy unless tumour extends to spleen.
for five days, followed by 45 Gy in 25 fractions of 1.8 Gy over 5 weeks with bolus 5-FU and LV during the first and last week of the radiotherapy. This was followed 4 weeks later by two cycles of bolus 5-FU/LV. The median overall survival in the surgery only arm was 27 months, compared to 36 months in the chemoradiotherapy group ($P < 0.05$). The survival at 3 years was 50 versus 40% in favour of patients treated with postoperative chemoradiotherapy. After a median follow-up of 5 years, compared with surgery alone, the 5 year overall survival was improved by 11.6% (28.4% versus 40% respectively; $P < 0.001$) and the relapse-free survival was increased from 25 to 31% in favour of patients treated with postoperative chemoradiotherapy. Patients treated with postoperative chemoradiotherapy had significantly fewer locoregional recurrences. Three patients died from toxic effects of chemoradiotherapy. Grade 3 toxic effects occurred in 41% and grade 4 in 32% of the patients treated with chemoradiotherapy [30]. Most patients did not undergo an extensive surgical resection although the protocol recommended a D2 resection. Fifty-four percent of the patients did not even have a D1 resection [24]. It has therefore been suggested that the postoperative chemoradiotherapy was simply making up for inadequate surgery. However, a large observational study from Korea, while confirming the results of the US Intergroup study, suggested also a benefit of postoperative chemoradiotherapy after D2 resection [31]. It is, however, also possible that the effects could have been even larger if surgery had been more adequate leaving fewer cancer cells to be killed by the additional therapy. The chemotherapy used in this study was never considered to be a highly effective combination for stomach cancer. Therefore better chemotherapeutic options should be investigated in this setting: actually infused regimens of 5-FU are recommended in this combination regimen because of better tolerability. In gastric cancer, there are no trials having compared bolus with infused 5-FU. The addition of new cytotoxic agents is under investigation [32].

The experts at the World Congress on Gastrointestinal Cancer recommend, however, to use a more optimised Intergroup schedule. There was an agreement that infused 5-FU instead of bolus 5-FU regimens before, during and after radiotherapy should be recommended. There was an agreement that cisplatin or irinotecan based chemotherapy before, during and after the radiotherapy is reserved for evaluation in protocols.

The experts recommend irradiating similar volumes as those irradiated in the Intergroup protocol. A dose of 45 Gy in fractions of 1.8 Gy is recommended. Postoperative radiotherapy in gastric cancer should be performed by experienced radiation oncologists.

The target volume should be delineated on a CT scan in the treatment position taking into account the surgery and pathology reports. Up to 30% deviations from the treatment protocol were noticed in the Intergroup study so careful planning by experienced radiation oncologists is a prerequisite [30, 33].

### perioperative chemotherapy

The Magic trial compared a strategy of surgery alone with the administration of three cycles of preoperative chemotherapy followed by surgery followed by three cycles of postoperative chemotherapy (perioperative chemotherapy) in 504 patients with gastric and GE junction adenocarcinoma. The ECF regimen was selected as chemotherapy regimen: epirubicin, cisplatin and protracted 5-FU every 3 weeks. The patients treated with perioperative chemotherapy had a significantly better survival: 50 versus 41% were alive at 2 years and 36 versus 23% at 5 years compared to patients who were treated with surgery only. The median survival was significantly longer for patients treated with perioperative chemotherapy: 24 versus 20 months ($P = 0.009$). The progression free survival was also significantly improved for patients treated with perioperative chemotherapy: HR 0.66 (95% CI 0.53 – 0.81; $P = 0.0001$) [34]. Approximately 40% of the patients enrolled in the experimental...
arm of the MAGIC trial did not receive the planned adjuvant part of systemic therapy. This is in accordance with the observed decreased patient tolerance to chemotherapy observed early after gastrectomy which is probably related to poor food intake capacity.

**specific issues**

Although phase II studies with preoperative chemoradiotherapy for resectable tumours are appealing, this approach is at the moment investigational. In T4 or ‘difficult’ tumours (especially GE junction tumours) there is an emerging use of preoperative chemoradiotherapy, based on the studies in oesophageal cancer and on the phase II studies in gastric cancer.

The experts recognise the lack of specific studies in patients with GE junction adenocarcinomas and recommend, because most of the studies with gastric cancer include GE junction adenocarcinomas as well, a treatment algorithm for patients with GE junction tumours similar to patients with gastric cancer.

