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We show an example of a purely magnetic spin resonance in EuTiO₃ and the resulting new record high Faraday rotation of 590°/mm at 1.6 T for 1 cm wavelengths probed by a novel technique of magneto-optical gigahertz time-domain ellipsometry. From our transmission measurements of linear polarized light, we map out the complex index of refraction $n = \sqrt{\epsilon/\mu}$ in the gigahertz to terahertz range. We observe a strong resonant absorption by magnetic dipole transitions involving the Zeeman split $S = 7/2$ magnetic energy levels of the Eu²⁺ ions, which causes a very large dichroism for circular polarized radiation.
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The magnetic state of solids can be measured and manipulated through the interaction with photons. The interaction of the electric field component of electromagnetic radiation with the orbital motion of electrons is described by the dielectric permeability $\epsilon_+(\omega)$, where the suffix indicates left-handed (LCP, −) and right-handed circular polarization (RCP, +). Interaction with the electron spin occurs through the magnetic field component and is described by the magnetic susceptibility $\mu_+(\omega)$. Photons interact differently with magnetically polarized matter depending on whether their angular momentum $(\pm \hbar)$ is parallel or antiparallel to the magnetic polarization. This so-called circular dichroism transforms incident linear polarized light (which is a superposition of equal amounts of LCP and RCP) to elliptical after transmission (Faraday effect) or reflection (Kerr effect). The magnetic field component of the electromagnetic field in the terahertz range is the relevant component which interacts with the local magnetic moments in EuTiO₃, because the 4f⁷ ground state of the Eu²⁺ ions has only spin and no orbital component. Photons in the terahertz range, when absorbed by a 4f⁷ moment, transfer their angular momentum with the matching chirality to the magnetic ion.

Bulk EuTiO₃ has the same perovskite crystal structure as room temperature SrTiO₃. It is magnetic due to the seven parallel spins on the Eu site and has thus $S = 7/2$ and $L = 0$ and a Landé $g$ factor of 2. Neutron diffraction at low temperatures has shown that EuTiO₃ orders antiferromagnetically below $T_N = 5.5$ K in a G-type structure [1]. The rare-earth perovskite phase diagram shows EuTiO₃ to be on the borderline between antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic (FM) ordering [2]. At 4.5 K the application of a small external magnetic field of about 0.2 T provokes a spin-flop transition, and above 0.7 T the magnetization rapidly saturates [3]. EuTiO₃ can be made FM by applying a biaxial tensile strain of 1.1% [4]. Bulk EuTiO₃ is a band insulator and is transparent in the terahertz range.

Here we present a spectroscopic study of the spin dynamics of insulating EuTiO₃ probed in the gigahertz to terahertz range simultaneously for LCP and RCP. In prior work on antiferromagnetic and FM spin resonances in various oxides [5–10], both spin and orbital degrees of freedom determine the resonance spectrum. In the present study, we directly probe the 4f orbitals of EuTiO₃ which allows us to investigate a purely magnetic spin resonance. Highly dense (97%–98%) polycrystalline EuTiO₃ pellets with the SrTiO₃ structure were synthesized by spark plasma sintering as described in Ref. [11]. Optical measurements are performed on circular samples with a thickness of 265 and 315 μm and a diameter of 10 mm, resulting from different fabrication batches. Optical polishing of both samples resulted in a black optical appearance with almost single crystal-like shiny surfaces.

The transmitted electric field $E$ of a linearly polarized gigahertz-terahertz pulse was measured in the time domain at 4.5 K (see Fig. 1). The emitting and receiving antennas of the spectrometer (Teraview Ltd., Cambridge, United Kingdom) are linearly polarized. Previous work on spin resonances shows data for photon frequencies which are either below 10 GHz [5,6] or above 90 GHz [7–10]. Here we extend the upper limit down to 25 GHz by choosing an appropriate Auston switch emitter and receiver and by using sample dimensions which are of the same size as the wavelength.

As a function of time delay, Fig. 1 shows a pulse which corresponds to the directly transmitted beam followed by a pulse which exits the material after two internal reflections. Application of a magnetic field $H$, parallel to the propagation of the light, has the effect of initially decreasing the amplitudes of both pulses. Demagnetization effects are negligible for this sample-field geometry. For the second pulse the influence of $H$ is approximately 3 times stronger than for the first one. As a function of increasing field, $E(t)$ passes through zero and starts to grow again with opposite sign. The inset in Fig. 1 clearly demonstrates the periodic
The transmitted electric fields $E_{xx}(t)$ and $E_{xy}(t)$ in the time domain. Fourier transformation of both quantities provides the complex electric fields $E_+(\omega)$ for each chirality. For the Fourier transformation, the signal in the time domain $0 < t < 30 \text{ ps}$ was used. This corresponds to the signal which has passed through the sample without multiple reflections and limits the frequency resolution to 0.1 meV. Consequently, the frequency domain spectra are smooth on the same energy scale. We observe that $E_+(\omega)$ and $E_-(\omega)$ are significantly different, as will be discussed later. This causes the incoming polarization to change from linear to elliptical, in agreement with the result from Fig. 1. The ellipse is characterized by the complex Faraday angle $\Theta_F = \theta_F + i \eta_F$, where $\theta_F$ is the rotation of the major axis as compared to the incident linear polarization state and $\eta_F$ is related to the ellipticity $e$ (the ratio of the minor and major axes) by $e = \tan \eta_F$. The ellipsometric parameters $\eta_F$ and $\theta_F$ determined by

