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Abstract

The notoriously multi-resistant Staphylococcus haemolyticus is an emerging pathogen causing serious infections in immunocompromised patients. Defining the population structure is important to detect outbreaks and spread of antimicrobial resistant clones. Currently, the standard typing technique is pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). In this study we describe novel molecular typing schemes for S. haemolyticus using multi locus sequence typing (MLST) and multi locus variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) analysis. Seven housekeeping genes (MLST) and five VNTR loci (MLVF) were selected for the novel typing schemes. A panel of 45 human and veterinary S. haemolyticus isolates was investigated. The collection had diverse PFGE patterns (38 PFGE types) and was sampled over a 20 year-period from eight countries. MLST resolved 17 sequence types (Simpsons index of diversity [SID]=0.877) and MLVF resolved 14 repeat types (SID=0.831). We found a low sequence diversity. Phylogenetic analysis clustered the isolates in three (MLST) and one (MLVF) clonal complexes, respectively. Taken together, neither the MLST nor the MLVF scheme [...]
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**Abstract**
The notoriously multi-resistant *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* is an emerging pathogen causing serious infections in immunocompromised patients. Defining the population structure is important to detect outbreaks and spread of antimicrobial resistant clones. Currently, the standard typing technique is pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). In this study we describe novel molecular typing schemes for *S. haemolyticus* using multi locus sequence typing (MLST) and multi locus variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) analysis. Seven housekeeping genes (MLST) and five VNTR loci (MLVF) were selected for the novel typing schemes. A panel of 45 human and veterinary *S. haemolyticus* isolates was investigated. The collection had diverse PFGE patterns (38 PFGE types) and was sampled over a 20-year-period from eight countries. MLST resolved 17 sequence types (Simpson’s index of diversity [SID] = 0.877) and MLVF resolved 14 repeat types (SID = 0.831). We found a low sequence diversity. Phylogenetic analysis clustered the isolates in three (MLST) and one (MLVF) clonal complexes, respectively. Taken together, neither the MLST nor the MLVF scheme was suitable to resolve the population structure of this *S. haemolyticus* collection. Future MLVF and MLST schemes will benefit from addition of more variable core genome sequences identified by comparing different fully sequenced *S. haemolyticus* genomes.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

*Staphylococcus haemolyticus* belongs to the group of coagulase-negative staphylococci (CoNS) and is part of the human normal flora of skin and mucous membranes. It is also an opportunistic pathogen and the second most frequently CoNS isolated from human blood cultures (*Falcone et al., 2006*). *S. haemolyticus* is primarily associated with infections in immunocompromised patients, e.g. patients with haematological disease and immature infants (*Nouri et al., 2008*). The ability to produce biofilm and the notoriously multi-resistance to antimicrobial agents, including glycopeptides, favours *S. haemolyticus* as an emerging cause of nosocomial infections (*de Allori et al., 2006; Falcone et al., 2006; Fredheim et al., 2009; Froggatt et al., 1989; Hiramatsu, 1998; Hope et al., 2008; Koksal et al., 2009; Schwalbe et al., 1987*).

Reliable phenotypic species identification of *S. haemolyticus* is challenging (*Shittu et al., 2004*). Misidentification, or failure of identification of *S. haemolyticus* by conventional biochemical methods has been reported (*De Paulis et al., 2003*). This observation might result from structural rearrangements in the chromosome due to the presence of IS elements (*Watanabe et al., 2007*). Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) has recently proven to provide a reliable and rapid tool for identification of *Staphylococcus* species (*Benaglia et al., 2011; Dubois et al., 2010*). In a comparative study of the genomes of *S. haemolyticus* (JCSC 1435), *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus aureus* an average sequence identity of 78% in genes found as orthologues were detected (*Takeuchi et al., 2005*). In particular, the oriC environ contained regions common for all three species (e.g. the staphylococcal cassette chromosome –SCC) but also regions unique to each species. Sequence similarity between resistance genes suggests that resistance determinants are readily transferred between these staphylococcal species (*Froggatt et al., 1989*). When comparing...
different \emph{S. haemolyticus} isolates, large scale chromosomal inversions in the oriC environ were reported \citep{Watanabe2007}.

