Ruthenium(II) Coordination Chemistry of a Fused Donor-Acceptor Ligand: Synthesis, Characterization and Photoinduced Electron Transfer Reactions of \([\{\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\}n(\text{TTF-ppb})](\text{PF}_6)_2n\) (\(n = 1, 2\))

GOZE, C., et al.

Abstract

A \(\pi\)-extended, redox-active bridging ligand 4',5'-bis(propylthio)tetrathiafulvenyl[dipyrido[2,3-a:3'c]phenazine] (L) was prepared via direct Schiff-base condensation of the corresponding diamine-tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) precursor with 4,7-phenanthroline-5,6-dione. Reactions of L with \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2]\) afforded its stable mono- and dinuclear ruthenium(II) complexes 1 and 2. They have been fully characterized, and their photophysical and electrochemical properties are reported together with those of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\text{ppb})]^{2+}\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\mu-\text{ppb})\text{Ru(bpy)}_2]^{4+}\) (ppb = dipyrido[2,3-a:3'c]phenazine) for comparison. In all cases, the first excited state corresponds to an intramolecular TTF \(\rightarrow\) ppb charge-transfer state. Both ruthenium(II) complexes show two strong and well-separated metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) absorption bands, whereas the 3MLCT luminescence is strongly quenched via electron transfer from the TTF subunit. Clearly, the transient absorption spectra illustrate the role of the TTF fragment as an electron donor, which induces a triplet intraligand charge-transfer state (3ILCT) with lifetimes of [...]
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A π-extended, redox-active bridging ligand 4’,5’-bis(propylthio)tetrathiafulvenyl[dipyrido[2,3-]phenazine (L) was prepared via direct Schiff-base condensation of the corresponding diamine—tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) precursor with 4,7-phenanthroline-5,6-dione. Reactions of L with \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{Cl}_2]_2\) afforded its stable mono- and dinuclear ruthenium(II) complexes 1 and 2. They have been fully characterized, and their photophysical and electrochemical properties are reported together with those of \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2\text{ppb}]^2+\) and \([\text{Ru(bpy)}_2(\mu-\text{ppb})\text{Ru(bpy)}_2]^4+\) (ppb = dipyrdenol[2,3-a:3’,2’-c]phenazine) for comparison. In all cases, the first excited state corresponds to an intramolecular TTF → ppb charge-transfer state. Both ruthenium(II) complexes show two strong and well-separated metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) absorption bands, whereas the 3MLCT luminescence is strongly quenched via electron transfer from the TTF subunit. Clearly, the transient absorption spectra illustrate the role of the TTF fragment as an electron donor, which induces a triplet intraligand charge-transfer state (3ILCT) with lifetimes of approximately 200 and 50 ns for mono- and dinuclear ruthenium(II) complexes, respectively.

Introduction

There has been a considerable amount of research into the use of tetrathiafulvalenes (TTFs) that can behave as strong π donors capable of forming persistent cation-radical and dication species upon oxidation, leading, for instance, to the formation of mixed-valence states for conducting systems.1 On the one hand, there have been many synthetic attempts at introducing paramagnetic metal ions into TTF conducting molecular lattices.1,2 Consequently, TTFs have been modified with a variety of functional groups that are well tailored for a chelating coordination function toward various transition-metal ions.2–6 On the other hand, TTFs are frequently used as donor units in donor–acceptor (D–A) ensembles2a,7,8 in an effort to explore their potential applications in sensors, molecular electronics, and optoelectronics. Our interest in conducting magnets and molecular electronics led us to the synthesis and electrochemical and spectroscopic investigations of the TTF-fused dipyrido[2,3-a:3’,2’-c]phenazine (ppb) bridging ligand L (TTF-ppb; Scheme 1).

