The impact of sirolimus on hepatitis C recurrence after liver transplantation
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Abstract

While some immunosuppression strategies may accelerate hepatitis C virus (HCV) recurrence after liver transplantation (LT), the impact of sirolimus (SRL) is not known.

Reference


PMID : 21258665
Correspondence: Srinivas Achanta, MD, FRCS, London, Ontario, Canada. E-mail: achanta.srinivas@gmail.com

CONCLUSION: SRL-based immunosuppression can be safely used in patients undergoing LT for HCV-associated liver disease; however, SRL-based immunosuppression did not significantly affect the timing or severity of post-transplant HCV recurrence. HCV recurrence in SRL-treated patients had lower progressive activity and fibrosis levels on serial biopsy.
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METHODS: A retrospective analysis of 141 consecutive patients, including 88 who received de novo SRL therapy, who had undergone a first LT for HCV cirrhosis was conducted. Known and suspected risk factors covariates including transplant era, donor and recipient age, Model for End-stage Liver Disease score, cold ischemia time, immunosuppressive drugs and steroid treatment rejection rates were used in the assessment.

RESULTS: Overall, 72.3% of the cohort developed biopsy-proven HCV recurrence. The incidence of HCV recurrence was not significantly different for patients treated with SRL (75% versus 69.8%; P=0.3). There was no difference found for time to recurrence, nor did mean activity or fibrosis scores differ at the time of initial recurrence. However, on follow-up using serial biopsies in patients with recurrence, the mean activity and fibrosis scores were significantly lower in the SRL group. Donor age and acute rejection episodes were the only factors affecting the HCV recurrence rate (exp B=1.02 [95% CI 1.01 to 1.03]; P=0.02; and exp B=2.89 [95% CI 1.80 to 4.3]; P=0.01, respectively). SRL treatment did not alter patient survival rates. Among patients treated with SRL-based immunosuppression, higher drug area under the curve levels were associated with a trend to lower disease activity and fibrosis at diagnosis; however, higher SRL levels were associated with shorter recurrence-free survival (P=0.038).

CONCLUSION: Results of the present analysis suggest that de novo SRL-based immunosuppression can be safely used in patients undergoing LT for HCV-associated liver disease; however, SRL-based immunosuppression did not significantly affect the timing or severity of post-transplant HCV recurrence. HCV recurrence in SRL-treated patients had lower progressive activity and fibrosis levels on serial biopsy.
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Hepatitis C virus (HCV)-associated cirrhosis is the most common indication for liver transplantation (LT) in the western world (1). Post-transplant recurrence of HCV is universal and is associated with higher viral load than pretransplant viral load, more rapid progression to liver allograft cirrhosis, and higher allograft failure and mortality rates (2-7).

There are several donor, immunological and recipient factors that promote progression to allograft failure following HCV recurrence in liver allograft recipients (8). The degree of immunosuppression (IS), especially high-dose steroid use and T cell-depleting antibodies, adversely affects the post-transplant course of HCV.

While the impact of sirolimus (SRL) therapy on HCV recurrence following LT has not been well studied, several of its properties have the potential to alter the rate of HCV recurrence. SRL has a marked antiproliferative and antifibrotic effect, which may retard HCV progression to cirrhosis. In addition, SRL may have some antiviral effect, of which two cases
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Les effets du sirolimus sur la récurrence d’hépatite C après une greffe du foie