Molecular typing methods are mandatory for population structure analyses in both local and global settings. Defining the population structure and dynamics is important to detect both outbreaks of pathogenic strains as well as the establishment and spread of antimicrobial resistant clones. Feasibility of molecular typing methods depends on discriminatory power, possibility for inter-laboratory comparison and laboriousness. The current molecular typing method available for \emph{S. haemolyticus} is genome restriction fragment pattern analysis after pulsed field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) \citep{BenSaida2009, Burnie1997, Tabe1998}. PFGE is considered a very useful method for short term investigation of an outbreak situation. However, PFGE is labour intensive and inter-laboratory comparisons of results are difficult due to technical differences and subjective interpretation of band patterns \citep{Murchan2003, teWitt2010, Tenover1995}.

Molecular population studies of pathogenic strains using multi locus sequence typing (MLST) utilize genetic diversity based on changes in relative slowly evolving housekeeping genes. The variation observed is generally due to point mutations and/or recombination \citep{Perez-Losada2006}. Isolates with identical profiles are grouped as related, or clonal. Information of changes introduced to the slowly evolving housekeeping genes is used to describe patterns of evolution and global spread.

Multi locus variable number of tandem repeats (VNTR) analysis (MLVF) takes advantage of variation in repetitive DNA, which is found at multiple loci in most bacteria. The individual pattern of repeat units and sequence heterogeneity is a useful phylogenetic marker. Strain relatedness is based on varying number of tandem repeats and found to be an appropriate tool for investigation of short term bacterial evolution and epidemiological typing \citep{vanBelkum1999}. Compared to PFGE and MLST, MLVF is an attractive typing method due to its simplicity, rapidity and high discriminating power \citep{Francois2006, Francois2005, Lindstedt2005}.

This work aimed to find a molecular typing method with a discriminatory power suitable for molecular epidemiology analyses of clinical isolates of \emph{S. haemolyticus}, in order to answer basic questions concerning the population structure. In this report we describe the development of a MLST and a MLVF scheme, and the observation of a conserved core genome in \emph{S. haemolyticus} \citep{Koksal2009}.

\section{Materials and methods}

\subsection{Strain collection}

A total of 1725 \emph{haemolyticus} isolates were obtained from national and international collaborators. The isolates were collected during the period 1989 to 2010. The collection comprised 164 human clinical isolates (isolated in connection with clinical diagnostics), four human community acquired isolates and four isolates of veterinary clinical origin. The isolates were defined as community acquired if they were recovered within 48 h of hospitalisation or isolated from healthy individuals without prior hospitalisation the past year \citep{Kaplan1989}. Isolates with identical profiling were termed as biovar.

\subsection{PFGE}

All 172 isolates were typed by PFGE using a previously described method \citep{Hanssen2004}. The PFGE patterns were analyzed using Gel Comp software version 2.5 (Applied Maths, Ghent, Belgium). The Dice band-based similarity coefficient was calculated with a band position tolerance of 1.0%. The overall genetic relationship was determined creating a dendrogram by the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic means (UPGMA) logarithm. The isolates were assigned to different groups, where groups were defined as two or more isolates with $>80\%$ similarity \citep{Carrió2005}. The discriminatory ability of the novel MLST and MLVF schemes was calculated on a restricted collection of diverse isolates ($n=45$). Selection criteria were different PFGE profiles, temporal spread and different geographic origin (Fig. 1). In order to study possible geographic related clones we selected a small collection of isolates from the same geographic origin. In addition we also selected some isolates with similar PFGE band patterns. We also included veterinary and community acquired isolates in order to further evaluate the discriminatory ability. The selected isolates were investigated further as outlined below.

\subsection{Species identification}

Species identification was reconfirmed using a polyphasic approach. First by Gram staining, catalase test and coagulation assay by Staphaureux plus® (BioMerieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France) followed by partial 16S rRNA gene or \textit{rpoB} gene sequencing \citep{Drancourt2002, Pettersson1997}.

\subsection{Antimicrobial susceptibility testing}

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing to penicillin, gentamicin, erythromycin, tetracycline, vancomycin, rifampicin, and oxacillin was performed using Etest according to the manufacturer’s description (AB BIODISK, Solna, Sweden). The antimicrobial breakpoints were interpreted according to the EUCAST guidelines \citep{EUCAST2011}.