Bridging polypyridyl ligands can act as building blocks in the construction of supramolecular arrays such as grids, helicates, boxes, and cylinders. It has also been demonstrated that such systems can be used in photocatalysis, CO$_2$ remediation, solar energy systems, molecular electronics, and is best described as [Ru(bpy)$_2$(dppz-TTF)$^-$]. For all three complexes, the lowest excited state is a TTF-to-dppz charge-transfer (3MLCT) state and from the lowest-energy singlet TTF-to-dppz intraligand charge-transfer ($^1$I$^*$) state, whereas for the other two complexes, a radiationless pathway via electron transfer from a second TTF-dppz ligand quenches the 3MLCT luminescence. Remarkably, the TTF fragments as electron donors thus induce a long-lived ligand-to-ligand charge-separated (LLCS) state. For the complex with only one TTF-dppz ligand, this lifetime has a 2.2 µs and is best described as [Ru(bpy)$_2$(dppz-TTF)$^-$].

As a continuation of our study, we report here the synthesis of L, the formation of its mono- and dinuclear ruthenium(II) complexes, and their electrochemical and photophysical properties, in order to elucidate how the TTF-fused ppb ligand affects the redox and photophysical behavior of ruthenium(II) polypyridyl moieties. As shown below, the charge-separation systems, as well as new photoredox switches. However, so far only a few examples of such systems have appeared in the literature. We recently reported the synthesis, redox properties, and photophysical behavior of three ruthenium(II) complexes, bearing one to three TTF-fused dipyrido[3,2-a:2',3'-c]phenazine (TTF-dppz) ligands, [Ru(bpy)$_2$(dppz-TTF)($^3$I$^*$)]$^{3-}$ ($x = 1 - 3$). For all three complexes, the lowest excited state is a TTF-to-dppz intraligand charge-transfer (ILCT) state. In particular, we showed that the complex with only one TTF-dppz exhibits dual luminescence both from the triplet Ru$^0$ → dppz metal-to-ligand charge-transfer (MLCT) state and from the energy singlet TTF-to-dppz intraligand charge-transfer ($^1$I$^*$) state, whereas for the other two complexes, a radiationless pathway via electron transfer from a second TTF-dppz ligand quenches the 3MLCT luminescence. For the complex with only one TTF-dppz ligand, this lifetime has a 2.2 µs and is best described as [Ru(bpy)$_2$(dppz-TTF)$^-$].

As a continuation of our study, we report here the synthesis of L, the formation of its mono- and dinuclear ruthenium(II) complexes, and their electrochemical and photophysical properties, in order to elucidate how the TTF-fused ppb ligand affects the redox and photophysical behavior of ruthenium(II) polypyridyl moieties. As shown below, the
side-on coordination to the ppb ligand results in a very different relaxation pathway compared to the head-on coordination to dpdz.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without additional purification. 5,6-Diamino-2-[4,5-bis(propylthio)]-1,3-dithio-2-ylidene|benzo[d]1,1,3-dithiole (1), α,4,7-phenanthrolene-5,6-dione, and cis-[Ru(bpy)2Cl2]2+·2H2O were prepared according to literature procedures. Elemental analyses were performed on a Carlo Erba Instruments EA 1110 CHN elemental analyzer. 1H and 13C NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AC 300 spectrometer operating at 300.18 and 75.5 MHz, respectively; chemical shifts are reported in ppm referenced to residual solvent protons (CDCl3, CD2Cl2, DMSO-d6). The following abbreviations were used: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), and m (multiplet). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer using KBr pellets. Mass spectra were recorded using an Auto SpecQ spectrometer for electron impact and an Applied Biosystems/Sciex Qstar Pulsar for electrospray ionization, respectively.

Synthesis of 4,5′-Bis(propylthio)tetrathialfulvenyl[11]dipyrido[2,3-a:2′,3′-c]phena-lene (L). A solution of 5,6-diamino-2-[4,5-bis(propyl-thio)]-1,3-dithio-2-ylidene|benzo[d]1,1,3-dithiole (290 mg, 0.67 mmol) and 4,7-phenanthrolene-5,6-dione (140 mg, 0.67 mmol) in ethanol (120 mL) was refluxed for 3 h under argon. After filtration, the resulting precipitate was collected and purified by chromatography on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer using KBr pellets. Mass spectra were recorded using an Auto SpecQ spectrometer for electron impact and an Applied Biosystems/Sciex Qstar Pulsar for electrospray ionization, respectively.

