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Abstract

BACKGROUND: Single port access (SPA) surgery is a rapidly evolving field due to the complexity of NOTES (natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery). SPA combines the cosmetic advantage of NOTES and possibility to perform surgical procedure with standard laparoscopic instruments. We report a technique of umbilical SPA cholecystectomy using standard laparoscopic instruments and complying with conventional surgical principle and technique of minimally invasive cholecystectomy. METHODS: Preliminary, prospective experience of SPA cholecystectomy in 11 patients (median age, 46 (range, 27-63) years) scheduled for cholecystectomy was evaluated. Diagnoses for cholecystectomy were: symptomatic gallbladder lithiasis (n = 7), previous acute cholecystitis (n = 3), and biliary pancreatitis (n = 1). RESULTS: SPA cholecystectomy was feasible in all patients (median body mass index, 24 (range, 20-34) kg/m(2)) who were scheduled for preliminary experience using conventional laparoscopic instruments. Median operative time was 52 (range, 40-77) minutes. Intraoperative cholangiography was performed in all patients, except one, and was [...]
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Abstract

Background Single port access (SPA) surgery is a rapidly evolving field due to the complexity of NOTES (natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery). SPA combines the cosmetic advantage of NOTES and possibility to perform surgical procedure with standard laparoscopic instruments. We report a technique of umbilical SPA cholecystectomy using standard laparoscopic instruments and complying with conventional surgical principle and technique of minimally invasive cholecystectomy.

Methods Preliminary, prospective experience of SPA cholecystectomy in 11 patients (median age, 46 (range, 27–63) years) scheduled for cholecystectomy was evaluated. Diagnoses for cholecystectomy were: symptomatic gallbladder lithiasis (n = 7), previous acute cholecystitis (n = 3), and biliary pancreatitis (n = 1).

Results SPA cholecystectomy was feasible in all patients (median body mass index, 24 (range, 20–34) kg/m²) who were scheduled for preliminary experience using conventional laparoscopic instruments. Median operative time was 52 (range, 40–77) minutes. Intraoperative cholangiography was performed in all patients, except one, and was considered normal. No peroperative or postoperative complications were recorded. Median hospital stay was less than 24 h.

Conclusions SPA cholecystectomy is feasible and seems to be safe when performed by experienced laparoscopic surgeons using standard laparoscopic instrumentation. SPA cholecystectomy may be safer than the NOTES approach at this time. It has to be determined whether this approach would benefit patients, other than cosmesis, compared with standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Introduction

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy is the “gold standard” for gallbladder removal [1, 2]. Attempts to decrease parietal trauma and improve cosmetic results, such as mini laparoscopic ports and lower ports number have illustrated patients’ preference for these techniques [3–6]. These advantages are the fundamentals of scarless surgery. In recent surveys, it has been shown that patients would largely favor NOTES (natural orifice translumenal endoscopic surgery) cholecystectomy compared with standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy, unless the risks of NOTES cholecystectomy drastically exceeded those of conventional laparoscopic approach [7, 8]. This illustrates the importance of cosmesis and should warrant surgeons to look for “scarless” surgical procedure [9].

Single port access (SPA) surgery is a rapidly evolving field [10]. SPA offers cosmetic advantage (as does NOTES) compared with standard multiple access laparoscopic procedure [11]. NOTES cholecystectomies have been performed through transvaginal or transgastric approaches with success but with adjunction of transperitoneal access [12–17]. Whereas SPA laparoscopy has been looked at as a possible derivative of NOTES, it has, at this time, the advantage of a lower complexity for clinical...
application to allow the use of standard laparoscopic
instruments [11, 18–20]. Its only complexities are rep-resented by the necessity to operate in-axis and with low possibility of triangulation, which is similar to NOTES until now [9, 10, 21–24].

We report our experience of SPA cholecystectomy using a simple technique with standard laparoscopic instruments and complying with conventional surgical principle and technique of minimally invasive cholecystectomy.

Methods

Prospective preliminary experience with single port access cholecystectomy in 11 patients scheduled for laparoscopic cholecystectomy is presented. Indications for cholecystectomy were biliary colic (n = 7), cholecystitis (n = 3), and biliary pancreatitis (n = 1). All patients were offered this approach after providing informed consent. All patients received information about surgical technique, and the risks associated with cholecystectomy, and were informed in particular that the complication rates of single port access cholecystectomy may be higher than those of standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