\subsection{Biofilm quantification}

The biofilm producing ability of the isolates was determined by a semi-quantitative assay as described previously \citep{Christensen1985, Klingenberg2005}. Briefly, overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 in Tryptic Soy Broth (TSB, Becton Dickinson, Puls AS, Norway) with 1\% glucose and incubated for 24 h at 37 °C in polystyrene microtiter plates (Nunclon, Roskilde, Denmark). The biofilm was washed 3× in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), fixed at 55 °C for 1 h and stained with crystal violet. Before detection the stain was dissolved with an ethanol/acetone (70:30) mixture. Optical density (OD) was measured in an ELISA reader, and isolates with an OD$_{opt}$ $>0.25$ were defined as biofilm positive. \emph{S. epidermidis} RP62A was included as a positive control and \emph{S. haemolyticus} 51–03 was included as a negative control \citep{Fredheim2009, Yang2006}.

\subsection{DNA isolation, PCR conditions and sequencing}

Template DNA was prepared by boiling, as previously described \citep{Hanssen2004}. Purified DNA was stored at $-20\,$°C. PCRs for MLST and MLVF were performed with 25 μl reaction volumes, comprising 0.4 pmol/sample of each primer, 3 μl template DNA and 12.5 μl of ReddyMix (Cat. no. AB-0815, ABgene, Surrey, UK). MgCl$_2$ was added to a final concentration of 4.5 mM. MLST and MLVF PCRs were performed as previously described \citep{Francois2008, Thomas2007}, apart from the MLVF PCR annealing temperature which was set to 55 °C. Cycle sequencing of both strands was performed as previously described using the Big Dye Terminator (version 3.1) cycle sequencing kit (Applied Biosystems, Warrington, UK) and analyzed on an ABI Prism 377 sequence analyzer.

\subsection{Design of a novel MLST scheme for \emph{S. haemolyticus}}

Internal segments of 18 genes were initially tested on five geographically diverse \emph{S. haemolyticus} isolates in order to find appropriate variability for the MLST scheme. The 18 genes tested were, i) equivalents
of the six loci used in the *S. epidermidis* MLST scheme (arc, araE, gtr, mutS, pyrR, tpi) (Thomas et al., 2007), ii) *gfp* from the *S. aureus* MLST scheme (Enright et al., 2003) iii) equivalents of additional loci with reported higher sequence divergence than the traditional MLST genes studied in *S. aureus* (ppbp, leuB, hemH, luxS, SH2038, SH1200, SH3028) (Cooper and Feil, 2006) and iv) four additional genes Ribose ABC, SH 1431, cfxE and SH 0871 selected from *S. haemolyticus* JCSC 1435 (Takeuchi et al., 2005). Equivalents of Ribose ABC and SH 1431 were not found in the genomes of *S. epidermidis* and *S. aureus* based on comparative basic local alignment search tool (BLAST) (Altschul et al., 1990) searches. For the genomes selected from the *S. epidermidis*/S. aureus MLST-schemes, equivalent primers were designed from the published genome of JSCS 1435 (accession number AP006716) (Takeuchi et al., 2005). The seven gene segments that gave the highest variability were used to perform MLST on the 45 selected isolates. The primers used in the final MLST are listed in Table 1. Isolate S5B 278-10 was excluded from the MLST analysis due to failure in amplification of one of the target genes.

2.7.1. DNA sequence analysis
The nucleotide sequences were aligned by using Bio Edit sequence alignment editor (version 7.0.9.0) (Hall, 1999) and compared to the published sequence of JCSC 1435 in the GenBank database by using BLAST.

2.7.2. Phylogenetic analysis
Each of the selected isolates was defined by seven digit allelic profile where each unique allelic profile defines a sequence type (ST). eBURST V3 (http://eburst.mlst.net) was used to determine the most putative relationship between isolates (Feil et al., 2004: Spratt et al., 2004). Clonal complexes (CC) were defined using the default setting where STs that have diversified recently from a common founder and share six of seven alleles with at least one other ST in the group, are grouped in a clonal complex (Feil et al., 2003).

