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Abstract
The cubic Prussian blue analogue Mn₃[Mn(CN)₆]₂ · 15 H₂O, which has the advantage of being transparent and magnetic (TN = 35 K) at the same time, has been investigated by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The three-dimensional structure is built of MnII ions linked to MnIII ions by -bridging cyanides, to form a crystal structure, which is related to the NaCl type. In a first step, the relative stabilities of the mononuclear complexes [Mn(CN)₆]²⁻ (z = 2 to 4) have been studied as a function of the oxidation state, spin configuration, and the linkage isomerism of the cyanide ligand. The results we have obtained by this investigation are in good agreement with our chemical expertise. In addition, the calculations have been extended to the dinuclear [Mn₂(CN)₁₁]²⁻ (z = 5 and 6) clusters. Furthermore, we used DFT to model the magnetic properties as well as the 3T₁ 1T₂ transition, which has been observed by single-crystal near-IR spectra of Mn₃[Mn(CN)₆]₂ · 15 H₂O.
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ABSTRACT: The cubic Prussian blue analogue Mn₃[Mn(CN)₆]₂ · 15 H₂O, which has the advantage of being transparent and magnetic (T_N = 35 K) at the same time, has been investigated by density functional theory (DFT) calculations. The three-dimensional structure is built of Mn” ions linked to Mn”” ions by μ-bridging cyanides, to form a crystal structure, which is related to the NaCl type. In a first step, the relative stabilities of the mononuclear complexes [Mn(CN)₆]”” (z = 2 to 4) have been studied as a function of the oxidation state, spin configuration, and the linkage isomerism of the cyanide ligand. The results we have obtained by this investigation are in good agreement with our chemical expertise. In addition, the calculations have been extended to the dinuclear [Mn₂(CN)₁₁]”” (z = 5 and 6) clusters. Furthermore, we used DFT to model the magnetic properties as well as the 3T₁ → 3T₂ transition, which has been observed by single-crystal near-IR spectra of Mn₃[Mn(CN)₆]₂ · 15 H₂O. © 2004 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Int J Quantum Chem 101: 753–760, 2005
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Introduction

Transition metal cyanide chemistry on the one hand has a remarkable history [1] and on the other hand shows a revival, offering exciting prospects in the field of materials chemistry. The systematic investigation of Prussian blue (PB) and its analogues was started in the 1970s by Buser et al. [2]. The potential of the μ-bridging cyanides to act as very efficient mediators of strong magnetic coupling between transition metal ions convinced many chemists to work in the field of PB analogues. Currently, research efforts are focused on the development of PB analogue materials bearing paramagnetic metal centers, which exhibit spontaneous
magnetization at comparatively high temperature. Recent milestones in these efforts are based on the discovery of a room-temperature magnetic PB phase \[3, 4] as well as on the first molecule-based magnet, which retains magnetization up to 400 K \[5\]. It could also been shown that magnetic properties with electrochemical and optical stimuli can also be combined in PB analogues \[6, 7\]. However, despite this substantial progress, a major drawback is the air-sensitive and amorphous character of most of the PB compounds.

In this study, we present a computational investigation of an air-stable PB, which can easily be prepared in the form of single crystals with a face-centered cubic structure and which exhibit transparency, color, and a ferrimagnetic phase (the structural picture of the compound is given in Fig. 1).

Two approaches to model this compound are feasible: a band structure calculation and a model cluster approach. The latter one was chosen, and we used density functional theory (DFT), applying it first to \([\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{2-}\) (for \(z = 2, 3,\) and 4) units and second to the dimer units \([\text{Mn}_2(\text{CN})_{10}]^{2-}\) (for \(z = 5\) and 6), which are mixed valent and used to model the properties of the compound drawn in Figure 1. Therefore, a detailed investigation of the \([\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{2-}\) unit with bond length and angles based on X-ray crystallographic data was started. As a result, relative stabilities were obtained as a function of the oxidation state, the spin configuration (high-spin/low-spin), and linkage isomerism of the cyanide ligands. In a second step, the calculations were extended to the dimeric dinuclear \([\text{Mn}_2(\text{CN})_{11}]^{3-}\) clusters. Moreover, the \(^3T_1 \rightarrow ^1T_2\) transition of a Mn\(^{III}\) ion in low-spin configuration, which could be observed by single-crystal near-IR spectroscopy, could be simulated by DFT, in good agreement with the experimental data.