Synthesis of [Ru(bpy)2(μ-L-R)]Ru(bpy)2[PF6]4 (2). In a Schlenk flask, to a stirred solution of cis-[Ru(bpy)2Cl2]2+·2H2O (257 mg, 0.49 mmol) in ethanol (15 mL)/water (3 mL) was added the ligand L (100 mg, 0.16 mmol). The mixture was heated at 80 °C for 48 h under argon until complete consumption of the starting material was detected by thin-layer chromatography. After cooling to room temperature, the precipitate was filtered off. Aqueous potassium hexafluorophosphate was added to the filtrate. The crude precipitate was washed twice with water and once with diethyl ether and was recrystallized successively by slow evaporation of a solution in acetone/EtO (80:20) and in CH2Cl2/hexane (80:20) to give the analytically pure product as a green powder. Yield: 0.24 g (76%). 1H NMR (CDCl3): δ 0.98 (t, (H), 6.15–1.72 (m, 4H, 2.80 (t, 4H), 7.77 (dd, (J = 4.3 Hz, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 8.39 (s, 2H), 8.86 (dd, J = 1.5 Hz, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 9.25 (dd, J = 1.5 Hz, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H). 13C NMR (CDCl3): δ 151.4, 146.1, 144.0, 142.3, 141.4, 131.6, 125.8, 124.9, 120.7, 38.7, 23.6, 13.2 ppm. IR spectra were obtained on a Bruker AC 300 spectrometer operating at 300.18 and 75.5 MHz, respectively: chemical shifts are reported in ppm referenced to residual solvent protons (CDCl3, CD2Cl2, DMSO-d6). The following abbreviations were used: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), and m (multiplet). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer using KBr pellets. Mass spectra were recorded using an Auto SpecQ spectrometer for electron impact and an Applied Biosystems/Sciex Qstar Pulsar for electrospray ionization, respectively.

Photophysical Measurements. Photophysical measurements were performed on solutions of the compounds in CH3CN and CH2Cl2 at room temperature. For luminescence and transient absorption measurements, the solutions were degassed by bubbling N2(g) through them for 30 min. Absorption spectra were recorded on a Varian Cary 5000 UV/vis/near-IR (NIR) spectrophotometer. Emission and excitation spectra were measured on a Horiba Fluorolog 3 instrument. Luminescence lifetimes were measured by exciting the samples at 532 nm with the second harmonic of a pulsed Nd:YAG laser (Quantel Brilliant, 7 ns pulse width) or at 458 nm using the third harmonic of the pulsed Nd:YAG laser to pump an Opo (Opoil Magic Prism). The system used for detection consisted of a Spex 270 M monochromator, a Hamamatsu photomultiplier, and a Tektronix TDS 540B oscilloscope and has a time resolution of 15 ns. For the transient absorption measurements, the samples were also excited at 458 or 532 nm and probed with light from a W-halogen lamp. The same system as that used for detection was used for the luminescence lifetime measurements. Transient absorption decay curves were recorded at 440, 470, 540, and 660 nm. Transient absorption spectra were recorded with the oscilloscope programmed in boxcar mode and integration over the decay curves.

X-ray Crystallography. A green crystal of 2 was mounted on a Stoe Mark II-Imaging Plate Diffractometer System equipped with a graphite monochromator. Data collection was performed at 100 °C using Mo Kα radiation (λ = 0.71073 Å). A total of 120 exposures (5 min per exposure) were obtained at an image-plate distance of 135 mm, θ = 0°, and 0 < ω < 180° with the crystal oscillating through 1.5° in ω. The resolution was Rmax = Rmin = 24.00 – 0.82 Å. The structure was solved by direct methods using the program SHELXS-97 (16) and refined by full-matrix least squares on F2 with SHELXL-97 (17). The hydrogen atoms were included in calculated positions and treated as riding atoms using SHELXL-97.
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Scheme 2. Synthetic Route to the Bridging Ligand L.