HISTORIQUE: Certains stratégies d’immunosuppression peuvent accélérer la récurrence du virus d’hépatite C (VHC) après une greffe du foie (GF), mais on ne connaît pas les effets du sirolimus (SRL). OBJECTIF: Évaluer le risque de récurrence de VHC démontrée par biopsie et la survie des patients au moyen des covariables des facteurs de risque connus et présumés de récurrence du VHC. MÉTHODOLOGIE: Les chercheurs ont procédé à une analyse rétrospective de 141 patients consécutifs, y compris 88 qui avaient reçu pour la première fois une thérapie au SRL et qui avaient subi une première GF en raison d’une cirrhose à VHC. Pour procéder à l’évaluation, ils ont utilisé les covariables des facteurs de risque connus et présumés, y compris l’âge de la greffe, l’âge du donneur et du receveur, l’état du modèle de maladie du foie au moment de la récurrence initiale. Cependant, au suivi effectué par biopsies sérielles chez les patients ayant une récurrence, l’activité moyenne et les indices de fibrose étaient considérablement plus faibles dans le groupe prenant du SRL. L’âge du donneur et les épisodes de rejet aigu étaient les seuls facteurs touchant le taux de récurrence de VHC (exp B 1.02 [95% CI 1.01 à 1.03]; P=0.02; et exp B 2.89 [95% CI 1.80 à 4.3]; P=0.01, respectivement). Le traitement au SRL ne modifiait pas le taux de survie des patients. Chez les patients traités par immunosuppression au SRL, une plus grande surface de médicaments sous la courbe s’associait à une tendance vers une plus faible activité de la maladie de et de la fibrose au diagnostic. Cependant, des taux de SRL plus élevés s’associeraient à une survie plus courte sans rechute (P=0.038).

CONCLUSION: D’après les résultats de la présente analyse, une nouvelle immunosuppression au SRL peut être utilisée en toute sécurité chez les patients qui subissent une GF en raison d’une maladie hépatique liée au VHC. Cependant, l’immunosuppression par le SRL ne changeait pas le moment ou la gravité de la récurrence de VHC de manière significative après la greffe. La récurrence de VHC chez les patients traités au SRL présentait une activité progressive et un taux de fibrose plus faibles à la biopsie sérique.
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involving spontaneous clearance of HCV following a switch from tacrolimus-based IS to SRL therapy have been reported (9).

The present study was conducted to assess the impact of de novo SRL treatment on the timing and severity of post-transplant HCV recurrence.

METHODS

Patients
All adult patients who underwent initial LT for HCV between January 1998 and December 2006 at a single centre were included in the present analysis. To improve comparability in groups of patients and provide a minimum of 12 months of follow-up, data were extracted from the time when SRL-based IS was first used in the Department of Surgery, University of Alberta (Edmonton, Alberta) (January 1998) up to December 2006. Patients selected for SRL-based IS during this time period included the following: all patients with hepatic cirrhosis (HCC, n=39), patients with significant pre- or post-transplant renal dysfunction (n=10), and a consecutive pilot series of patients between June 2000 and October 2001 (n=39).

Only patients who were initiated on SRL-based IS within one month of transplant and remained on it for at least 12 months were included in the SRL group. This group was compared with a group of patients who received calcineurin inhibitor (CNI)-based IS. Seventeen patients, who were either started on SRL more than one month after LT or had received fewer than 12 months of continuous treatment, were excluded from analysis. All patients in the latter one-half of the study period (after 2002) received induction therapy with anti-CD25 monoclonal antibody (daclizumab or basiliximab). The transplant era (1998 to 2002, and 2002 to 2006) were defined based on changes in the IS practice of the centre to study the effect of anti-CD25 antibody treatment in the present cohort.

Patients on SRL also received low-dose CNIs, except for patients with HCC, who were converted to SRL monotherapy in 12 months time. Thirty patients with HCC (75%) were tapered off CNIs within the first 12 months. None of the patients received routine maintenance steroids; patients on CNIs without SRL also received mycophenolate mofetil up to 2 g per day as tolerated. While therapeutic tacrolimus levels for the CNI group were maintained between 8 ng/mL and 10 ng/mL (600 ng/mL to 800 ng/mL in the cyclosporine group), patients receiving SRL and CNI were maintained on SRL levels of between 8 ng/mL and 12 ng/mL, and tacrolimus levels of 5 ng/mL to 8 ng/mL, or C2 levels of 400 ng/mL to 600 ng/mL (Figure 1A and B).

Data regarding donor and recipient age, recipient sex, Model for End-stage Liver Disease (MELD) score, pre-LT diabetes on oral hypoglycemics or insulin, recipient ethnicity, cold ischemia time, biopsy-proven rejection and requirement for pulse steroids were collected prospectively in an electronic patient record (OTTR, Hickman Kenyon Systems, USA). Outcome data of two separate eras - 1998 to 2002, and 2003 to 2006 - were analyzed to account for changes in IS practice and clinical management over time.