All analyses were performed using Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis (MEGA) 4 (Tamura et al., 2007). Neighbour joining (NJ) dendrograms for the individual MLST loci were created and maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic trees were constructed for the concatenated MLST sequences of six of the seven loci (hemH, cfxE, Ribose ABC, SH 1431, leuB and SH 1200) using the general time reversible (GTR) model with 2000 bootstrap resampling replications (Lanave et al., 1984). The nucleotide diversity within the major and minor CC, defined by eBURST, was calculated.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene loci</th>
<th>Primer sequence 5′ → 3′</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arc&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>F AGTGAATCAATGGA</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 1200&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>R AATCTTCATTGGAC</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hemH&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>R TCTGCATCTTGGAC</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>leuB&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>R CCTAGATGTCAGCGGT</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH 1431&lt;sup*e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>F TGTAGGACGCGTCAAGC</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CfxE&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>R CAGGACCACACACACG</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ribose ABC</td>
<td>R GAGCGGACGCGTGCCG</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> arc, carbamoyl kinase.

<sup>b</sup> SH 1200, Ser A; D-3-phosphoglycerate dehydrogenase.

<sup>c</sup> hemH, ferrochelatase.

<sup>d</sup> leuB, 3-isopropymalate dehydrogenase.

<sup>e</sup> SH 1431, cell surface elastin binding protein.

<sup>f</sup> cfxE, ribulose 5- phosphate epimerase.
2.8. Design of a novel MLVF scheme for S. haemolyticus

Tandem repeat regions were detected in the published genome of JSCS 1435 (accession number AP006716) using the tandem repeats finder (http://tandem.bu.edu/ trf/trf.html) (Benson, 1999). The number of putative target genes was in total 45. Nine of them contained tandem repeats and were selected for the assay. Nine PCR primer pairs targeting conserved flanking regions of repeat containing genes (orfs SH 0326, SH 0326b, SH 0999, SH 0040, SH 0040b, SH 2426, SH 01184, SH 0324 and SH 1645) were designed using Jellyfish (version 1.3 Biowire). The nine primer pairs were initially tested on five S. haemolyticus isolates from diverse geographical origins to find appropriate variability for the MLVF scheme. Four of the primer pairs did not generate amplicons in all strains, the remaining five primer pairs were used to perform MLVF on the 45 selected isolates. The primers used in the final MLVF scheme are listed in Table 2.

2.8.1. DNA analysis

The PCR products were separated on a 1% agarose gel (SeaKem ® LE, Takara) with 0.5× TBE (Tris-borate-EDTA) buffer for 50 min at 80 V/cm. MLVF bands were visualized on an UV transilluminator, photographed and scanned. The MLVF patterns were then visually evaluated using the criteria by Sabat et al. (2003). Two MLVF patterns differing by one or more bands were considered distinct types.

2.8.2. Population structure

Arbitrary numbers were assigned to the different MLVF band patterns observed. The combination of numbers gives a unique fingerprint tag, or repeat type (RT) number. The results were analyzed by using the eBURST V3 algorithm (Feil et al., 2004) (http://eburst.mlst.net/). Clonal complexes were defined as RTs that have diversified recently from a common founder sharing four of five alleles with at least one other RT in the group.

2.9. Discriminatory ability and clustering concordance

Simpson’s index of diversity (SID), indicating the probability of two strains sampled randomly from a population belonging to different types, was calculated to compare the discriminatory ability of MLST, MLVF and PFGE (Carrico et al., 2006; Grundmann et al., 2001; Hunter and Gaston, 1988). Adjusted Rand (AR) indices were calculated to determine the overall concordance between the methods, corrected for the presence of chance agreement. The Wallace (W) coefficient was calculated to determine the probability that two isolates classified as the same type by one method would be classified as the same by using another typing method (Carrico et al., 2006; Pinto et al., 2008). The concordance of the different typing techniques was calculated using the software described by (Carrico et al., 2006) using the online tool (http://darwin.phyloviz.net/ComparingPartitions).