![Diagram of complex formation](image)

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Characterization. The fused D-A ligand (L) can be synthesized in 76% yield via the direct condensation reaction of 4,7-phenanthroline-5,6-dione with 5,6-diamino-2-[4,5-bis(propylthio)-1,3-dithio-2-ylidene]benzo[2,1]3,1,3-dithiole in ethanol, as shown in Scheme 2. Elemental analyses and spectroscopic characterization confirmed the formation of the TTF-fused bis-bidentate ligand L.

The reaction of cis-[Ru(bpy)2Cl2]·2H2O with 1.2 and 0.3 equiv of L in aqueous ethanol at reflux gave the mono- and dinuclear ruthenium(II) complexes 1 and 2, respectively. Both new ruthenium(II) compounds were purified by chromatographic separation on silica gel or by recrystallization. Both new ruthenium(II) compounds were purified by chromatographic separation on silica gel or by recrystallization.

The asymmetric unit comprises half of the dinuclear complex because the mirror plane is dividing the complex along its long axis (C10—C11). The propyl substituents on S3 is disordered over two positions (0.35/0.65); after the initial refinement, the atom positions participating in the disorder were fixed. Two crystallographically independent hexafluorophosphate anions are located on three positions, whereby two of them are half-occupied. The solvent molecules lie also on partially occupied positions.

As shown in Figure 1, the bridging ligand L is almost planar with a root-mean-square (rms) deviation of 0.077 Å from a least-squares plane through all ligand atoms, excluding the two peripheral propyl groups. The RuII ion is displaced out of this least-squares plane of L by 0.49 Å. The bond lengths and angles (Table 1) of the TTF moiety are in the range expected for neutral TTF derivatives.7a,19

The ligand L links the two RuII centers with a Ru⋯Ru separation of 6.908 Å, which is in good agreement with the value of 6.818 Å reported for the analogous compound meso-[Ru(bpy)2(μ-ppb)Ru(bpy)2]2+.20 Compound 2 was crystallized in a diastereoisomeric meso form, which contains an axial mirror plane bisecting the ligand L; the two coordination spheres around the RuII centers show opposite chirality (Δ and Λ). Both the short Ru⋯Ru separation and the electronic delocalization of the π-conjugated bridging ligand might be important for the effective electronic interaction of the two metal ion centers.

Each RuII ion is bound by two bpy chelates and one imine chelating unit from the bridging ligand L in a distorted octahedral fashion. As shown in Table 1, the Ru–N bond

![Figure 1. Perspective view of the complex cation in 2·2CH3CN·1.5C2H6O ethanol](image)
lengths are in the range of 2.041–2.090 Å, with their respective bite angles in the range of 79.3–79.6°, which are within normal ranges set by similar compounds.20,21

In the crystal packing of 2, the complex cations are stacked in a head-to-tail manner, leading to the formation of dimers with S···S close contacts of 3.680 Å (Figure 2).

**Electrochemical Properties.** The electrochemical properties of L and its ruthenium(II) complexes 1 and 2 in dichloromethane were investigated by CV. Their electrochemical data are collected in Table 2 together with those of [Ru(bpy)$_2$(ppb)]$^{3+}$ (3) and [Ru(bpy)$_2$(μ-ppb)Ru(bpy)$_2$]$^{4+}$ (4) for comparison.