HCV recurrence, proven by abnormal liver function tests in the absence of rejection and confirmed on liver biopsy, was the primary end point of the present study. The necroinflammatory state of HCV recurrence was reported according to the Metavir classification as follows: 0, no fibrosis; 1, minimal fibrosis without any septa; 2, portal fibrosis with rare septa; 3, numerous septa with bridging fibrosis; and 4, cirrhosis (10). Secondary end points included biopsy-proven acute rejection, time to HCV recurrence, and graft and patient survival. All biopsy slides were reviewed by a liver pathologist to ascertain disease stage and activity.

Data regarding the most recent biopsy available for patients who developed HCV recurrence were collected, and the mean change in activity of the disease over the duration of the follow-up period was compared between IS groups.

A stepwise multivariate Cox regression analysis was used to identify factors independently associated with recurrence-free survival. Recurrence-free survival was computed from the day of surgery to the day of biopsy-proven recurrence or, in patients without recurrence, to the most recent follow-up visit. Follow-up of patients who died without evidence of HCV recurrence was censored at the time of death.
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**Figure 1** A Comparison of cumulative tacrolimus exposure over 12 months between groups. B Comparison of cumulative cyclosporine exposure over 12 months between groups. Error bars represent standard error of the mean. No SRL Calcineurin inhibitor-only group; SRL Sirolimus group.
Further analysis was performed to determine whether the level of exposure to SRL affected the time to recurrence, or the activity or severity of recurrent disease as calculated by the Metavir score. For this purpose, blood levels of all CNI were analyzed at postoperative days 3, 7, 14 and 30, and months 3, 6, 9 and 12 in all patients who reached these time points within the study period. The period investigated started from the time of exposure to the drugs, as previously described (11).

Further statistical analyses were performed using $\chi^2$ tests and $t$ tests for categorical and continuous variables, respectively. Nonparametric data were analyzed using the Kruskal-Wallis test. All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 15.0 software (SPSS Inc., USA). Data are presented as mean ± SD unless specified otherwise. A standard alpha of 0.05 indicated statistical significance. The present study was approved by the institutional health research ethics board panel.

RESULTS

Demographics
A total of 141 patients who underwent LT for HCV-related cirrhosis during the study period met the inclusion criteria. Most patients were men (n=111 [78.7%]); 90.5% of the cohort was Caucasian. The median age of the cohort was 51.2 years (range 36.4 to 74.3 years), and the median follow-up period was 34 months (range one to 122 months). Eighty-eight (62.4%) of these patients received SRL-based IS, 112 received tacrolimus and 33 received cyclosporine. Due to rejection, 14 patients were required to be switched from cyclosporine to tacrolimus during follow-up.

TABLE 1
Patient and donor characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Immunosuppression</th>
<th>SRL based (n=88)</th>
<th>CNI based (n=53)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recipient age, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>51.3 (42-74)</td>
<td>50.8 (28-63)</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex, n (%)</td>
<td>71 (80.6)</td>
<td>40 (75.4)</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Caucasian, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (4.5)</td>
<td>10 (18.8)</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretransplant dialysis, n</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatocellular carcinoma, n</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD score at LT, mean ± SD</td>
<td>17.8±9.5</td>
<td>13.8±7.5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index, kg/m² (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>26.6±5.2</td>
<td>24.0±4.4</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donor age, years, mean (range)</td>
<td>42.5 (14-74)</td>
<td>42 (16-69)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold ischemia time, min (mean ± SD)</td>
<td>385±209</td>
<td>404±170</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplant era, n</td>
<td>1998 to 2002</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003 to 2006</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CNI Calcineurin inhibitor; LT Liver transplantation; MELD Model for End-stage Liver Disease; SRL Sirolimus

Within the SRL group, 76 patients additionally received tacrolimus, four received cyclosporine C2 and eight received SRL exclusively after LT. An additional 30 patients with HCC were tapered off CNI within the first year following LT.

The demographic details of the patient subgroups are summarized in Table 1. Patients with pre-existing renal failure and those with HCC preferentially received SRL. Patients on SRL had a higher mean calculated MELD score (17.8±9.5 versus 13.8±7.5; P=0.02) and a higher body mass index (BMI). The cold ischemia times, donor age and distribution through the transplant era were comparable between groups (Table 1).