**Computational Details**

The DFT calculations were performed with the Amsterdam Density Functional (ADF) program package (release 2000.02) \[8\]. For exchange-correlation functionals, the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) has been used. However, all numerical data presented in this article refer to the GGA approximation and are expressed in eV. The local density approximation (LDA) was applied using the X\(\alpha\) functional for the exchange (\(\alpha = 0.7\)) \[9\] and the Vosko, Wilk, and Nusair functional for the correlation \[10\]. The GGA was applied using the exchange and correlation proposed by Perdew and Wang \[11\]. The frozen-core approximation was used for the inner-core electrons. The orbitals up to 2p for manganese and 1s for nitrogen and carbon were kept frozen. The valence shells were described by a triple-\(\zeta\) Slater-type orbital (STO) set plus one polarization function.

All calculations were performed as single-point calculations. In fact, the complexes or clusters studied in this article are highly negative, and we had to add positive point charges to act as counterbalance. This prohibited the ADF package from performing geometry optimizations. For the mononuclear complexes, the default self-consistent field (SCF) parameters of ADF were used (DIIS \[15–17\] [direct inversion of the iterative subspace] activate, mixing ratio equal to 0.2, integration \[12, 13\] equal to 4.0 and convergence criteria fixed to \(1 \cdot 10^{-6}\)). For the dinuclear cluster, the DIIS had to be deactivated, the numerical integration increased to 8.0 (to account for the presence of two metallic cations with almost the same environment), and the mixing ratio had to be reduced to 0.02. The strategy was first to run single point calculations using the \(xyz\) parameters from X-ray crystallography and the desired charges by applying the restricted formalism. After analyzing the first output (file resulting from a calculation) and determining molecular orbital, which is composed of atomic \(d\)-orbitals of cations, we ran single-point calculations with fixed molecular orbital (MO) occupations applying the spin-unrestricted formalism. For this second set of calculations, SCF parameters used were the same as those

**FIGURE 1.** The PB network of \(\text{Mn}_3[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]_2 \cdot 15\) \(\text{H}_2\text{O}\), a cubic, face-centered crystal.
Results and Discussions

INVESTIGATION OF THE MONONUCLEAR SPECIES: \([\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{\text{2-}}\)

Three aspects for the investigation of the \([\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{\text{2-}}\) had to be considered. First of all, the manganese ion can exist in several oxidation states, namely, II (\(d^5\)), III (\(d^4\)), and IV (\(d^3\)). Second, the electronic configuration with respect to high-spin (HS) and low-spin (LS) can be distinguished for the \(d^5\) and \(d^4\) configurations. At last, the cyanide ligand has an ambidentate nature. It can therefore be linked to the metal ion by the carbon or the nitrogen atom.

As a result, 10 calculations had to be performed: four cases for C-coordinated MnII and MnIII in HS and LS states and one for C-coordinated MnIV and the same for the N-coordinated cyanide ligand (Fig. 2).

Due to the fact that the complexes are highly negatively charged, simple DFT calculations result in electronic occupation of MOs at positive eigenvalues. One way to avoid this problem is to put positive point charges around the octahedral complex. This approach was performed in two ways. First, the positive charges were placed along the axis of the ligands (called “ligand position”) and secondly, they were situated at the corners of a cube around the octahedral complex (called “cubic position”) (Fig. 3).

All studies were performed as single-point calculations without geometry optimizations. The bond lengths used for the calculations are based on X-ray investigations. However, the nitrogen and the carbon atoms can hardly be distinguished by X-ray analysis, because they have a similar number of electrons. Therefore, two geometries were investigated where the cyanide bridge was inverted (interchange of the Mn–C and Mn–N bond length) (Table I).

In this report the \([\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{\text{2-}}\) investigation has two parts. First, the influence of the positive point charges was studied, and second, the calculations according to the geometries defined in Table I were performed.

Influence of Point-Charge Positions for Geometry 1

As mentioned above, due to the high negative charges of the \([\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{\text{2-}}\) complexes, MOs with

| TABLE I | The two sets of coordinates investigated for the mononuclear complex \([\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{\text{2-}}\).a |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Geometry 1 | Geometry 2 | Geometry 1 | Geometry 2 |
| N-coordinated | C-coordinated | N-coordinated | C-coordinated |
| \(d_{\text{Mn-N}}\) = 2.1886 Å | \(d_{\text{Mn-C}}\) = 1.9751 Å | \(d_{\text{Mn-N}}\) = 1.9751 Å | \(d_{\text{Mn-C}}\) = 2.1886 Å |
| \(d_{\text{N-C}}\) = 1.1458 Å | \(d_{\text{C-N}}\) = 1.1458 Å | \(d_{\text{N-C}}\) = 1.1458 Å | \(d_{\text{C-N}}\) = 1.1458 Å |

