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Abstract

To study the progression rate of keratoconus and assess the clinical outcome of corneal collagen cross-linking (CXL) with riboflavin and ultraviolet A light in children and adolescent patients up to 3 years after treatment.
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ABSTRACT

PURPOSE: To study the progression rate of keratoconus and assess the clinical outcome of corneal collagen cross-linking (CXL) with riboflavin and ultraviolet A light in children and adolescent patients up to 3 years after treatment.

METHODS: Fifty-nine eyes from 42 children and adolescents (aged 9 to 19 years) with confirmed keratoconus were included in this retrospective interventional cohort study. Refraction, slit-lamp examination, Placido-based corneal topography, and Scheimpflug imaging were performed bilaterally in all patients preoperatively and at 6 and 12 months postoperatively. Maximal keratometry readings (Kmax), corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA), corneal thickness, and the keratoconus index (KI) were analyzed. Follow-up was up to 36 months (mean follow-up: 26.3 months [range: 12 to 36 months]).

RESULTS: Fifty-two of the 59 eyes enrolled in this study showed progression, corresponding to a progression rate of 88%. Forty-six eyes were treated by CXL. Maximal keratometry, CDVA, and KI showed significant changes over the follow-up period. However, significant Kmax reduction observed up to 24 months after CXL lost significance at 36 months.

CONCLUSIONS: Cross-linking seems to be safe in children and adolescents. Progression of keratoconus occurred in 88%. We propose that awaiting documentation of progression is not mandatory and CXL in children and adolescents should be performed as soon as the diagnosis has been made. However, the effect of arrest of disease progression might not be as long-lasting as in adults and longer follow-up is needed to verify this trend. [J Refract Surg. 2012;28(11):753-758.] doi:10.3928/1081597X-20121011-01
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Follow-up (mos) | Kmax (D)* | CDVA (logMAR)* | KI† | Pachymetry (µm)*
--- | --- | --- | --- | ---
3 (n=43) | -1.0 (-1.6/0.2)‡ | 0.00 (-0.14/0.00) | -0.010 (-0.020/0.020) | -41 (-65/-26)‡
6 (n=43) | -0.5 (-1.4/0.1)‡ | 0.00 (-0.17/0.00)‡ | -0.010 (-0.020/0.010) | -23 (-41/-7)‡
12 (n=44) | -1.1 (-2.4/-0.2)‡ | -0.14 (-0.24/0.00)‡ | -0.010 (-0.040/0.010) | -10 (-20/-3)‡
24 (n=23) | -0.7 (-1.7/0.1) | -0.08 (-0.21/0.00)‡ | -0.020 (-0.060/0.000)‡ | -5 (-20/)‡
36 (n=11) | 0.5 (-0.1/1.0) | -0.13 (-0.20/0.00)‡ | 0.000 (-0.010/0.010) | -4 (-11/13)‡

Kmax = maximal keratometry, CDVA = corrected distance visual acuity, KI = keratoconus index

 Significant influence of time was found on all four measures (Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance on ranks)—*P<.001, †P<.01.
‡Values that are significantly different from zero (preoperative value, Dunn’s method, P<.05).

**Patients**

Fifty-nine eyes from 42 children and adolescents (29 boys, 13 girls) with confirmed keratoconus were included in this retrospective interventional cohort study between March 2005 and July 2010. Of these, 52 eyes showed progression, as defined by an increase of maximal keratometry (Kmax) readings of the anterior corneal surface, at 3.0 mm from the apex, of at least 1.00 diopter (D) in corneal topographies over a maximum of 12 months. Maximal keratometry ranged from 46.30 to 69.80 D (keratoconus grade I to IV according to Amsler-Krumeich) and mean Kmax was 55.90 D. Patient age ranged from 9 to 19 years (mean: 16.6 years, min: 9.4; max: 18.7). All patients were operated at the Institute for Refractive and Ophthalmic Surgery (IROC) in Zurich, Switzerland.

**Diagnosis of Keratoconus**

For the diagnosis of keratoconus, corneal topography (Keratograph C; Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH, Wetzlar, Germany) and Scheimpflug imaging (Pentacam 70700, Oculus Optikgeräte GmbH) were used. To verify progression of keratoconus and for postoperative follow-up, Scheimpflug imaging (Pentacam) was used. To improve accuracy of measurements, only measurements with more than 95% of the 500 elevation points measured (“OK” sign) were included. Pentacam automatic release mode was used to ensure the correct focus.

**Preoperative Examinations and Follow-up**

Only corneas that showed minimal stromal thickness >400 µm, as measured by ultrasound pachymetry (Tomey SP-2000; Tomey Corp, Nagoya, Japan) were included in the treatment group. Patients using rigid contact lenses were asked to remove them at least 2 weeks prior to the preoperative examination and each follow-up examination.