Surgical technique

Single port access cholecystectomy was performed by using a surgical technique similar to standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy, except that it was conducted through a single umbilical port. A single 12-mm umbilical port (Endopath Xcel Trocar, Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Spreitenbach, Switzerland) was placed through open approach. A 10-mm laparoscope (Ref: S26034AA; Richard Wolf GmbH, Knitt-lingen, Germany) with 6-mm working channel was used (Fig. 1). Gallbladder suspension and exposition was achieved by placing transparietal stitches (Vicryl 1/0 with modified ski needle) anchored in gallbladder wall as described by Navarra et al. [25], using a 5-mm Johann grasper (Ref: CEV 9625-1B, MicroFrance, Saint Aubin le Monial, France; Fig. 2 and online video). Two stitches were placed: one on the gallbladder fundus, and one on the infundibulum. Applying different tension to these two stitches enable correct exposition of the Calot triangle and gallbladder bed for dissection. Gentle traction on these stitches is mandatory to avoid gallbladder wall tears as described earlier for other procedures [10, 21]. Calot triangle dissection was conducted by using the technique described by Gigot [26]. For Calot triangle dissection, cystic artery,
and duct isolation, 5-mm laparoscopic monopolar hook dissector (Ref: 8384.423, Richard Wolf GmbH, Knittlingen, Germany), scissor (Ref: 3152, Microline PENTAX, Beverly, MA), and right angle dissector (Ref: 52155-07, Elmed Inc., Addison, IL) were used. Cystic artery control was achieved after isolation by using 5-mm laparoscopic clips (Ligamax EL5ML, Ethicon Endo-Surgery). Since the second case, intraoperative cholangiography was performed after placement of a cholangiography catheter inside the proximal cystic duct (REF: C-NFEP4.0-21-65-P-NS-OECS, Cook Ltd., Limerick, Ireland; Fig. 3). Cystic duct occlusion was achieved after isolation by using laparoscopic clips. Gallbladder bed dissection was performed by using a hook dissector. After the cholecystectomy was completed, the gallbladder was extracted in a specimen bag (Endocatch™, Tyco Healthcare, Wollerau, Switzerland) that was introduced through the umbilical port.

Results

Single port access cholecystectomy was feasible in all patients scheduled for preliminary experience using conventional laparoscopic instruments (Table 1). Cystic artery control and cystic duct occlusion was achieved in all cases using standard laparoscopic clips. Median operative time was 52 (range, 40–77) min. Cholangiography was performed successfully in all patients, except one, and was considered normal, with absence of bile duct lesion. Cholangiography was not attempted in the first patients. No intraoperative or postoperative complications were recorded. Median hospital stay was less than 1 (range, 0–1) day.

Discussion

We describe a new surgical technique for single port access laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Transumbilical single port access cholecystectomy was feasible using standard laparoscopic instruments and offers cosmetic advantage compared with standard laparoscopic approach. Because this surgical approach only reproduced standard cholecystectomy, it may be, until now, safer than NOTES cholecystectomy and it allows intraoperative cholangiography to be performed.

Minimally invasive surgery has become the “gold standard” for cholecystectomy and patient’s choice because of less postoperative pain, better cosmetic results, faster recovery, and earlier return to normal activity [2, 3, 5, 27]. Recent surveys have shown that patients favor NOTES cholecystectomy compared with standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy [7, 8]. This may urge us to consider the importance of body image trauma associated with surgical procedure and look for “scarless” surgical procedure [9]. Besides cosmesis, scarless surgery—NOTES or SPA—may decrease parietal trauma, thus decreasing postoperative pain and accelerate recovery.

NOTES cholecystectomies have been successfully performed around the world through transvaginal or transgastric approach [12–17]. In all cases, except one [16], at least one transparietal access was necessary to perform the cholecystectomy [13–16]. In this regard, umbilical SPA cholecystectomy is not more invasive than NOTES because it does not involve an additional translumenal access [28, 29].

SPA cholecystectomy could be performed by using standard straight laparoscopic instruments, which have

Table 1 Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases (n = 11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biliary colic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of cholecystitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of biliary pancreatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median BMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median operative time (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morbidity/mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median hospital stay (day)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI body mass index
been used for decades; whereas for the NOTES procedure, it is urgent for adapted instruments to be developed [9, 28, 30]. This represent a safety concern, as use of standard laparoscopic instruments enable to conform to surgical principles of standard cholecystectomy, which have to be bypassed during NOTES cholecystectomy and may expose patients to additional risk [31]. Moreover, the NOTES procedure implicates the use of newly developed instrument being investigated, whereas the widespread diffusion of SPA cholecystectomy will not be restrained due to material concerns [14, 31, 32].

During NOTES or single port transumbilical cholecystectomy, intraoperative cholangiographies have never been reported [12–17, 28, 29, 31, 33, 34], whereas with the present technique of SPA cholecystectomy, cholangiography was easily performed. Whether routine intraoperative cholangiography should be perform is matter of debate [26, 35, 36]. However, because the risk of biliary tree lesion could be higher with these new approaches, SPA and NOTES cholecystectomies and intraoperative cholangiography should probably be performed to detect accidental biliary tract lesions to avoid dramatic postoperative complications [26, 31].

While surgeons develop techniques for scarless cholecystectomies through NOTES or SPA approach, concern should focus on the safety of these new surgical procedures [9, 30, 32, 37]. In this regard, SPA cholecystectomy according to the present technique seems to be appropriate for routine clinical application in the near future and may represent a step toward NOTES diffusion in clinical practice.

Conclusions

Single port access cholecystectomy is feasible and seems to be safe using the described technique when performed by experienced laparoscopic surgeons. SPA cholecystectomy may have the advantage compared with the NOTES approach to offer the safety of laparoscopic cholecystectomy. It has to be determined whether this approach would benefit patients, other than cosmesis, compared with standard laparoscopic cholecystectomy.

References