Rejection episodes
Fifty-eight patients developed at least one episode of acute cellular rejection (ACR) during the study period. The SRL group demonstrated a trend to a higher incidence of biopsy-proven ACR (45.4% versus 33.9%), as well as multiple ACR episodes (17.3% versus 11.1%). The mean rejection activity index between the groups was comparable (4±1.1 versus 4±1.3; P=0.8). These differences failed to achieve statistical significance. One patient on SRL developed steroid-resistant rejection. More patients on CNI-based IS received pulse-steroid treatment; however, this difference did not reach statistical significance (P=0.06).

HCV recurrence
A total of 102 patients (72.3%) developed biopsy-proven HCV recurrence at similar rates regardless of the type of IS (SRL 73.8% versus CNI 69.8%; P value nonsignificant). There was no statistically significant difference between the time to recurrence, mean activity or fibrosis score (Table 2).

Follow-up biopsy data were available for 92 patients who experienced HCV recurrence, with a median biopsy interval between the first and most recent biopsies of 16.6 months (interquartile range one to 28.2 months). There was a significant reduction in the mean fibrosis score in the SRL group (mean fibrosis score of 0.62±0.85 rising to 1.7±0.8 in the CNI group; 1.5±0.6 decreasing to 0.98±0.6 in the SRL group; P=0.002), while the change in the mean activity trended toward being significantly higher in the CNI group (mean activity score 1.3±0.54 versus 1.5±0.7 in the CNI group; 1.5±0.6 versus 1.25±0.6 in the SRL group; P=0.056) (Figure 2).

Twenty-nine patients (20.6%) were initiated on anti-HCV treatment, of whom 20 completed at least 48 weeks of treatment. Interestingly, four of the five patients who achieved a sustained virological response (SVR) belonged to the SRL group.
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On multivariate analysis of various donor and recipient factors potentially affecting recurrent HCV disease, advancing donor age and ACR episodes (expB 1.02 [95% CI 1.01 to 1.03]; P=0.03; and expB 2.8 [95% CI 1.8 to 4.3]; P=0.01) (Table 3) were the only factors found to be associated with shorter recurrence-free survival. The type of IS (SRL based versus CNI based), BMI, MELD score, pretransplant dialysis, transplant era, cold ischemia time, recipient sex and age, race, diabetes mellitus and the use of pulse steroids did not significantly impact HCV recurrence. A multivariate analysis of factors affecting patient survival was also performed, with a low BMI being the only factor that appeared to be significant (expB 0.9 [95% CI 0.85 to 0.99]; P=0.04) (Table 3). There was no difference in overall survival between the two groups (median survival not reached in the SRL group versus 95.3 months for CNI group; P=0.8). Fourteen patients died due to liver failure secondary to recurrent HCV. Eight of these deaths were patients in the SRL group (42% of all deaths in the cohort), while six deaths occurred in patients who were receiving CNI-based IS (25% of the mortality in this cohort) (P=0.6) (Figures 3 and 4).

Because patients on SRL-based IS were also treated with several other agents, including CNIs for maintenance IS, the effect of overall SRL exposure on the time to HCV recurrence, and on the degree of inflammation and fibrosis at diagnosis was estimated. The SRL exposure was analyzed over the first year or until the time of HCV recurrence. Because patients experiencing recurrence are commonly managed with a decrease in IS, potential bias may exist in analyzing the drug-AUC levels for patients with early recurrence who, as a result, may have lower IS levels at later time points compared with patients with delayed or no recurrence. Hence, drug exposure over the first three months was considered separately. Patients with higher SRL levels had a modest decrease in inflammation and fibrosis scores at diagnosis; this, however, failed to reach statistical significance (mean activity score 1.3±0.5 versus 1.4±0.6 [P=0.6]; mean fibrosis score 0.6±0.8 versus 0.8±0.8 [P=0.2]). However, high SRL levels within the first three months were associated with a shorter time to HCV recurrence (Spearman’s rho = -0.4; P<0.001 for SRL exposure at three months; Spearman’s rho = -0.2; P=0.038 for overall SRL exposure) (Figure 5).