Patients were examined preoperatively, early postoperatively (1 to 3 days until healing of the epithelium) and at 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months after surgery. Preoperatively and at every follow-up, except early postoperatively, corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) using a Snellen chart, slit-lamp examination of the anterior and posterior segment, Goldmann applanation tonometry, corneal topography, corneal thickness (as measured by ultrasound), and Scheimpflug imaging were performed. The keratoconus index (KI) is calculated from a portion of the 500 elevation points measured on the cornea, comparing the keratoconus sector with a normal sector, provided by the Pentacam software.

**CXL and Postoperative Care**

Cross-linking was performed using isoosmolaric riboflavin solution as described previously. After treatment, antibiotic ointment (ofloxacin 0.3%) was given and a bandage contact lens soaked with preservative-free antibiotic (ofloxacin 0.3%) was applied until complete healing of the corneal epithelium, followed by application of fluorometholone eye drops twice daily for 2 weeks.

**Statistical Analysis**

Changes in the parameters investigated (Kmax, CDVA, corneal thickness, and KI) were statistically analyzed. In the absence of a normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk), the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis one way analysis of variance on ranks was used to analyze the influence time on medians. Post-hoc comparisons to zero (preoperative value) were performed using Dunn’s multiple comparison test. All calculations were performed with Sigmaplot 11.0 (Systat Software Inc, Chicago, Illinois).
RESULTS

Between March 2005 and July 2010, all children and adolescents seen in our setting and presenting with keratoconus (42 individuals, 59 eyes) were followed for progression. Progression was defined as an increase of Kmax of the anterior corneal surface of at least 1.00 diopter (D) over a period of 12 months, as demonstrated by corneal topography (Keratograph C) or Scheimpflug imaging (Pentacam 70700). Progression of keratoconus occurred in 52 (88%) of the 59 eyes investigated. Cross-linking was performed in 46 of 52 eyes, as the parents did not agree to treatment in the remaining 6 eyes. Examinations were performed daily until complete healing of the corneal epithelium and at 1, 3, 6, 12, 24, and 36 months after treatment.

No complications were noted in the postoperative period, except for 2 eyes with delayed epithelial healing that occurred within 8 days.

The Table summarizes the median changes in recorded values after CXL. At 3 months after CXL, Kmax and ultrasound pachymetry decreased significantly, CDVA remained unchanged, and KI showed no significant changes. At 6 and 12 months after CXL, Kmax and pachymetry showed significant decreases, CDVA showed significant improvement, and

Figure 1. Change in A) corrected distance visual acuity (CDVA) and B) maximum keratometry (Kmax).
KI changes were not significant. At 24 months after CXL, Kmax and pachymetry decreased in a statistically significant manner, whereas KI did not change significantly. At 36 months after treatment, Kmax and pachymetry were not statistically significant from baseline measurements, but CDVA still showed a significant improvement.

**CHANGE IN CORRECTED DISTANCE VISUAL ACUITY**

Postoperative values are compared to the values measured immediately before the procedure (Fig 1). Specifically, at 3 months after CXL, CDVA improved at least 1 Snellen line in 12 (28%) eyes and remained unchanged in 29 (67%) eyes. Two (5%) eyes lost 1 Snellen line. At 6 and 12 months after CXL, CDVA improved in 20 (47%) and 30 (68%) eyes, and remained unchanged in 22 (51%) and 11 (25%) eyes, respectively. One Snellen line was lost in 1 (2%) eye at 6 months and 3 (7%) eyes at 12 months after CXL. At 24 months after CXL, 14 (61%) eyes improved and 8 (35%) eyes remained unchanged. One (5%) eye lost 1 Snellen line. One year later, at 36 months after CXL, values were similar with 7 (64%) eyes that improved and 14 (36%) eyes that remained unchanged.

**CHANGE IN KMAX**

At 3 months after CXL, flattening of $\geq 1.00$ D occurred in 26 (60%) eyes and remained unchanged in 10 (23%) eyes. Kmax readings were increased by $>1.00$ D in 7 (17%) eyes. At 6 months after CXL, flattening of $>1.00$ D occurred in 24 (56%) eyes and remained unchanged in 12 (28%) eyes. Kmax readings were increased by $>1.00$ D in 7 (16%) eyes. At 12 months, flattening of $>1.00$ D occurred in 29 (66%) eyes and remained unchanged in 10 (23%) eyes. Kmax readings were increased by $>1.00$ D in 5 (11%) eyes. At 2 years after CXL, Kmax values were not significantly different from baseline values with 22 (52%) eyes showing flattening and 15 (35%) eyes remaining unchanged. At 3 years after CXL, only 1 (9%) eye showed flattening, 4 (36%) eyes remained unchanged, and 6 (55%) eyes showed an increase in Kmax values of $>1.00$ D (Figs 1 and 2).