In patients who underwent a partial gastrectomy and in whom Helicobacter pylori was found, Helicobacter pylori eradication in accordance with standard regimens, containing a combination of a proton pomp inhibitor and a double of antibiotics, is recommended, after recovery from surgery.

Intramuscular vitamin B12 injections should be administered at regular intervals after gastrectomy.

**general recommendations for treatment**

The experts recognise the growing evidence that (neo-)adjuvant treatment increases the outcome of selected patients. As general strategy there was an agreement to recommend postoperative chemoradiotherapy in patients who underwent inadequate surgery or less than D1 resection. The strategy of either postoperative chemoradiotherapy or perioperative chemotherapy can be recommended as strategies in centres after multidisciplinary team discussions. For both strategies the evidence is based on data from a large well performed randomized trial (level 2 evidence). The evidence supporting postoperative chemotherapy is not so strong and is based on several combined analyses (level 3 evidence or possibly level 1 evidence for no sufficient positive benefit of therapy). The experts agree to recommend a perioperative chemotherapy or postoperative chemoradiotherapy for patients who have stage T3, T4 or N+ M0 gastric cancer. There was no general agreement whether patients with stage T2bN0 should be offered an adjuvant treatment. In this setting other factors should be taken into consideration (e.g. factors presented in Nomograms).

A neo-adjuvant or adjuvant treatment should be offered only to fit patients without important comorbidities. Crucial in good tolerance, especially for the postoperative chemoradiotherapy, is the ability of the patient to have an adequate calorie intake during the treatment. Adequate measures are therefore necessary, with eventually the administration of enteral nutrition (Table 3).

**surveillance of gastric cancer**

There is no clear evidence in the literature that systematic follow-up is useful. A detection of recurrence usually does not lead to curative therapeutic interventions. The purpose of follow-up visits is for general symptom care. The experts therefore recommend limiting technical examinations to a minimum if the patient is asymptomatic. The frequency of a surveillance visit is usually every 3–6 months in the first three years and becomes less intensive after 3 years.

**future research**

There are still many open questions for future research:

- The evaluation of diagnostic modalities.
- The evaluation of prognostic and predictive markers.
- The evaluation of molecular characteristics.
- The evaluation of treatment strategies.
- The evaluation of new agents.

---

**Table 3.** (Neo-)adjuvant treatment in gastric cancer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>If inadequate surgery, i.e. &lt;D1 resection:</strong></td>
<td>postoperative chemoradiotherapy should be considered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategy of</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- perioperative chemotherapy (pre- and postoperative) level 2 evidence (randomised controlled trial: Magic)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- postoperative chemoradiotherapy level 2 evidence (randomised controlled trial: INT-0116)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- postoperative chemotherapy level 3 evidence (not-optimal meta-analyses)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indication stage:</strong></td>
<td>(T2B), T3, T4 or N+ M0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adjuvant chemoradiotherapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- optimised SWOG/INT schedule</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- infused 5-FU before, during and after RT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- combination CT e.g. cisplatin/irinotecan in CRT are reserved for evaluation in clinical trials</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- RT targets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- large targets similar to the SWOG/INT protocol</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 45 Gy in fractions of 1.8 Gy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- experienced radiation oncologists</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perioperative chemotherapy</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- ECF regimen</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 5-FU/cisplatin-based</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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conclusions
Consensus cannot be reached on all aspects of the management of gastric cancer, but expert advice can be given based on clinical data and on clinical experience. The knowledge on gastric cancer treatment is increasing and the evidence is growing that ‘optimal’ care improves the outcome of patients with gastric cancer.

A multidisciplinary approach in experienced centres is mandatory for adequate staging, for optimal surgery and for the selection of an adequate (neo-)adjuvant strategy.

Relapses after gastric cancer are frequent. Recent data on the (neo-)adjuvant therapy have changed clinical practice in patients with gastric cancer at risk of recurrence after complete (neo-)adjuvant therapy. The evidence is growing that data and on clinical experience. The strategy of postoperative chemotherapy and level 3 evidence for postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy. The strategy of postoperative chemoradiotherapy and of perioperative chemotherapy decreases the risk of recurrence and improves the outcome for patients fit to undergo these treatments.

Those involved in the treatment of patients with gastric cancer should be encouraged to participate in well-designed clinical trials, in order to increase the evidence-based knowledge and to make further progress.
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