The bridging ligand L undergoes two well-separated (quasi-)irreversible single-electron oxidation processes to the radical cation and dication states, corresponding to $E_{1/2}^1$ and $E_{1/2}^2$, respectively (Table 2). Several CV measurements have been performed at different scan rates (see the Supporting Information). On the one hand, the peak-to-peak separations ($\Delta E_p = E_{pa} - E_{pc}$) increase at high scan rates, indicating the quasi-reversible nature of the electron-transfer processes for oxidation of the TTF unit. On the other hand, the intensities of the redox waves increase, and concomitantly one new wave appears at 1.10 V as the potentials are cycled. Finally, the color of the solution changes from purple to dark green. Thus, the instability of the radical cation and dication in the vicinity of the working electrode is probably attributable to a cleavage of the conjugation between the TTF moiety and the ppb unit. Upon coordination, the observed redox potentials for the TTF oxidation processes remain almost unchanged and the peak-to-peak separations $\Delta E_p$ are smaller than those observed for the free ligand L. It can therefore be deduced that the electrostatic inductive effect of the Ru$^{II}$ ion bound to the imine-chelating unit(s) from the bridging ligand L seems to have a negligible influence on the redox potentials of the TTF moiety. Interestingly, it seems likely that coordination renders the ligand more stable in the course of the successive oxidation processes of the TTF unit. Moreover, neither 1 nor 2 shows the Ru$^{II}$-centered oxidation process(es) under the experimental conditions used. Because the TTF unit is oxidized first, the subsequent oxidation process(es) of ruthenium(II) may be shifted to more positive potential(s) compared to 3 and 4, which seems reasonable based on simple electrostatic arguments.

In the cathodic region, one reversible one-electron reduction wave was observed for the bridging ligand L, which can be assigned to reduction of the phenazine moiety. Complexes 1 and 2 respectively undergo two and three reversible reduction processes for the reduction of the ppb and bppy moieties. The positive shift in the first bridging ligand-centered reduction process on going from 1 to 2 is in agreement with a further decrease in the electron density around the ppb unit caused by participation of the second
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Figure 3. (a) Absorption spectra of L (---) and ppb (----) in CH3CN in comparison with the excitation spectrum (•••••) of L in CH3CN and its emission spectra in CH3CN (thick ---), CH2Cl2 (thin ---), and toluene (-----). (b) Absorption spectrum of L (---) and absorption (•••) and emission (----) spectra of 3 in CH3CN. (c) Absorption spectra of 2 (---) and 4 (•••••••••) in CH3CN. All measurements were performed at room temperature in deoxygenated solutions.

RuII ion in coordination. Moreover, the reduction potentials of 1 and 2 are quite similar to those of the reference compounds 3 and 4, respectively. Thus, the presence of the TTF unit does not strongly influence the ligand-centered reduction processes. Because the first two reduction processes (E2/1 and E1/2) take place at less negative potentials than those of bpy (E1/2), the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) in each case must reside on the ppb unit of the bridging ligand L.

Optical Properties. The absorption spectra of the three compounds L, 1, and 2 dissolved in CH3CN, together with those of the reference compounds 3 and 4, are presented in Figure 3. The UV/vis/NIR spectrum of the free ligand L (Figure 3a) shows a broad absorption band at approximately 19 200 cm⁻¹ and a very strong band at 30 000 cm⁻¹ with a shoulder. While ppb and TTF units also exhibit absorption bands above 20 000 cm⁻¹ individually, the band at 19 200 cm⁻¹ is only observed in the fused TTF-ppb. In analogy to the previously reported TTF-dppz,² it can be readily attributed to a spin-allowed π→π* ILCT transition with the TTF subunit as an electron donor and the ppb subunit as an acceptor. The band centered at 30 000 cm⁻¹ is characteristic of a π→π* charge-transfer transition of the benzene-annulated TTF moiety of the molecule. Moreover, a weaker band at approximately 27 000 cm⁻¹ is characteristic of a π→π* transition of the ppb unit. In addition, as depicted in Figure 3a, L shows fluorescence in solution at room temperature. The fluorescence spectrum is strongly solvent-dependent, with the maximum shifting monotonically to lower energies with increasing polarity of the solvent.² In parallel, the fluorescence quantum yield at room temperature decreases from 5% in toluene to 0.14% in CH3CN in analogy to that of the previously discussed TTF-dppz compound.² The excitation spectrum of L in CH3CN included in Figure 3a is identical with the absorption spectrum. The oscillator strengths for the different transitions of L and TTF-dppz are similar,² particularly for the ILCT band (f = 0.24), corresponding thus to a spin- and parity-allowed transition. The assignment to a 1ILCT band is supported by the Lippert–Mataga plot of the solvent shift (see the Supporting Information), which gives a change in the dipole moment of 14 D.