*aGeometry 1 is more in agreement with our chemical intuition; nevertheless, we investigate the two possibilities.
positive eigenvalues were initially occupied in the DFT calculations. This could be overcome by adding positive point charges to balance the excess of the negative charges of the cyanide ligand. To check the influence of the positions of the positive point charges, two possibilities were taken into account. The first one is with the positive charges on the corners of a cube surrounding the complex [Fig. 3(a)] and the second, with the point charges situated on the ligand axis [Fig. 3(b)]. The numerical results are given in Table II. The difference between the HS and LS configuration was then compared and summarized (Table III). Depending on the positions of the point charges, the energies of the MOs shift. By building the difference of the HS and the LS configuration, the shift in the energies is canceled out, and the influence of the point charges can be compared. Because the energy differences of HS and LS of the two possibilities in Table III are very similar, we can conclude that there is no influence on the results in which we are interested. Therefore, in the rest of the current paper, only the so-called “ligand” point-charge position is taken into account.

### Influence of Bond Length

**Geometry 1.** The geometry of $[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{2−}$ is perfectly octahedral, and the cyanide-to-metal bond does not deviate from linearity. According to the discussion above, Table II can be reduced to Table IV, and the lower energies are emphasized by bold letters. The manganese ion in N-coordination is lower in energy in HS configuration, whereas the manganese ion in C-coordinations is lower in energy in LS configuration. It should be stressed that only the energies for the same number of electrons (same oxidation state) can be compared. This outcome is consistent with our knowledge of the influence of the $d$-electron configuration on the ligand field splitting and the spectrochemical series.

**Geometry 2.** Due to the difficulties to distinguish between carbon and nitrogen in X-ray spectroscopy, the cyanide was turned around, such that the Mn–C bond is longer than the Mn–N bond (Table I). The energies of this configuration for the point charges in the ligand positions are summarized in Table V. At this point, two conclusions can be drawn: first, all energies for the LS configuration are more stable than for the HS configuration; and,

---

**TABLE II**
The complete set of energy calculations [eV] for the complex $[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{2−}$ for geometry 1 (see Table I).a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{−4}$ MnII ($d^5$)</th>
<th>$[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{−3}$ MnIII ($d^4$)</th>
<th>$[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{−2}$ MnIV ($d^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-coordinated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>−156.78</td>
<td>−155.90</td>
<td>−150.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>−151.50</td>
<td>−150.56</td>
<td>−146.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>−154.18</td>
<td>−159.65</td>
<td>−151.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>−146.56</td>
<td>−151.96</td>
<td>−146.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{−4}$ MnII ($d^5$)</th>
<th>$[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{−3}$ MnIII ($d^4$)</th>
<th>$[\text{Mn(CN)}_6]^{−2}$ MnIV ($d^3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-coordinated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>−154.18</td>
<td>−159.65</td>
<td>−151.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>−146.56</td>
<td>−151.96</td>
<td>−146.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE III**
According to the values of Table II, we compare the difference between high- and low-spin energies.a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$d^5$ $E_{\text{high spin}} − E_{\text{low spin}}$</th>
<th>$d^4$ $E_{\text{high spin}} − E_{\text{low spin}}$</th>
<th>$d^3$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-coordinated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>−0.88</td>
<td></td>
<td>−138.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>−0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>−137.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-coordinated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cubic</td>
<td>5.47</td>
<td></td>
<td>−144.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ligand</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td></td>
<td>−141.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*a* The ligand and cubic notation refer to the point charge position around the complex according to Figure 3.
second, the energies for this case are higher than those for geometry 1. Therefore, geometry 1 is more stable by 5 to 10 eV.

By this set of calculations, we could demonstrate that DFT confirmed our chemical experience that the geometry with the shorter Mn–C bond and the longer Mn–N bond is favored.

INVESTIGATION OF THE DINUCLEAR SPECIES: [Mn2(CN)11]2–

In a next step, the calculations were extended to dinuclear clusters. According to the knowledge we gained from the previous calculations, it was sufficient to calculate only the equivalent to geometry 1 with the positive point charges in the ligand positions (Fig. 4).