Three patients had a history of extensive eye rubbing, showing a strong side preference and bilateral keratectasia. Of these, an 11-year-old boy who did not cease extensive rubbing after CXL showed progression of 1.90 D at 12-month follow-up and CXL was repeated. With a second follow-up of 11 months after repeat CXL and a distinct change in his eye rubbing behavior, this patient was stable.
DISCUSSION

This study was performed to investigate two clinical questions: the safety and efficacy of CXL for progressive keratoconus in children and adolescents and the rate of keratoconus progression during clinical follow-up in a population of children and adolescents who were diagnosed with keratoconus. These individuals were preselected, as they were seen by ophthalmologists for a recent decrease in visual acuity or because another family member was affected by keratoconus.

We observed that CXL in children and adolescents shows a safety profile similar to that of adults over the whole duration of the study. We compared the safety, efficacy, and clinical outcome of our data with two recently published studies.25,26

Little is known about the efficacy and long-term outcome of CXL in keratocasias complicated by or due to excessive eye rubbing. Extensive eye rubbing was observed in 3 patients (3 eyes, 6%). Of these, keratocasia showed progression at 12-month follow-up in 1 eye and CXL was repeated. Prolonged healing was observed in 2 cases where the epithelium took up to 10 days for full closure. No other complications were noted.

The analysis of Kmax values, CDVA, pachymetry, and KI in the entire study population revealed interesting findings. The Kmax values were significantly reduced as early as 3 months after treatment and up to 24 months after CXL. These findings are in agreement with 3-month follow-up in adults published by Caporossi et al in an earlier study and by recent data on children published by Caporossi’s group.25,27 At 36 months after CXL, the flattening effect seemed to halt and Kmax showed a tendency for progression. This might indicate that although the juvenile cornea reacts to CXL-induced improvement in the short-term, the effect might not be strong enough to show arrest of keratoconus progression at longer follow-up. Corrected distance visual acuity shows a similar effect, but with a much greater latency: a significant improvement takes longer to occur, and even after 36 months, when the flattening effect on the cornea came to an arrest, CDVA was still significantly better than before CXL. Similarly, the KI had a greater latency to react and the typical reduction of corneal thickness had no lasting effect after CXL.

Recently, two studies have been published investigating the effect of CXL in pediatric patients.25,26 Caporossi et al investigated the effect of CXL in 152 patients aged 10 to 18 years, with 36-month follow-up. Vinciguerra et al analyzed the outcome of CXL in 40 eyes of patients aged 9 to 18 years with 24-month follow-up. Although neither of these studies precisely followed the widely accepted definitions of childhood (8 to 15 years) and adolescence (10 to 19 years), they are comparable to the age group investigated in our study.

We therefore compared the results of the three studies: in all studies, Kmax showed a significant decrease between 3- and 24-month follow-up.25,26 However, at 36-month follow-up, Kmax values were significantly reduced in the study by Caporossi et al, but not significantly different from preoperative values in our study. Vinciguerra et al did not have data for 36-month follow-up. Corrected distance visual acuity showed a similar behavior in all groups over the entire follow-up period, with a significant increase in all studies up to 24-month follow-up. This increase in CDVA remained significant at 36-month follow-up in the Caporossi et al study and in our study.

We suggest making efforts to document the progression of CXL prior to treatment, because CXL is not yet globally practiced and remains a surgical technique that carries certain risks.24,28 Before treating a child or an adolescent, we need to ensure the medical necessity of the treatment by documenting progression of the disease. We therefore investigated how many eyes initially diagnosed with keratoconus in this study showed progression. We found that 52 (88%) of 59 eyes were progressive within 12 months. This might not reflect the total keratoconus population, as our study group consisted of preselected patients who were seen by an ophthalmologist for either a decline in visual acuity/ quality or because another family member had keratoconus. Nevertheless, this progression rate represents a high number. These findings are in agreement with the fact that keratoconus is most active in the young cornea.16 and we recommend that CXL be performed at the earliest age possible to arrest disease progression in its beginnings. However, our patient sample does not allow for analysis of subgroups. A higher number of eyes must be treated to provide detailed insight into this question.

Corneal collagen CXL for progressive keratoconus in children and adolescents is a procedure with a safety profile similar to the one observed in adults. However, the effect of CXL might not last as long as in adults, and we recommend careful follow-up to detect a decrease of the CXL-induced effect. A study investigating the long-term effects (>3 years) is needed to clarify the eventual progression of keratoconus.

We also recommend not waiting for documented progression in children and adolescents. Once the individual risk-benefit analysis has been performed and once the patient and legal guardian have received in-depth information and enough time for consideration, treatment should be performed as soon as an unambiguous diagnosis is made.
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