Parts b and c of Figure 3 show the absorption spectra of the mononuclear complex 1 and the dinuclear complex 2 in CH3CN together with those of the reference complexes 3 and 4, respectively. By comparison with the absorption spectra of the reference complexes as well as of the free ligand L, the absorption bands can be readily attributed to specific transitions. The 1ILCT band for 1 is at 15 100 cm⁻¹ and that for 2 at 11 900 cm⁻¹. The red shift of 4100 cm⁻¹ between L and 1 and that of 3200 cm⁻¹ between 1 and 2 are due to the localization of the LUMO on the ppb unit, the energy of which is lowered upon coordination to RuII. These shifts are in good agreement with those observed in the similar ZnII-coordinated system² and with the electrochemical results given in Table 2. The extinction coefficient of the 1ILCT absorption band decreases from 14 × 10³ to 9 × 10³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ on going from the free ligand L to the complexes 1 and 2. As expected, electric-dipole-allowed MLCT absorption bands around 23 800 cm⁻¹ for complexes 1 and 2 are observed. They correspond to a metal-to-(terminal) ligand dπ→π* charge transfer RuII → bpy (1MLCT2). The broad and intense bands observed at approximately 18 500 and 15 000 cm⁻¹, for 1 and 2, respectively, have corresponding bands in the reference complexes 3 and 4 and can be assigned to the metal-to-(bridging) ligand dπ→π* charge transfer RuII → ppb (1MLCT1). In each case, the peak position, the broadness, and the intensity of the 1MLCT1 absorption band are slightly solvent-dependent, whereas the 1MLCT2 absorption band does not vary noticeably with the solvent. These 1MLCT1 and 1MLCT2 bands are clearly separated, as is observed for other mixed-ligand diimine complexes with the ppb unit.²² In comparison with the reference compound 3, 1 exhibits an absorption band.
centered at 26 900 cm\(^{-1}\), which is comparable to that of the free ligand L and can be attributed to the above-mentioned \(\pi-\pi^*\) transition located on the pbp subunit. Clearly, the corresponding band is red-shifted in the case of 2 and overlaps with the 1MLCT absorption band. Figure 3b shows that 3 emits from the 3MLCT\(_1\) state at 12 000 cm\(^{-1}\), in contrast to 1, which does not emit. In 1, the 3MLCT\(_1\) luminescence is quenched by reductive excited-state electron transfer from the TTF subunit, which is efficient because of the geometry of the complex (see the discussion below). Neither complex 2 nor complex 4 shows any luminescence above 10 000 cm\(^{-1}\). Compound 2 probably does not show any luminescence at all for the same reason as 1. For 4, the 1MLCT\(_1\) transition is so red-shifted that a possible luminescence would be located at lower energies than were accessible with the available spectrofluorimeter.

Upon chemical oxidation of the free ligand with [Fe(bpy)]\(^{3+}\), the 1ILCT band at 19 200 cm\(^{-1}\) disappears and two new bands at 11 800 and 25 000 cm\(^{-1}\) appear (see the Supporting Information). In analogy to the previously studied TTF-dppz, the new band at 11 800 cm\(^{-1}\) corresponds to a pbp \(\rightarrow\) TTF\(^+\) ILCT transition.\(^{7a,b}\)

Oxidation of the complexes 1 and 2 to the respective radical cation can likewise be achieved chemically using [Fe(bpy)]\(^{3+}\) as the oxidizing agent. Figure 4 shows the corresponding absorption spectrum of complex 2\(^+\) together with the spectrum of the nonoxidized form. The most prominent change in the absorption spectrum is the disappearance of the 1ILCT absorption band at 11 900 cm\(^{-1}\) upon oxidation. In addition, the 1MLCT\(^{-}\) absorption band is slightly red-shifted. The absorption bands at around 20 000 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to the 1MLCT of [Fe(bpy)]\(^{2+}\) formed during the redox process. With the addition of ferrocene, Figure 4 shows that the oxidation of the complex by [Fe(bpy)]\(^{3+}\) is at least partially chemically reversible. Oxidation does not reconstitute the 3MLCT luminescence. This is due to oxidative electron-transfer quenching by TTF\(^+\), with the corresponding driving force being around 0.9 eV based on the redox potentials given in Table 2 and a zero-point energy of the 3MLCT state of 1.75 eV estimated from the luminescence spectrum of reference compound 3.