Two cases with respect to the oxidation states of the manganese ions were taken into account: first, the experimentally manifested MnII–MnIII situation; and, second, hypothetical MnII–MnIV cluster. In the first case, the three-dimensional network has to have vacancies of MnIII(CN)6 units in order to result in a neutral three-dimensional network compound [14], which leads to the experimental formula Mn3[Mn(CN)6]2 · 15 H2O. The [Mn2(CN)11]2– unit is, on the one hand, five times negatively charged and, on the other hand, six times negatively charged. The second case shows the ideal stoichiometry for a neutral cubic PB phase, which is M2(CN)6 (M = transition metal).

The input for these calculations was based on the symmetry C4v. It turned out that the results of the DFT calculations confirmed our expectations from previous calculations but with quantitative information about the relative stabilities. Tables VI and VII summarize our results. The empty signs (\(\varnothing\)) are place holders for configurations, where the mixing of the orbitals was too high and no plausible occupations of the MOs were obtained. For the cases labeled “not converged,” it was not possible to reach convergence criteria, because the self-consistent field (SCF) convergence criteria were the same for all calculations, which were the default parameters of the ADF program.

Case Study: MnII–MnIII

Several configurations were taken into account, namely, the HS and LS configurations and the interchange of the oxidation states of the manganese cations. Table VI summarizes the total electronic energies of the possible configurations. The label HS–LS in the second column of the table indicates that the first manganese is in HS and the second one is in LS. The “\(\alpha–\beta\)” column gives the total number of \(\alpha\) and \(\beta\) spins of the clusters. The two possibili-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</td>
<td>MnII (d^3)</td>
<td>MnIII (d^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>LS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN link by N</td>
<td>−151.50</td>
<td>−150.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN link by C</td>
<td>−146.56</td>
<td>−151.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Bold fonts underline the most stable configuration between HS and LS configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</td>
<td>MnII (d^3)</td>
<td>MnIII (d^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>LS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-coordinated</td>
<td>−147.75</td>
<td>−149.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-coordinated</td>
<td>−150.40</td>
<td>−151.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV
Following the reasoning exposed in the section titled “Investigation of the Mononuclear Species: \[Mn(CN)6\]^2–,” we reduce Table II to this one.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
<th>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</td>
<td>MnII (d^3)</td>
<td>MnIII (d^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[Mn(CN)6]^2–</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>LS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN link by N</td>
<td>−151.50</td>
<td>−150.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CN link by C</td>
<td>−146.56</td>
<td>−151.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Bold fonts underline the most stable configuration between HS and LS configuration.
ties for each case, therefore, correspond to an antiferromagnetic and to a ferromagnetic alignment of the spins of the two manganese ions, respectively, as indicated at the beginning of the second column by the labels “AF” (antiferromagnetic) and “F” (ferromagnetic).

It is notable that all energy values are comparable, because the total number of electrons is the same for each case. Clearly the table demonstrates that the two energies in the same block (antiferromagnetic and ferromagnetic) are quite close. But the energies in different cells differ significantly. In conclusion, the configuration with the MnII in nitrogen coordination and the MnIII in carbon coordination is the favored one. In addition, the configurations with the MnIII in the LS state are more stable than the configurations in the HS state. Moreover, the influence of the change of the spin state of the MnIII is slightly bigger than that for the MnII ion.

**Case Study Mn(II)–Mn(IV)**

The same set of calculations was performed for the MnII–MnIV unit, resulting in a charge of $z = -5$. The number of calculations is reduced by a factor of two, because for MnIV ($d^3$) there is no HS or LS configuration. Table VII summarizes the results of the calculations. Because this case exhibits a different number of electrons than the previous one, it is not possible to compare the energies of the corresponding tables. Nevertheless, the same conclusions as above can be drawn: (1) the more stable configuration is with the manganese in the lower oxidation state linked to the nitrogen; (2) the manganese in nitrogen coordination is more stable in the HS state, and (3) the difference in energy between the two possible total spins is not very large.

**NEAR-IR SPECTRA**

Figure 5 shows the near-IR spectrum of a single crystal of Mn$_3$[Mn(CN)$_6$]$_2$·15 H$_2$O with a thickness of around 200 μm. The peaks located at 9200 cm$^{-1}$