3. Results

3.1. Antimicrobial resistance and biofilm formation

Analyses of antimicrobial susceptibility and biofilm formation were included to find phenotypic similarities or differences between the isolates that could reflect genetic relationship. The results of antimicrobial susceptibility testing and the biofilm assay are presented in Fig. 1. Forty of the 45 isolates displayed resistance to three or more antimicrobial agents tested and 18 were resistant to five different antimicrobial agents. Three isolates originating from Germany, Norway and the UK (MB 278-10, 2263 3461 and CN 1197) were susceptible to all antimicrobial agents tested and two isolates originating from the UK and Norway (51-72 and CN1138) were susceptible to all antimicrobial agents tested except tetracycline. Biofilm was formed by 30 of the 45 isolates according to our definition.

3.2. PFGE

The PFGE results are shown in Fig. 1. Thirty eight separate PFGE types were defined among the 45 isolates. Among these 38 PFGE types there were six groups (A–F). The largest group (B) contained three isolates from Switzerland. The remaining five groups contained two isolates each; Group A (both UK), C (both UK), D (both Germany), E (from Norway and Greece) and F (both Belgium). The isolates that did not cluster in any defined group (n = 32) were considered unrelated when using an 80% cut-off value.

3.3. MLST analysis

MLST of the 44 isolates resulted in 17 unique STs. eBURST grouped the isolates in one major group or clonal complex (CC), two minor CCs and six singletons. CC1 comprised 25 isolates (ST 1, 2, 3, 10 and 15), representing human clinical isolates from all eight countries included in the study and both veterinary isolates from Belgium. CC2 comprised eight isolates (ST 8, 9 and 14) from Japan and the UK including three of the community acquired non-clinical isolates from Japan and one isolate from the UK. CC3 comprised five isolates (ST 4 and 13) representing isolates from Spain, Norway and Switzerland. Six isolates (ST 6, 7, 11, 12, 16 and 17) were defined as singletons. The veterinary isolate 278-10 was not included in the eBURST analysis as no PCR product was obtainable for one of the alleles (Ribose ABC) in the MLST scheme. The MLST results are summarized in Fig. 1.

3.4. MLVF analysis

We defined, by visual categorization of band patterns, fourteen unique RTs among the 45 isolates. eBURST grouped all isolates, except one of the veterinary isolates (2263-3461) in one CC. Sixteen isolates originating from the UK, Norway, Switzerland, Japan and Greece shared the same RT. One RT was a singleton. The MLVF results are summarized in Fig. 1.

3.5. Phylogenetic analysis of MLST data

NJ dendrograms created for the individual genes used in the MLST scheme showed good congruence (data not shown). All isolates except three (MB 278-10, 2263-3461 and CN 1197) were grouped in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Orf</th>
<th>Repeat position</th>
<th>Primer sequences (5′→3′)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CCTCAATCTGATACCCAGATTTAATGAGTAGCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH0324</td>
<td>251–809</td>
<td>SH0324_F, SH0324_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CATGCCGTTCACCAATGACGATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH1184</td>
<td>46–235</td>
<td>SH1184_F, SH1184_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATATAACCGGCGCAAGCTTTT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH1645</td>
<td>300–357</td>
<td>SH1645_F, SH1645_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ATATACCGCGGATACAACTGAAAAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SH0326</td>
<td>2221–2575</td>
<td>SH0326_F, SH0326_R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CTGACACTGTTGAGATCCCGT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Location of the tandem repeats on the chromosome of S. haemolyticus JSC1435.
* F, Forward primer.
* R, reverse primer.
one large cluster by all genes. Apart from arcC which grouped only one isolate (CN 1197) differently. The ML tree based on the concatenate sequences of six genes, excluding arcC, grouped the isolates in one large cluster (Fig. 2). As for the NJ trees, isolates MB 278-210, 2263-3461, and CN1197 were grouped separately supported by a 99% bootstrap value. The global agreement between the evolutionary trees for the individual MLST genes and the ML tree from the concatenated sequences suggests a low degree of recombination. Comparison of the clustering obtained by eBURST and the ML tree also showed a global agreement. Two minor clusters comparable to CC2 and CC3 defined by eBURST were also defined in the ML tree but they were not supported by significant bootstrap values (54% and 41%; Fig. 2) indicating that the clustering made by eBURST might not be correct. Calculation of nucleotide diversity based on the concatenated sequences within the three eBURST CCs shows a low nucleotide diversity of 0.00035, supporting the uniform clustering of isolates.