$$\theta_F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Im} \ln \left( \frac{E_+}{E_-} \right), \quad \eta_F(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re} \ln \left( \frac{E_+}{E_-} \right)$$

are shown in Fig. 2. Since the sample is much thicker than the attenuation length $c/(\omega \text{Im} n_\pm)$, we can approximate Eqs. (2) to

$$\theta_F(\omega) \approx \frac{\omega d}{2c} \text{Re}(n_+ - n_-),$$
$$\eta_F(\omega) \approx -\frac{\omega d}{2c} \text{Im}(n_+ - n_-),$$

where $d$ is the sample thickness. The lower limit of 0.1 meV constitutes the diffraction limit below which no radiation passes through the 8.5 mm wide aperture. The fact that $\theta_F(\omega)$ and $\eta_F(\omega)$ depend on the relative transmission of LCP and RCP photons removes most diffraction effects from these spectra. The result is very intuitive and shows that for a field of, e.g., $-1.3 \text{ T}$, the absorption is strongly peaked at 0.14 meV for the RCP chirality; hence, the transmitted light has LCP chirality. Reversing the sign of the magnetic field reverses the direction of the local moments inside the material; hence, now LCP photons are resonantly absorbed, allowing only RCP photons to pass through the material. This selective absorption of one particular chirality gives rise to a large Faraday rotation in a broad band of gigahertz radiation [Fig. 2(a)] of more than $250^\circ \text{ mm}^{-1} \text{T}^{-1}$ which peaks up to an unprecedented

**FIG. 1** (color online). Transmitted electric field vs time delay through a 315 $\mu$m thick EuTiO$_3$ slab for selected values of the applied magnetic field at 4.5 K. The incident radiation is linearly polarized and after transmission is recorded by a linearly polarized detector. The inset shows the electric field as a function of the magnetic field at 51 ps; the red curve is a cosine function.

**FIG. 2** (color online). The (a) real and (b) imaginary parts of the complex Faraday angle $\Theta_F = \theta_F + i \eta_F$ of the first transmitted pulse as a function of photon energy for selected magnetic fields at 4.5 K.
level of 590° mm\(^{-1}\) at 0.14 meV (34 GHz) at a relatively modest field of 1.6 T. The largest actually measured Faraday angle we obtained is about 560° at 1.6 T for an optical path length of 0.945 mm (cf. the first echo in Fig. 1). This rotation is well beyond measured angles in previously known record bulk materials: Ferrites and garnets have typical rotations of 10\(^{9}\)/mm in the microwave X band (around 0.04 meV). Mn\(_{12}\)Ac shows a rotation in a very narrow photon energy region around 1.25 meV of at most 130° (for a 0.75 mm thick sample) which is present at zero applied magnetic field due to the anisotropy field [12]. The measured angle in the EuTiO\(_3\) samples is also large as compared to rotations observed in thin materials like graphene and HgTe thin films where a Faraday rotation is induced by cyclotron resonance of charge carriers [13,14]. The weak shoulder around 0.25 meV [cf. Fig. 2(b)] is due to wavelength-dependent transmission through the 8.5 mm aperture in which the sample is mounted. Since the sample impedance for LCP and RCP depends on the magnetic aperture in which the sample is mounted. Since the sample impedance for LCP and RCP depends on the magnetic field, this effect does not entirely cancel out in the ratio \(E_+ / E_-\).

To obtain the transmission \(T_\pm(\omega)\) of the first pulse, the signal transmitted through the sample was calibrated against a measurement of the empty aperture. The Fresnel equations \(T_\pm(\omega)\) then are

\[
T_\pm(\omega) = \frac{4Z_\pm}{(1 + Z_\pm)^2} e^{i(n_\pm - 1)\omega d/c},
\]

where \(Z_\pm = \sqrt{\varepsilon_\pm / \mu_\pm}\). Figure 3 shows the transmission amplitude and phase for LCP (−) and RCP (+) light between 0.1 and 3.5 meV at magnetic fields \(H\) between 0 and −1.6 T at 4.5 K. The curves show a pronounced absorption and a steplike phase increase for RCP light which strongly depend on the applied magnetic field, whereas the transmission and phase for LCP light do not show any magnetic field dependence.

The observed circular dichroic phenomenon is present on an energy scale which corresponds to a magnetic dipole transition inside the Zeeman split \(S = 7/2\) moment of the Eu\(^{2+}\) moments. Since in this case \(g = 2\), the Zeeman energy is 0.12 meV per Tesla, which is compatible with the observed resonance frequencies. If the resonance seen in the transmission spectra would originate from a peak in \(\text{Im} \varepsilon_\pm(\omega)\), this would imply huge changes of \(\varepsilon_\pm(0)\) as a function of \(H\). However, this is excluded by the observation of Katsufuji and Takagi [15], where \(\varepsilon(0)\) changes only 7% in a magnetic field of 1.6 T. Therefore, the resonance seen in Fig. 3 must have its counterpart in the frequency dependence of \(\mu_\pm(\omega)\).