---

**TABLE VI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Configuration</th>
<th>$\alpha-\beta$</th>
<th>MnII linked to N &amp; MnIII linked to C</th>
<th>MnII linked to C &amp; MnIII linked to N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS–LS$^b$</td>
<td>AF 6–3</td>
<td>$-483.63$</td>
<td>$-479.94$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F 8–1</td>
<td>$-483.33$</td>
<td>Not converged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS–LS</td>
<td>AF 4–5</td>
<td>$-481.58$</td>
<td>Not converged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F 6–3</td>
<td>$-482.052$</td>
<td>Not converged</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS–HS</td>
<td>AF 3–6</td>
<td>$-478.40$</td>
<td>$-473.28$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F 7–2</td>
<td>$-479.58$</td>
<td>$-470.77$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS–HS</td>
<td>AF 5–4</td>
<td>$-478.91$</td>
<td>$-476.29$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F 9–0</td>
<td>$-476.58$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ For some calculations, we were unable to reach the convergences criteria (probably because in these cases, we tried to fix occupation too far from what is reasonable). For one case (empty sign one), the MO’s are too mixed and we were not able to affirm that the calculation performed corresponds to the desired occupation.

$^b$ HS–LS means the first manganese (referring to the first row), of which MnII is HS (high spin), and the second manganese, of which MnIII is LS (low spin). The C or N coordination change from column three to column four.

$^c$ Abbreviations: AF, antiferromagnetic interaction; F, ferromagnetic interaction.
could be assigned to the $^3T_1 (t_2^2) \rightarrow ^1T_2 (t_2^4)$ transition of the Mn$^{3+}$ ions. The assignment was done on the basis of the absorption spectrum of the corresponding $K_2[Mn^{III}(CN)_6]$ sample as reference compound, also shown in Figure 5. The intensity of the absorptions of the Mn$^{III}[Mn^{III}(CN)_6]_2 \cdot 15 H_2O$ is about 100 times larger than that of the reference sample $K_2[Mn^{III}(CN)_6]$, which could be due to the exchange interaction as a result of the bridging mode of the cyanide ligands. We decided to model this transition by ligand field (LF)-DFT theory [18, 19]. For this purpose, we studied the LF of [Mn(CN)$_6$]$^{3-}$ (Mn with an oxidation state of III: $d^4$). The procedure has three steps: (i) perform an average of configuration (AOC) calculation (put 0.4 electrons in each spin orbitals of d-atomic orbitals) in order to optimize the Kohn–Sham orbitals, (ii) calculate the energy of all the Slater determinants (SDs) (210 for four electrons on 10 spin-orbits), and (iii) apply the procedure explained by Atanasov and al. [18, 19].

The complex was already studied in a previous work [19], although it had slightly different bond lengths (the difference is about 0.02 Å, and we consider the influence as negligible), and the Racah’s parameters and ligand-field strength found were: $B = 630$ cm$^{-1}$; $C = 2598$ cm$^{-1}$; and $\Delta = 36900$ cm$^{-1}$.

The full LF multiplet structure using classical LF theory can thus be determined. The predicted and observed values for the excitations considered are: $E(^3T_1 \rightarrow ^1T_2)_{obs} = 9200$ cm$^{-1}$; and $E(^3T_1 \rightarrow ^1T_2)_{pred} = 7948$ cm$^{-1}$.

From the results of these investigations, that is, spectroscopical and theoretical, we can conclude that the $^3T_1 \rightarrow ^1T_2$ transition corresponds to the band observed in the near-IR spectra.

**Perspective: Magnetics Properties**

In addition, the compound studied has interesting magnetic properties. The energy values of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions for Mn$^{III}$ HS–Mn$^{III}$ LS given in Table VI allow us to evaluate the coupling constant $J$. Investigations are in progress. The first results are in qualitative agreement with experimental data and predict an antiferromagnetic ground state (estimated value of $J$ is negative). If we refer to the magnetic susceptibilities measured experimentally and apply the Van Vleck formula, we observe that $J$ has a value of about $-6$ cm$^{-1}$. In the future, a challenging investigation will quantitatively determine this value by DFT. We plan to carry on studies in this direction.

**Conclusion**

The DFT calculations revealed results that are in line with chemical intuition. When faced by this kind of challenge, two approaches are feasible: (i) band structure calculation, and (ii) model cluster. In
this study, we took the second approach. The results obtained, namely, Mn linked to N is HS, Mn linked to C is LS, no influence of point charge positions, Mn$^{(II)}$ HS linked to N – Mn$^{(III)}$ LS linked to C pattern in the crystal and antiferromagnetic ground state are quite convincing. However, it would be interesting if in the future band structure or lattice dynamic could be carried out in order to complete our knowledge of PB analogues.

We regret that we were not able to perform a frequency calculation of the dinuclear cluster due to technical problems, which will be solved in the future. These could then be directly compared with available experimental data.
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