3.6. Discriminatory power and clustering concordance of typing methods

The SID revealed that PFGE in our study had a higher discriminatory power than MLST and MLVF (Table 3). The overall concordance (the probability that two methods cluster two isolates similarly) of the different typing methods was low (Table 4). AR indices ranged from 0.029 to 0.084. The highest concordance was found between MLST and MLVF (AR = 0.084). Wallace (W) coefficients were calculated to determine the directional agreement between the typing methods. There was a low probability (W = 0.333) that two isolates with the same PFGE type had the same MLST type. The directional agreement between PFGE and MLVF was also low (W = 0.444). Finally, the probability of MLST to predict MLVF type and vice versa was very low with a W = 0.254 and W = 0.186, respectively.

4. Discussion

The mainstay for studying molecular epidemiology of S. haemolyticus has been PFGE. To our knowledge this is the first study reporting MLST and MLVF schemes for this species and to compare these typing techniques with PFGE. The discriminatory ability of the suggested MLST and MLVF schemes was assessed using a diverse collection of S. haemolyticus. Both clinical human and veterinary isolates were included. Compared with PFGE, MLST and MLVF had an inferior discriminatory ability. The MLST results may even suggest that all 45 S. haemolyticus isolates were closely related. However, we believe it is unlikely that these 45 isolates are clonally related due to their diverse geographic origin and temporal spread.

MLST discriminated well between the isolates of human origin and two of the isolates of veterinary origin. Two veterinary isolates, originating from Norway (MB 278-10) and Germany (2263–3461), displayed a high degree of variation compared to the human isolates. In contrast, the two Belgian veterinary isolates clustered together with the human clinical isolates. The Belgian veterinary isolates also grouped together with the human clinical isolates when comparing susceptibility to antimicrobial agents, i.e. defined as multi-resistant, whereas the Norwegian and German veterinary isolates were susceptible to all antimicrobials tested. An unexpected relationship was found between one isolate from the UK and three community acquired isolates from Japan which all were of the same ST. Phylogenetic analysis of our MLST data indicates a clonal population structure as there is global congruence between the ML tree from the concatenated MLST sequences and between the individual gene trees in the MLST scheme where six out of seven trees grouped the isolates similar to the concatenated ML tree. The isolates were grouped in one main cluster, with three isolates forming a separate cluster. The main cluster was divided in two smaller clusters comparable to the CC defined by eBURST. However, low bootstrap values

Table 3

| Method        | No. of types | SID (95% CI)^
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFGE</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>0.991 (0.983–0.999)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLST</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.877 (0.813–0.940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLVF</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.831 (0.749–0.914)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Simpson’s index of diversity (SID); CI, confidence interval.
for the smaller clusters in the ML tree indicate that the CC identified by eBURST might not be correct. The low nucleotide diversity value reflects the high degree of sequence conservation and suggests low levels of recombination. *S. epidermidis* and *S. aureus*, two species that are closely related to *S. haemolyticus*, clearly show a different population evolution. MLST population analyses of *S. epidermidis* have shown an epidemic population that evolves by recombination (Miraigaia et al., 2007). Analysis of *S. aureus* MLST sequence data reveals a more clonal population evolving mainly by point mutation (Feil et al., 2003). Our MLST data might indicate that *S. haemolyticus* has a population evolution more comparable to *S. aureus*. However, some caution must be applied when interpreting these results as our analysis is based on a restricted number of isolates. Reports of low polymorphism in housekeeping genes resulting in limited discriminatory power of MLST have previously been reported for species such as *Salmonella enterica*, serovar Typhi, *Mycoplasma pneumonia* and *Escherichia coli* (Degrange et al., 2009; Dumke et al., 2003; Fakhri et al., 2005; Noller et al., 2003a, 2003b).