### Table 3

**Multivariate analysis (Cox regression) of factors affecting time to hepatitis C virus recurrence and survival**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>expB (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Donor age</td>
<td>1.02 (1.01-1.03)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute rejection</td>
<td>2.8 (1.8-4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELD score at transplant</td>
<td>1.003 (0.9-1.02)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse steroids</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5-1.9)</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at transplant</td>
<td>1.03 (0.99-1.07)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold ischemia time</td>
<td>0.9 (0.99-1.001)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index</td>
<td>0.9 (0.9-1.004)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recipient sex</td>
<td>0.9 (0.9-2.7)</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2-1.1)</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transplant era</td>
<td>0.9 (0.6-1.5)</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>0.9 (0.5-1.4)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Survival**

| Body mass index | 0.9 (0.85-0.99) | 0.04 |
| Donor age | 1.01 (0.99-1.03) | 0.3 |
| Acute rejection | 1.6 (0.6-3.8) | 0.3 |
| MELD score at transplant | 1.007 (0.9-1.04) | 0.7 |
| Pulse steroids | 1.6 (0.6-4.7) | 0.4 |
| Age at transplant | 1.04 (0.9-1.1) | 0.2 |
| Cold ischemia time | 1 (0.99-1.002) | 0.9 |
| Recipient sex | 0.8 (0.3-2) | 0.6 |
| Race | 1.2 (0.3-5.3) | 0.8 |
| Transplant era | 1.4 (0.6-3.1) | 0.4 |
| Diabetes mellitus | 0.9 (0.4-2.03) | 0.7 |

**MELD Model for End-stage Liver Disease**
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DISCUSSION

Graft and patient survival following LT have improved for all indications except HCV-related cirrhosis, for which they continue to be 10% to 15% lower than non-HCV controls (12). HCV reinfection usually occurs immediately after LT, with a rapid increase in HCV RNA peaking at one to three months. Acute lobular hepatitis develops in 60% to 80% of patients at a median of four to six months, and cirrhosis in 20% by five years (6,7). While recurrent HCV is universal, progression to cirrhosis is affected by several donor and patient variables including high levels of pretransplant viremia (age, steatosis and ischemic/preservation injury), HIV/cytomegalovirus coinfection, higher levels of IS (such as pulse steroids or T cell-depleting antibody use including both OKT3 or thymoglobulin), abrupt modifications of immune status and post-LT diabetes (7,13).

Data regarding the specific effect of the different IS agents on HCV replication and/or progression of recurrent hepatitis C is controversial (14). Cyclosporine has been found to have an in vitro antiviral effect on HCV replicons (15-17), and preliminary clinical data suggest that patients on cyclosporine (when compared with tacrolimus) may experience superior SVR rates after treatment with pegylated interferon and ribavirin (15). This in vivo difference has not been well established (18,19), and no literature exists on the effect of SRL on SVR rates post-LT. An international survey (20) found no consensus regarding the optimal IS strategies in LT for HCV. Our experience with this group of patients suggests that although relatively few patients completed HCV therapy post-LT, four of the five patients with SVR were on SRL therapy.

SRL has potent antifungal, anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative and IS effects, but there are no reports of an antiviral effect, nor of the potential antifibrotic effects of this agent (21). Our group has previously reported on acceptable toxicity profiles with de novo SRL use in patients with HCC (22,23) and on evidence for a beneficial impact on outcomes of
Sirolimus and HCV recurrence post-LT

patients undergoing LT for HCC (24). The effect of SRL on HCV recurrence has not been well studied. A case report (9) documented the spontaneous disappearance of post-transplant serum HCV RNA in two patients who underwent LT for HCV cirrhosis and developed diabetes and renal dysfunction, and were subsequently switched to SRL therapy.

There was a nonsignificant increase in the rate of ACR episodes in the SRL group; however, more patients in the CNI group received pulse-steroid therapy. This was probably because ACR episodes in patients on combination treatment with SRL and CNI were usually treated by increasing the CNI dose. In the present study, more than 70% of patients experienced biopsy-proven HCV recurrence after 12 months. The mean time to clinically apparent recurrence in the SRL group was 6.1 months compared with 6.3 months in the CNI group - a difference that was not statistically significant. In contrast to previous reports, we did not document any cases of spontaneous HCV RNA clearance in this particular cohort (9).