In order to obtain \(n_\pm(\omega)\) from the \(T_\pm\) spectra, we assume that far above the Zeeman energy \(\mu_\pm(\omega) = 1\). We used a single Lorentz oscillator corresponding to the ferroelectric soft mode [16] to model \(\varepsilon_\pm(\omega)\). The parameters of this oscillator were fitted to the real and imaginary parts of the transmission spectra between 1.25 and 3.7 meV. We finally calculated \(n_\pm(\omega)\) between 0.1 and 3.5 meV by inversion of Eq. (4) using the low energy extrapolation of aforementioned parametrization of \(\varepsilon_\pm(\omega) = 500\) (Fig. 4). At energies above the resonance, Fig. 4 confirms that \(n_\pm \to 0\) for both chiralities as expected. We verified that the real and imaginary parts of \(n_\pm(\omega)\) are Kramers-Kronig consistent, as required by causality.

At low energies the imaginary part of \(n_\pm(\omega)\) shows a peak which corresponds to the absorption of RCP light. The inset in (c) shows the energy of the maxima in \(\mu_\pm(\omega)\) as a function of magnetic field (circles) and the Larmor energy \(\hbar \omega_0(H) = 0.1158\) H (black line).
spin resonance, we plot the maxima of absorption is due to purely magnetic dipole transitions within the Zeeman split Eu 4f levels, corresponding to a spin resonance, we plot the maxima of $\mu^x_1(\omega)$ as a function of the applied magnetic field $H$ [Fig. 4(c), inset]. This shows a linear behavior which goes through the origin when extrapolated to $H = 0$. The figure also shows the Larmor energy $\hbar\omega_0 = \gamma gH$, with $\gamma = g\mu_B/h$ for $g = 2$, with $\mu_B$ the Bohr magneton. This is the energy required to bring a spin system into resonance in the FM state [17]. The perfect agreement illustrates the absence of an anisotropy field and thus of an orbital component in the magnetic ground state of the Eu ions. The width of the peak has the smallest value for an applied field of 1.4 T and may indicate a crossover in the magnetic phase diagram characterized by a small value of the Gilbert damping [18]. The resonance contributes $\sim 0.1$ to $\mu(\omega)$ for $\omega < \omega_0$, which is comparable to spin resonances observed in ferrite [6].

Interestingly, the resonance shown in Fig. 4(d) is a rather symmetric function of frequency for $\text{Im}(\omega)$. Correspondingly, the real part of $\mu(\omega)$ has an almost purely dispersive line shape as expected from Kramers-Kronig relations between these two quantities. Yet, this observation is at first glance surprising, in view of the fact that we expect a symmetric resonance in $\text{Im}\mu(\omega)$ with a dispersive counterpart in $\text{Re}\mu(\omega)$. [Note that $\epsilon(\omega)$ should be almost constant at these low frequencies.] Since $n(\omega) = \sqrt{\epsilon(\omega)} \mu(\omega)$, an asymmetric line shape should occur in both $\text{Re}n(\omega)$ and $\text{Im}n(\omega)$. Vice versa, the imaginary part of $n(\omega)^2$ (not shown) is strongly asymmetric. Several reasons for this behavior can be considered. (i) In view of anticipated multiferroic properties of this material [19], it is tempting to speculate that the asymmetry is induced by additional resonances at the same frequency occurring in $\epsilon(\omega)$ and/or the magnetoelectric susceptibility. However, no mechanisms are known which can cause such strong coupling between spin and electric field. (ii) Asymmetry could, in principle, arise from a departure of the condition of local response of $n(k,\omega)$, in other words, if $n(k,\omega)$ depends strongly on the wave vector $k$. However, presumably the electric or magnetic response to the external field is confined to regions of the order of the grain size of the polycrystalline sample (of the order of $10^{-8}$ m), i.e., far smaller than the sample thickness, thus excluding this scenario. (iii) The wave front has a distortion due to the 8.5 mm aperture. The wave front distortion and the corresponding transmission and phase are necessarily wavelength-dependent which becomes progressively weakened for wavelengths much smaller than the aperture. Since the spectral range of Fig. 4 corresponds to wavelengths between 4 and 12 mm, the third scenario offers the most plausible explanation for the observed line shape anomaly.

This work presents the first frequency and magnetic field dependence of the spin resonance in EuTiO$_3$. In contrast to other magnetic materials where ferromagnetic resonances have been observed [6,10], an orbital component is absent in the magnetic ground state of the Eu$^{2+}$ moments. We probe the transmission of EuTiO$_3$ by a novel spectroscopic technique of gigahertz time-domain ellipsometry, which provides the complex index of refraction function for right- and left-handed circular polarized light. These functions show a strong dichroism which causes the rotation of linearly polarized gigahertz light by a record amount of 90°/mm at 1.6 T.
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