Molecular typing by MLVF has shown to effectively discriminate homogenous bacterial populations (Noller et al., 2003a, 2003b; Octavia and Lan, 2009). The application of MLVF for epidemiologic studies of *S. aureus* and *S. epidermidis* has previously shown a resolution comparable to PFGE and MLST (Francois et al., 2008; Holmes et al., 2010; Pourcel et al., 2009). The tandem repeat loci selected for MLVF are believed to be more variable than housekeeping genes for MLST due to a more diversifying selective pressure (van Belkum et al., 1997). However, in the present study the MLVF scheme was not able to discriminate between isolates of different origin. MLVF resulted in 14 RTs compared to 17 MLST STs and 38 PFGE types. Using MLVF all isolates were grouped together in one CC, except one veterinary isolate. The selection of our strain collection is biased, based on isolates which differs by PFGE. This has previously been reported to affect the discriminatory ability of MLVF (Holmes et al., 2010; Luczkad-Klubowska et al., 2008). Furthermore, a better resolution might have been obtained if we had targeted more than five tandem repeat loci. The search for tandem repeat loci was restricted as only one fully sequenced genome of *S. haemolyticus* is presently available for automatic search. We found 45 putative target genes, but most of these were duplicated, poorly reliable, too short or showed a number of repeat of only one. The initial 9 primer pairs selected were considered as the maximum available number of tandem repeats containing genes for *S. haemolyticus*.

However, previously published schemes using five tandem repeat loci in *Chlamydia abortus* (Laroucau et al., 2009), *S. epidermidis* (Johansson et al., 2006), and *Salmonella enterica* (Lindstedt et al., 2004) have shown satisfactory discrimination. Other studies comparing MLVF to MLST have also shown a good concordance between type assignment made by the two methods (Malachowa et al., 2005). In contrast, Tenover et al reported that MLVF can not be used to predict PFGE type (Tenover et al., 2007).

Different bacterial populations exhibit varying rates of genetic change. In populations where no or little recombination has taken place the population will appear as clonal whereas highly recombinating strains will appear as non-clonal (Spratt and Maiden, 1999). A major challenge for molecular typing methods is to select molecular markers that are sufficiently diverse enabling identification of variants of closely related bacteria (Maiden, 2006). In the present study, only four of the 45 isolates were clustered together by all three methods and we found very low values for the AR and the Wallace coefficient. We believe that the low variability observed by MLST and MLVF reflects a high degree of core genome conservation in *S. haemolyticus*, indicating a low rate of recombination. A diversifying selection may instead be due to accumulation of point mutations. The lack of congruence between the typing methods can also be explained by different detection levels. PFGE displays variation found in the total genome, whereas MLST and MLVF reveal variation found in short fragments of the core genome.

The observed core genome conservation contradicts the previously reported genome plasticity of *S. haemolyticus* indicated by the rapid acquisition of resistance genes as well as phenotypic variability (Watanabe et al., 2007). Sequencing of *S. haemolyticus* JCSC 1435 revealed a large proportion of IS elements which is believed to contribute to the large scale inversions and deletions observed in JCSC 1435, mostly associated with the oriC environ (Takeuchi et al., 2005; Watanabe et al., 2007). This region contains integrated copies of SCC and IS elements. If genetic diversity mainly depends on mobile genetic elements and rearrangements in discrete regions (e.g. oriC environ) the changes will be detected by PFGE but not by MLST and MLVF, as the selected genes used in the MLST and MLVF schemes are not located in the oriC environ.

The results from this study show that neither the MLST nor the MLVF scheme could resolve the population structure of the *S. haemolyticus* control set. We suggest that there is potential for MLST and MLVF as epidemiologic tools by inclusion of more variable genes, in order to increase their discriminatory power. However, comparative genome analyses and the possibility to detect genes with higher variation are limited by the fact that there currently still is only one fully sequenced genome published (Takeuchi et al., 2005). Full genome sequence based analysis is now possible for bacterial populations exhibiting levels of nucleotide diversity too low for resolution by MLST (Baker et al., 2010). Further molecular studies, including deep sequencing of the entire bacterial genome, are needed to provide high-resolution spatial and genetic data on *S. haemolyticus* epidemiology.
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Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Adjusted rand PFGE</th>
<th>MLST</th>
<th>MLVF</th>
<th>Wallace coefficient PFGE</th>
<th>MLST</th>
<th>MLVF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PFGE</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>0.444</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLST</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.025</td>
<td>0.254</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLVF</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.084</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>