In order to further elucidate the nature of the luminescence quenching in 1 and 2 and to compare the photophysical behavior of the two complexes with that of the series [Ru(bpy)]\(_3\)\((-dppz-TTF)_x\)]\(^{2+}\) (\(x = 1-3\)) previously reported,\(^{12a}\) transient absorption spectra of 1–4 were recorded. Those of 1 and 3 in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) are shown in Figure 5 together with the ground-state absorption spectra of both complexes; those of 2 and 4 are shown in Figure 6. Besides bleaching of the 1MLCT\(_1\) and 1MLCT\(_2\) ground-state absorptions, there are two transient absorption bands around 21 000 and 14 200 cm\(^{-1}\) for complex 1 and one transient absorption band at 21 000 cm\(^{-1}\) for complex 2. The transient absorption band at 21 000 cm\(^{-1}\) is observed for excitation either into 1MLCT\(_1\) or into 1MLCT\(_2\) for both complexes. The corresponding transient state has a lifetime of around 200 ns for 1 and 50 ns for 2 in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) at room temperature. This transient absorption is not present for the two reference complexes 3 and 4. Therefore, it must be due to the presence of the TTF unit in complexes 1 and 2. As mentioned above, the most likely mechanism for the quenching of the luminescence in 1 and the population of a transient state is electron-transfer quench-

Figure 4. Absorption spectra of 2 (thick \(-\), 2\(^+\) upon oxidation by 2 equiv of [Fe(bpy)]\(^{3+}\) ([PF\(_6\)]) (thin \(\cdots\)) and upon subsequent addition of 2 equiv of ferrocene (\(\cdots\)) in CH\(_2\)CN at room temperature.

Figure 5. Transient difference absorption spectra of 1 (thin gray \(\cdots\)) and 3 (thick black \(\cdots\)) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) at room temperature (right scale) recorded by integration over the decay of the full exponential decay curves following excitation by a 7 ns laser pulse at 18 900 cm\(^{-1}\). For a direct comparison, the absorption spectra of 1 (gray \(\cdots\)) and 3 (black \(\cdots\)) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) at room temperature are included (left scale).

Figure 6. Transient difference absorption spectra of 2 (gray \(\cdots\)) and 4 (black \(\cdots\)) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) at room temperature are included (left scale).
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A facile synthetic protocol for the preparation of a π-extended, redox-active, and bis-chelating bridging ligand L has been described. Its coordination ability has been demonstrated by the formation of stable mono- and dinuclear ruthenium(II) complexes 1 and 2 based on the [Ru(bpy)_2Cl_2] precursor complex. These new compounds have an ILCT state as the lowest excited state and display an intense 1ILCT absorption band in the NIR. Both ruthenium(II) complexes also show two strong and well-separated 1MLCT absorption bands. The 3MLCT luminescence is strongly quenched via electron transfer from the TTF subunit. Interestingly, through this quenching step, the corresponding 1ILCT state is directly formed in a spin-allowed process. Picosecond transient absorption measurements will give further information on the ultrafast formation of the corresponding longer-lived states.

The binding of the redox-active bridging L to a variety of paramagnetic transition-metal ions and further chemical and electrochemical partial oxidation of the resulting complexes may pave the way to obtain multifunctional materials, which are currently under investigation in our laboratory.

**Conclusions**

**Supporting Information Available:** CIF file for 2, the Lippert–Mataga plot for the ligand L, and cyclic voltammograms of the ligand L. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://www.pubs.acs.org.
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