SRL has been reported to have potent antifibrotic properties in rat models of liver cirrhosis, with significant inhibitory effects on procollagen-alpha 1 and transforming growth factor-beta 1 (25). Of interest, we found no difference in inflammatory activity or fibrosis index between the two groups at diagnosis in the current study. On follow-up biopsies, patients on SRL with HCV recurrence showed a significant reduction in mean fibrosis score over time and a reduced mean activity on serial biopsy. This finding is of interest despite the potential bias of sampling variation in serial biopsies.

Paradoxically, however, high-dose exposure to SRL seems to hasten recurrence within the first year, suggesting that, at higher drug levels, the immunosuppressive effect of SRL may eclipse any protective effect on the graft. There is some recent in vitro evidence (26) that higher SRL levels may markedly decrease the growth inhibition in a hepatoma cell line, which supports the possibility of a dose effect on the overall response.

On multivariate analysis, donor age and the occurrence of ACR episodes were the only factors affecting HCV recurrence. More patients in the CNI group required steroid boluses than the combined SRL/CNI group, in which ACR was usually managed by increasing the CNI level. While the link between ACR and recurrent HCV infection may reflect the higher levels of IS used to treat ACR with increased dosing of agents that enhance HCV replication, we did not demonstrate that the use of pulsed corticosteroid therapy affected outcome in the present study. It is also notable that patients with recurrent HCV infection also recruit an alloimmune response in the liver. Indeed, a review of all biopsies in patients with HCV infection in our unit revealed that recurrent HCV infection has been reported as ACR in a proportion of patients instead of recurrent HCV infection with features of rejection (C Hunter, A Mason, personal communication).

While lower recipient BMI was found to significantly adversely affect survival, the present study was not constructed or powered to evaluate this outcome. This finding is concordant with other studies (27,28) reporting worse transplant outcomes in underweight patients.

Notably, the mean MELD score was higher in the SRL group, reflecting a higher incidence of renal dysfunction in this subgroup; however, on multivariate analysis, the MELD score did not affect outcome. The unadjusted recurrence-free survival rate was slightly higher in the CNI group; this, however, did not affect survival (Figures 2 and 3). Also, a trend for a better aggregate long-term survival rate was observed in the SRL group despite having a population with more renal dysfunction and HCC.

The present study had limitations inherent with retrospective analysis. HCV RNA blood level data were not available for all patients and, thus, were not included in the analysis. All patients were treated with a combination of IS agents, and the effect of individual drugs was difficult to assess due to the multiplicity of IS regimens together with the changes of drugs over time. Therefore, we estimated the drug exposure among patients on SRL-based IS by calculating the drug-AUC over the time taken to develop recurrence. Most patients are maintained on relatively high levels of IS in the first three months, which are then tapered gradually; therefore, SRL levels are substantially reduced in patients experiencing early recurrent disease. Accordingly, the drug-AUC was calculated over a shorter period of time. To address the potential bias of lower SRL levels among patients with early HCV recurrence; SRL exposure over the first three months post-transplant was computed in addition to the total SRL exposure until the time of recurrence. Higher SRL exposure at three months was associated with earlier HCV recurrence; however, the clinical significance of this finding remains unclear. HCV recurrence appears to be relatively rapid in patients with high overall levels of IS, but individual drug levels were not shown to impact the speed or the severity of disease recurrence in the present study.

We did not use protocol biopsies for the diagnosis of recurrent HCV disease, instead preferring to use clinically apparent HCV recurrence, which is more relevant to clinical management. This approach is consistent with previous studies, and little such data exist in literature. A recent meta-analysis on IS in HCV (14) identified only one study in which data on histological HCV disease progression based on protocol liver biopsies were available (29).

CONCLUSION

SRL can safely be used in patients undergoing LT for HCV-related liver disease. In the present study, SRL did not significantly alter the incidence, timing or severity of HCV recurrence compared with CNIIs alone; the use of this drug appeared to be safe in the HCV-positive recipient population. SRL-based IS is associated with lower progressive mean HCV activity and fibrosis levels on follow-up than CNIIs in patients with documented HCV recurrence. ACR episodes, possibly reflecting recurrent HCV or increased levels of IS, and advancing donor age were the only independent predictive factors shown to adversely affect the timing of HCV recurrence.
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